

Attachment D: Sheet 2

Area 2: Systemic changes: EPR and MRF Performance Standards								
	Metro Action	Metro Implementation Costs	Dependencies	Council Action Timeframe	Implementation Time Frame	Public and stakeholder acceptance	Scale of Impacted Parties	Things to Figure Out
Extended producer responsibility (EPR) policy for printed paper and packaging	(1) Council adopts resolution stating that Metro will enact regional producer responsibility requirements for paper and packaging if Legislature does not do so in 2021	None	None	2020 Q4	NA	Likely strong public support. Support from some local governments, environmental groups and others. Opposition from garbage haulers, grocers/retailers, product producers, e-commerce platforms and some local governments.	Producers and retailers of packaged products and printed paper sold in the region, including online retailers	How to best advance equity in an EPR system
	(2) Enact regional producer responsibility requirements for common packaging items	Administration of regional producer responsibility program	No statewide law approved by Legislature	2021 Q4 to 2022 Q4 depending on extent of stakeholder work done for State legislative proposal	1 year after Council implementation and ongoing	Same mix as above, with added opposition from those that believe only in statewide approach		
	Metro Action	Metro Implementation Costs	Dependencies	Council Action Timeframe	Implementation Time Frame	Public and stakeholder acceptance	Scale of Impacted Parties	Things to Figure Out
Material Recovery Facility (MRF) investments and performance standards	(1) Continue to support MRF system improvements through the Investment and Innovation program	Grant funds	None	Approval of FY 2020-21 and subsequent budgets	Ongoing from current fiscal year	Broad support from public and private stakeholders	MRFs in the region	
	(2) Metro Council adopts performance standards for MRFs, including sorting outcomes and end-market certifications for environmental and social sustainability	Technical assistance and compliance monitoring		2021 Q3	2022 Q1	Likely opposition from MRFs and their trade association	MRFs in the region and potentially ratepayers if leads to higher MRF net costs	Best MRF performance measures to use. Most effective environmental and social sustainability certifications