I. Oregon Statewide Planning Goal Consistency

| Oregon Statewide Planning Goals | Corresponding RFP policy/RTP policy | Findings |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Goal 1: Citizen | RFP Policy 1.13: Participation of Citizens | The development of the 2018 RTP was subject |
| Involvement | | to an open and ongoing public and agency |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and | involvement process that included state and |
| | Accountability | federal agencies, cities, counties, port districts, |
| | Objective 11.1 - Meaningful Public and | transit providers, community and business |
| | Stakeholder Engagement | leaders, numerous modal, environmental and |
| | | other stakeholder interest groups and the public. |
| | | Metro undertook a public involvement process |
| | | involving stakeholder interviews, Regional |
| | | Leadership forums, discussion groups, on-line |
| | | surveys, workshops, hearings, advisory |
| | | committees, interactive web opportunities, |
| | | consultation with federal and state agencies and |
| | | other techniques, consistent with Metro's |
| | | adopted "Public Engagement Guide." The Staff |
| | | Report dated September 26, 2018 summarizes |
| | | these efforts and 2018 RTP Appendix D |
| | | identifies documents in the record that describe |
| | | these efforts in detail. |
| Goal 2: Land Use | RFP Policy 1.14: School and Local | The 2018 RTP is a component of Metro's |
| Planning: Coordination and | Government Plan and Policy Coordination | Regional Framework Plan (RFP). The |
| Implementation | | fundamental underpinning of the RFP is its |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | coordination of land use planning and |
| | Objective 1.1 – 2040 Growth Concept | transportation planning. Metro coordinated with |
| | Implementation | local governments and service districts while |
| | | developing the 2018 RTP. The most intensive |
| | | efforts were through JPACT, TPAC. MPAC and |
| | | MTAC, which are all composed primarily of |

| | | representatives of local governments and service districts. The Staff Report dated September 26, |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| | | 2018 summarizes these efforts and 2018 RTP |
| | | Appendix D describes this effort in detail. |
| Goal 3: Agricultural Lands | | The RTP applies only within Metro's UGB. |
| | | Goal 3 does not apply. |
| Goal 4: Forest Lands | | The RTP applies only within Metro's UGB. |
| | | Goal 4 does not apply. |
| Goal 5: Natural Resources, | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | The 2018 RTP includes Objectives 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, |
| Scenic and Historic Areas, | Objective 6.1 – Biological and Water | 6.4, 6.5 and 8.6, which are to protect fish and |
| and Open Spaces | Resources | wildlife habitat, water resources, and historic and |
| | Objective 6.2 – Historic and Cultural | cultural resources from the negative impacts of |
| | Resources | transportation. The RTP describes programs, |
| | Objective 6.3 – Green Infrastructure | such as the Livable Streets, Trees for Green |
| | Objective 6.4 – Light Pollution | Streets and Green Streets programs, which aim |
| | Objective 6.5 – Habitat Connectivity | to protect natural resources. Title 1 of the RTFP |
| | | connects these programs to street design |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 8, Climate Leadership | requirements for local TSPs and subjects street |
| | Climate Smart Strategy Policies 1-9 | design to the requirements of Title 13 (Nature in |
| | RTP Section 3.3.4 – Designs for Stormwater | Neighborhoods) of Metro's Urban Growth |
| | Management and Natural, Historic and Cultural | Management Functional Plan (UGMFP). Local |
| | Resource Protection | decisions specifying the locations of |
| | | transportation facilities and improvements will |
| | | be made by cities and counties in their TSPs and |
| | | other land use decisions, which will be subject to |
| | | local Goal 5 programs that also comply with |
| | | Titles 3 and 13 of the UGMFP. Supporting |
| | | information is provided in Appendix F of the |
| | | 2018 RTP. |
| Goal 6: Air, Land and | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | The 2018 RTP includes Objectives 6.1, 6.3., 6.5 |
| Water Resources Quality | Objective 6.1 – Biological and Water | and 8.6, which is to protect fish and wildlife |
| | Resources | habitat and water resources, and Goal 8 |

