

METRO HOUSING BOND COMMUNITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CLACKAMAS COUNTY'S LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

RECOMMENDATION TO METRO COUNCIL REGARDING APPROVAL OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CLACKAMAS COUNTY'S LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The Oversight Committee recommends that Metro Council take action to approve Clackamas County's Local Implementation Strategy (LIS), subject to the County's revision of language related to public solicitation processes described on page 8 as discussed during the August 7th meeting. Clackamas County submitted revised language in response to these concerns, which was provided to the Committee as part of their September 4 meeting packet. The Committee has identified the following considerations for Clackamas County's ongoing implementation and monitoring of outcomes:

- The County should further define strategies and outcomes that will be measured to demonstrate the advancement of racial equity, including low-barrier screening criteria, affirmative marketing, universal design, voucher prioritization, wraparound services, and contract and workforce diversity.

The Oversight Committee has requested an early response from the County regarding the considerations above and ongoing updates as part of the County's annual LIS progress report. The Oversight Committee expects to address these considerations in its annual LIS review.

ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE FOR ALL JURISDICTIONS

In addition to the above considerations, Committee members have offered the following considerations for all jurisdictions participating in implementation of the Housing Bond. This list reflects considerations approved by the committee as of their September 4 meeting and may be further refined as the Committee discusses Local Implementation Strategies from other jurisdictions.

- When describing strategies to advance racial equity, be specific about prioritization among various strategies.
- Use language that acknowledges intersectionality of populations; avoid differentiating between homelessness, disabling conditions including physical and mental health, and addiction.
- Identify screening criteria not relevant to likelihood of successful tenancy that should not be considered.
- Provide further information about jurisdiction commitments to fund supportive services as needed to meet the needs of certain tenants.
- Additional resources need to be identified to successfully serve tenants who need permanent supportive housing.
- Consider further specificity about family sized unit production that includes goals or requirements to ensure three bedroom and larger homes.
- Measuring outcomes regarding workforce equity should include all workers, not solely apprentices.
- Many minority owned businesses need additional support to successfully participate in the COBID certification program.
- Consider sustainability/durability and life cycle costs, and incorporate findings from the 2015 Meyer Memorial Trust study on cost efficiencies in affordable housing in evaluating project.