| | Objective 6.3 – Green Infrastructure | regarding Climate Leadership, with objectives |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| | Objective 6.5 – Habitat Connectivity | aimed at implementing the Climate Smart |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 7, Healthy People | Strategy and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. |
| | Objective 7.2 – Other Pollution Impacts | The RTP and supporting Appendix F describe |
| | Objective 7.2 – Other Pollution impacts Objective 7.3 – Clean Air | 11 0 11 |
| | | programs, such as the Livable Streets and Green |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 8, Climate Leadership | Streets programs, which aim to protect natural |
| | Objectives 8.1 to 8.5 | resources. Title 1 of the RTFP connects these |
| | Climate Smart Strategy Policies 1-9 | programs to street design requirements for local |
| | | TSPs and subjects street design to the |
| | | requirements of Titles 3 and 13 of the UGMFP. |
| | | The emissions analysis prepared for the 2018 |
| | | RTP demonstrates the plan is expected to meet |
| | | the Clean Air Act and other state and federal air |
| | | quality requirements, including required |
| | | reductions in per capita greenhouse gas |
| | | emissions from light-duty vehicles by 2035 and |
| | | 2040. Other supporting information is provided |
| | | in Appendix F of the 2018 RTP. |
| Goal 7: Areas Subject to | RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security | The 2018 RTP includes a new Objective 5.3, |
| Natural Disasters and | Objective 5.3 – Preparedness and Resiliency | which is to reduce the vulnerability of |
| Hazards | | transportation infrastructure to natural disasters, |
| | RTP Section 3.2.1.4, Safety and Security Policies, | climate change, and hazardous incidents. Safety |
| | Policy 8 | and security policies are described in Section |
| | | 3.2.1 of the RTP. Section 4.7.6 describes the |
| | | work of the Regional Disaster Preparedness |
| | | Organization (RDPO) to identify and mitigate |
| | | risks from natural disasters. Figure 4.3.9 |
| | | identifies the seismic vulnerability of bridges in |
| | | the Metro region. Section 8.2.3.10 of the 2018 |
| | | RTP describes future planning work to update |
| | | designated Emergency Transportation Routes |

| | | using a seismic lens in partnership with the |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| | | RPDO and other agencies. |
| Goal 8: Recreational Needs | RTP Policy: Goal 7, Healthy People | Section 3.8 of the 2018 RTP describes the |
| | Objective 7.1 – Active Living | regional active transportation network vision. |
| | RTP Section 3.8 – Regional Active | Section 3.9 of the RTP describes the regional |
| | Transportation Network Vision | bicycle network concept and policies. Section |
| | RTP Section 3.9 – Regional Bicycle Network | 3.10 describes the regional pedestrian network |
| | Concept and Policies | concept and policies. Those provisions reflect |
| | RTP Section 3.10 – Regional Pedestrian Network | policies and plans included in the 2014 Regional |
| | Concept and Policies | Active Transportation Plan (ATP). The RTP |
| | | includes existing conditions and future vision |
| | | maps for biking and walking for each system |
| | | (Figures 3.30, 3.32). The 2018 RTP project lists |
| | | in Appendices A, B and C contain projects that |
| | | complete gaps in the regional trail network as |
| | | well as walking and biking connections to parks, |
| | | natural areas and other recreational destinations. |
| Goal 9: Economic | RFP Policy 1.4: Economic Choices and | Goal 9 applies to cities and counties, and not to |
| Development | Opportunities | Metro. The policy component of the RTP is |
| | | structured around the implementation of the |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | Region 2040 Growth Concept through strategic |
| | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and Freight | transportation improvements. As the economic |
| | Intermodal Facilities | engines of the region's economy, the Portland |
| | Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent | central city, eight regional centers, the region's |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | industrial areas and intermodal facilities are |
| | Objective 3.3 – Access to Transit | identified as the primary areas for transportation |
| | | investments (RTP Section 2.2 and Table 2.1). |
| | Regional Freight Strategy | Transportation improvements in these primary |
| | | components of the 2040 Growth Concept are |
| | | also guided by a set of functional maps that |
| | | establish a series of efficient, high-quality motor |
| | | vehicle, freight, transit, bicycle and pedestrian |

| | | systems that are similarly designed to reinforce the Growth Concept (RTP Sections 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8). The importance of freight movement in the region's economy is addressed in detail in the new Regional Freight Strategy, which describes the regional vision and policies for the movement of goods to and from the Metro region. The regional vision and policies for freight are also contained in Section 3.7 of the 2018 RTP. |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Goal 10: Housing | RFP Policy 1.3: Housing Choices and | The RTP links transportation to land use |
| | Opportunities | planning in a joint strategy to increase the share of households in walkable areas served by |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities Objective 1.3 - Affordable Location-Efficient Housing Choices Objective 1.4 – Access to Community Places RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity Objective 2.4 – Transportation and Housing Affordability RTP Policy: Goal 9, Equitable Transportation RTP Section 3.2.2, Transportation Equity Policies | frequent transit service, and to reduce costs for housing and transportation. The strategy is to provide multi-modal transportation options to portions of the region with high numbers of cost-burdened households, and to ensure land use regulations allow types and densities of housing along high-frequency transit services. Details about the Transportation Equity Evaluation conducted for the 2018 RTP, and related engagement, are provided in Appendix E to the 2018 RTP. |
| Goal 11: Public Facilities | RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship | The objectives of statewide planning Goal 11 |
| and Services | Objective 10.1 – Infrastructure Condition Objective 10.2 – Sustainable Funding | with respect to transportation are more fully articulated by Goal 12. Please refer to findings under Goal 12. |

| Goal 12: Transportation | RFP Chapter 2, Transportation | The 2018 RTP is designed to ensure Metro's |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| | • • | continued compliance with Goal 12 and OAR |
| | RFP Policy 1.10.2: Encourage pedestrian and | 660 Division 12 (TPR). The fundamental |
| | transit-supportive building patterns | requirement of Goal 12 and the TPR is that the |
| | | RTP provide a transportation system that is |
| | RTP Policy: Goals 1 through 11 | adequate to serve planned land uses. A second |
| | | basic requirement of the TPR is that the RTP be |
| | | consistent with adopted state transportation |
| | | plans. The attached Supplemental Findings |
| | | address the detailed requirements of the TPR. |
| Goal 13: Energy | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The 2018 RTP helps achieve Goal 13 by |
| Conservation | Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities | planning, requiring local planning for, and |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | investing in transportation systems that reduce |
| | Objective 3.1 – Travel Choices | reliance on the auto and increase use of other |
| | Objective 3.2 – Active Transportation System | modes. Objectives and policies in the RTP will |
| | Completion | contribute to changes in travel behavior by |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | prioritizing completion of regional transit, |
| | Objective 6.4 - Energy and Land Consumption | bicycle and pedestrian systems. In addition, the |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 8, Climate Leadership | 2018 RTP contains other policies and objectives |
| | Objective 8.3 – Vehicle Miles Traveled | that aim to support state efforts to increase the |
| | Objective 8.4 – Low and No Emissions | use of more fuel-efficient vehicles and reduce |
| | Vehicles | transportation-related consumption of energy. |
| | Objective 8.5 – Energy Conservation | |
| Goal 15: Willamette River | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | Goal 6 of the 2018 RTP is to "promote |
| Greenway | | responsible stewardship of the region's natural, |
| | | community, and cultural resources." RTP Goal 6 |
| | | is achieved through Title 1 of the RTFP and |
| | | through local implementation of Titles 3 and 13 |
| | | of the Urban Growth Management Functional |
| | | Plan. Much of the Willamette Greenway inside |
| | | the UGB has been designated as a "Habitat |
| | | Conservation Area," subject to Title 13 |

| | protections. Supporting information is provided |
|--|---|
| | in Appendix F of the 2018 RTP. |

II. Regional Framework Plan Consistency

| Regional Framework | Relevant RTP policy | Findings |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Plan Policy | | |
| Policy 1.1: Compact Urban | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The 2018 RTP achieves these policies by |
| Form | Objective 1.1 – 2040 Growth Concept | focusing growth and transportation investment in |
| | Implementation | designated 2040 growth areas, and by planning |
| | Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities | and investing in transportation systems that |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | reduce reliance on the auto and increase use of |
| | | other modes. |
| Policy 1.3.2c: Service to | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The 2018 RTP contains an essential strategy to |
| Centers and Corridors to | Objective 1.3 – Affordable Location-Efficient | accomplish RFP Policy 1.3.2c: investment in |
| support affordable housing | Housing Choices | non-auto modes of transportation in portions of |
| | | the region with higher numbers of cost-burdened |
| | | households. The HCT Assessment and |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | Readiness Criteria, in the Regional Transit |
| | Objective 2.4 – Transportation and Housing | Strategy, provide a framework for the region to |
| | Affordability | screen and prioritize major capital investments in |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 9, Equitable Transportation | transit, including criteria that address equity and |
| | | housing affordability. Details about the |
| | RTP Section 3.2.2, Transportation Equity | Transportation Equity Evaluation conducted for |
| | Policies | the 2018 RTP, and related engagement, are |
| | | provided in Appendix E to the 2018 RTP. See |
| | | findings for statewide planning Goal 10. |
| <u>Policy 1.10.1.c</u> : Urban | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The 2018 RTP achieves these policies by |
| Design and | Objective 1.1 – 2040 Growth Concept | planning for and investing in transportation |
| <u>Policy 1.10.2</u> : Urban | Implementation | systems that reduce reliance on the auto and |
| Design-encourage | Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities | increase use of other modes. Objectives and |

| pedestrian and transit- | Objective 1.3 – Affordable Location-Efficient | policies in the RTP will contribute to changes in |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| supportive building | Housing Choices | travel behavior by prioritizing completion of |
| patterns to reduce auto | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | regional transit, bicycle and pedestrian systems |
| dependence | | and increasing the amount of affordable housing |
| | | units within walking distance of current and |
| | | planned frequent transit service. Title 1 of the |
| | | RTFP (Transportation System Design) require |
| | | local planning for system designs that support |
| | | these policies. |

III. Oregon Transportation Plan Consistency

| Oregon Transportation | Relevant RTP policy | Findings |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Plan Policy | | |
| Policy 1.1: Development of | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The 2018 RTP establishes integrated modal |
| an Integrated Multimodal | Objective 1.1 – 2040 Growth Concept | systems for motor vehicles, transit, freight, |
| System | Implementation | bicycles and pedestrians through a series of |
| | Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities | functional classification maps and accompanying |
| | RTP Policy 2: Shared Prosperity | visions (see RTP Sections 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8). The |
| | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | RTP contains visions for each system, and street |
| | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and | design classifications that serve as the policy tool |
| | Freight Intermodal Facilities | for integrating these modal systems. Title 1 of the |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | RTFP (Transportation System Design) require |
| | | local planning for system designs that support |
| | | these policies. |
| Policy 1.2: Equity, | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | See findings for statewide planning Goal 10 and |
| Efficiency and Travel | Objective 2.4 – Transportation and Housing | RFP Policy 1.3.2. |
| Choices | Affordability | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | |
| | - | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 9, Equitable Transportation | |

| | Objective 9.1 – Transportation Equity Objective 9.2 – Barrier Free Transportation | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | RTP Section 3.2.2, Transportation Equity | |
| | Policies | |
| Policy 1.3: Relationship of | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | The 2018 RTP establishes a network vision, |
| Interurban and Urban | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | concept and supporting policies for each |
| Mobility | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and | component of the regional transportation system. |
| | Freight Intermodal Facilities | The RTP identifies strategies for 24 mobility |
| | Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent | corridors, which are the principal interurban |
| | | connections in the region. See Figure 3.13. The |
| | | strategies explain the function of each corridor in |
| | | the 2040 Growth Concept and movement of |
| | | freight and general traffic into and out of the |
| | | region. The RTP also adopts an interim regional mobility policy that describes the minimum |
| | | performance level desired for transportation |
| | | facilities within the region. See RTP Section 3.5.3 |
| | | and Table 3.16. See also Supplemental Goal 12 |
| | | findings. |
| Policy 2.2: Management of | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency | The 2018 RTP includes an objective to plan, build |
| Assets | | and maintain regional transportation assets to |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship | maximize their useful life, minimize project |
| | Objective 10.1 – Infrastructure Condition | construction and maintenance costs and eliminate |
| | Objective 10.2 – Sustainable Funding | maintenance backlots. The RTP also includes |
| | | Regional Transportation System Management and |
| | | Operations Policies aimed at providing more |
| | | efficient use of the region's transportation assets. |
| | | See RTP Section 3.11. Details about the |
| | | financially constrained revenue forecast are |
| | | provided in Appendix H to the 2018 RTP. |
| | | Appendix L of the 2018 RTP also documents new |

| Policy 3.1: Integrated and Efficient Freight System | RFP Policy 1.4: Economic Choices and Opportunities RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and Freight Intermodal Facilities Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency Objective 4.1 – Regional Mobility Objective 4.2 – Travel Management Objective 4.5 – Demand Management | federally-required performance monitoring targets for National Highway System pavement and bridge condition and transit assets. Section 3.08.160 of Title 1 of the RTFP require local planning for system designs that support the Transportation System Management and Operations policies. The 2018 RTP includes regional freight network vision and policies designed to develop and implement a coordinated and integrated freight network that helps the region attract new jobs and remain competitive in the global economy. See RTP Section 3.7. The freight network policies reflect the policy framework of the Regional Freight Strategy. The importance of freight movement in the region's economy is addressed in detail in the |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| | Regional Freight Strategy | the regional vision and policies for the movement of goods to and from the Metro region. Specific actions that Metro, local governments, agencies and other stakeholders can take to implement the policies are identified in Chapter 8 of the Regional Freight Strategy. |
| | | Sections 3.08.150 and 3.08.160 of Title 1 of the RTFP (Freight System Design and Transportation System Management and Operations) require local planning for system designs that support these policies. |

| Policy 3.2: Moving People | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | The policy component of the RTP is structured |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| to Support Economic | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | around the implementation of the Region 2040 |
| Vitality | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and | Growth Concept through strategic transportation |
| | Freight Intermodal Facilities | improvements. As the economic engines of the |
| | Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent | region's economy, the Portland central city, eight |
| | RTP Section 3.8 – Regional Active | regional centers, the region's industrial areas and |
| | Transportation Network Vision | intermodal facilities are identified as the primary areas for transportation investments (RTP Section |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | 2.2 and Table 2.1). |
| | Objective 3.1 – Travel Choices | , |
| | Objective 3.2 – Active Transportation Plan | Transportation improvements in these primary |
| | Completion | components of the 2040 Growth Concept are also |
| | Objective 3.3 – Access to Transit | guided by a set of functional maps that establish a |
| | | series of efficient, high-quality motor vehicle, |
| | | freight, transit, bicycle and pedestrian systems that are similarly designed to reinforce the |
| | | Growth Concept (RTP Sections 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8). |
| Policy 3.3: Downtowns | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The policy component of the RTP is structured |
| and Economic | Objective 1.1 – 2040 Growth Concept | around the implementation of the Region 2040 |
| Development | Implementation | Growth Concept through strategic transportation |
| Bevelopment | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | improvements. As the economic engines of the |
| | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | region's economy, the Portland central city, eight |
| | RTP Section 3.6 – Regional Transit Network | regional centers, the region's industrial areas and |
| | Vision and Policies | intermodal facilities are identified as the primary |
| | | areas for transportation investments (RTP Section |
| | | 2.2 and Table 2.1). |
| | | Transportation improvements in these primary |
| | | components of the 2040 Growth Concept are also |
| | | guided by a set of functional maps that establish a |
| | | series of efficient, high-quality motor vehicle, |
| | | freight, transit, bicycle and pedestrian systems |

| | | that are similarly designed to reinforce the |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | Growth Concept (RTP Sections 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8). |
| <u>Policy 4.1</u> : | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | In addition to the objectives in RTP Goals 1, 3, 6 |
| Environmentally | Objective 1.2 – Walkable Communities | and 7 regarding environmental protections, the |
| Responsible Transportation | | 2018 RTP incorporates a new Goal 8 regarding |
| System | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | climate leadership, with objectives aimed at |
| | | reducing greenhouse gas emissions through |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | investments in transit, making biking and walking |
| | Objective 6.1 – Biological and Water | safe and convenient, reducing vehicle miles |
| | Resources | traveled, and supporting state efforts to transition |
| | Objective 6.2 – Historic and Cultural | to cleaner, low carbon fuels and fuel-efficient |
| | Resources | vehicles. See also findings regarding Statewide |
| | Objective 6.3 – Green Infrastructure | Planning Goal 6. |
| | Objective 6.4 – Light Pollution | |
| | Objective 6.5 – Habitat Connectivity | |
| | | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 7, Healthy People | |
| | Objective 7.2 – Pollution Impacts | |
| | Objective 7.3 – Clean Air | |
| | | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 8, Climate Leadership | |
| Policy 4.2: Energy Supply | RTP Policy: Goal 6, Healthy Environment | See findings above regarding OTP Policy 4.1. |
| | Objective 6.1 – Biological and Water | |
| | Resources | |
| | Objective 6.2 – Historic and Cultural | |
| | Resources | |
| | Objective 6.3 – Green Infrastructure | |
| | Objective 6.4 – Light Pollution | |
| | Objective 6.5 – Habitat Connectivity | |
| | | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 7, Healthy People | |

| | Objective 7.2 – Pollution Impacts | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Objective 7.3 – Clean Air | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 8, Climate Leadership | |
| Policy 4.3: Creating Communities | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | See findings above regarding OTP Policy 3.3. See also findings for statewide planning Goal 12 and RFP Policies 1.1 and 1.3.2. |
| | Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices Objective 3.1 – Travel Choices | |
| | Objective 3.3 – Access to Transit Objective 3.4 – Access to Active Travel Options PTP Policy Cool 7. Healthy People | |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 7, Healthy People Objective 7.1 – Active Living | |
| Policy 5.1: Safety | RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security | In addition to RTP Goal 5 and its objectives |
| | Objective 5.1 – Transportation Safety | regarding safety and new polices contained in |
| | Objective 5.2 – Transportation Security | Section 3.2.1, the 2018 RTP adoption package |
| | Objective 5.3 – Preparedness and Resiliency | includes a new Regional Transportation Safety |
| | RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security | Strategy, which identifies high injury corridors in |
| | Policies | the region as well as strategies and actions to |
| | | address the most common types of crashes and |
| | Regional Transportation Safety Strategy | contributing factors. The six strategies from the Regional Transportation Safety Strategy are listed in Figure 3.1 of the RTP. See also findings above regarding statewide planning Goal 7. |
| Policy 5.2: Security | RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security | See findings above regarding OTP Policy 5.1. |
| | Objective 5.1 – Transportation Safety | |
| | Objective 5.2 – Transportation Security | |

| | Objective 5.3 – Preparedness and Resiliency | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| | RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies | |
| | Regional Transportation Safety Strategy | |
| Policy 6.1: Funding | RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship | The RTP revenue forecast and financial analysis |
| Structure | Objective 10.1 – Infrastructure Condition | for operations and maintenance costs was based |
| | Objective 10.2 – Sustainable Funding | on a thorough evaluation of city and county, |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and | ODOT, TriMet and SMART cost projections. The |
| | Accountability Objective 11.1 – Meaningful Public and | system was developed based on a forecast of expected revenues that was formulated in |
| | Stakeholder Engagement | partnership with the Oregon Department of |
| | Objective 11.3 – Coordination and | Transportation, cities and counties in the Metro |
| | Cooperation | region, TriMet and South Metro Area Regional |
| | 1 | Transit (SMART). Details about the financially |
| | | constrained revenue forecast are provided in |
| | | Appendix H to the 2018 RTP. See also findings |
| | | regarding OTP Policy 2.2. |
| Policy 6.3: Public | RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and | In adopting the 2018 RTP, Metro engaged not |
| Acceptability and | Accountability | only its traditional planning partners, through |
| Understanding | Objective 11.1 – Meaningful Public and Stakeholder Engagement | JPACT and TPAC, but also MPAC and MTAC. Metro also engaged the public, business and |
| | Objective 11.2 –Performance-based Planning | community leaders and organizations, and state |
| | Objective 11.2 – Coordination and | and federal resource, wildlife, land management |
| | Cooperation | and regulatory agencies consistent with the Public |
| | T. P. S. S. | Participation Plan adopted by JPACT and the |
| | | Metro Council in Dec. 2015. Metro produced |
| | | comment reports throughout the update that were |
| | | provided to jurisdictional partners and decision- |
| | | makers to inform development of the 2018 RTP. |

| Policy 7.1: Coordinated Transportation System | RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and Accountability Objective 11.1 – Meaningful Public and Stakeholder Engagement Objective 11.3 – Coordination and Cooperation | Metro maintained a full accounting of substantive comments from the public and its jurisdictional partners in the September 14, 2018 Final Public Comment Report and Appendices and responses to the comments in the Comment Log contained in Exhibit C to Ordinance 18-1421. A formal public comment period was provided in addition to presentations to stakeholder groups and the regular Metro advisory committee meetings as described in the September 26, 2018 staff report. 2018 RTP Appendix D identifies documents in the record that describe these efforts in detail. See also findings regarding statewide planning Goal 1. See findings regarding statewide planning Goals 2 and 12 and OTP Policy 6.3. |
|---|--|--|
| Policy 7.2: Public/Private Partnerships | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship Objective 10.2 – Sustainable Funding RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and Accountability Objective 11.1 – Meaningful Public and Stakeholder Engagement Objective 11.3 – Coordination and Cooperation | Goal 4 of the 2018 RTP is aimed at utilizing innovate approaches to provide a more efficient and reliable transportation system. See also findings regarding OTP Policy 6.1. |

| Policy 7.3: Public Involvement and Consultation | RTP Policy: Goal 11, Transparency and Accountability Objective 11.1 – Meaningful Public and Stakeholder Engagement Objective 11.2 –Performance-based Planning Objective 11.3 – Coordination and Cooperation | See findings regarding statewide planning Goal 1 and OTP Policy 6.3. |
|---|---|---|
| Policy 7.4: Environmental Justice | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities Objective 1.3 – Affordable Location-Efficient Housing Choices Objective 1.4 – Access to Community Places RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent Objective 2.4 – Transportation and Housing Affordability RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices RTP Policy: Goal 9, Equitable Transportation Objective 9.1 – Transportation Equity Objective 9.2 – Barrier Free Transportation RTP Section 3.2.2, Transportation Equity Policies | See findings regarding statewide planning Goal 10 and OTP Policy 1.3.2. |

IV. Oregon Highway Plan Consistency

| Oregon Highway Plan Policy | Relevant RTP policy/RTFP requirement | Findings |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Policy 1B: Land use and | RTP Policy: Goal 1, Vibrant Communities | The acknowledged 2040 Growth Concept |
| Transportation | | provides the land use context for the 2018 RTP, |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | and is shown in Figure 2.1. The Growth Concept |
| | | establishes compact development as a guiding |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 3, Transportation Choices | principle. The Growth Concept also embraces |
| | | multi-modal solutions to address transportation |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency | needs, and links land use designations to specific |
| | | transportation strategies. A discussion of how the |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security | plan implements the Growth Concept is provided |
| | | in Section 2.2 of the RTP. The project list |
| | RTP Section 2.2: 2040 Growth Concept – | contained in Appendices A through C was |
| | Integrated Land Use and Transportation Vision | developed consistent with these policies. See also |
| | and Strategy | findings regarding statewide planning Goal 2 and |
| | | OTP Policy 6.3. |
| Policy 1C: State Highway | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | See findings for statewide planning Goal 9, OTP |
| Freight System | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and Freight | Policies 1.1, 3.1 and 3.2. The importance of |
| | Intermodal Facilities | freight movement in the region's economy is |
| | Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent | addressed in detail in the new Regional Freight |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency | Strategy, which describes the regional vision and |
| | Objective 4.1 – Regional Mobility | policies for the movement of goods to and from |
| | Objective 4.2 – Travel Management | the greater Portland region. Specific actions that |
| | Objective 4.5 – Demand Management | Metro, local governments, agencies and other |
| | | stakeholders can take to implement the policies |
| | Regional Freight Strategy | are identified in Chapter 8 of the Regional Freight |
| | | Strategy. |
| Policy 1F: Highway | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | The attached Supplement contains an explanation |
| Mobility Standards | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | of compliance of the 2018 RTP with state |
| | | highway mobility standards in OHP Policy 1F. |

| Improvements RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies MRTP requires local TSPs to do their parts of the section system design and security policies with the section system design and security policies in frastructure needs and available funding, a prioritizes maintenance and maximization of operational efficiencies of existing transport facilities (See RTP Section 4.7). Similarly, and Safety Policies, Climate Smart Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies. RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies by setting system design the security prioritizes maintenance and maximization of operational efficiencies of existing transport facilities (See RTP Section 4.7). Similarly, and Security Policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security Policies. RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies and Strategy help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete so the security Policies. | | Objective 2.2 – Access to Industry and Freight Intermodal Facilities Objective 2.3 – Access to Jobs and Talent RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency Objective 4.1 – Regional Mobility Objective 4.2 – Travel Management | |
|--|--------------|---|---|
| Policy 1G: Major Improvements RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency Improvements RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.5 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.6 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.7 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.8 – Emerging Technology Policies by setting system design the setting system design the setting system design the set policies by setting system design the setting system design the set policies by setting system design the set policies and | | | |
| Improvements RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies Manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete strategy policies meeting these policies by setting system design and security policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies and Strategy policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies well-connected network of complete strategy policies and Strategy policies and Strategy policies and Strategy policies and Strategy policies are cognized the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies and Strategy policies are cognized the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies and Strategy policies are cognized the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies and Strategy policies are cognized the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies are cognized the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety and security policies. | | <u> </u> | |
| RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies of existing transport facilities (See RTP Section 4.7). Similarly, Safety Policies, Climate Smart Strategy Policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety a help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies in the RTP requires local TSPs to do their particular to the RTP requires local TSPs to do their pa | | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency | The 2018 RTP recognizes the mismatch between |
| RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies Safety Policies, Climate Smart Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety a help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete some technology Policies The RTFP requires local TSPs to do their parameters are the section of the properties of the policies of the policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety a help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete some technology are the properties of the pr | Improvements | RTP Policy: Goal 5, Safety and Security | prioritizes maintenance and maximization of operational efficiencies of existing transportation |
| RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies RTP Section 3.2.3.2 – Climate Smart Strategy Policies RTP Section 3.2.4 – Emerging Technology Policies Emerging Technology Policies and Strategy recognize the use of existing and emerging technologies as a means to improve safety a help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete some time of the RTFP requires local TSPs to do their parameters are policies. | | RTP Policy: Goal 10, Fiscal Stewardship | facilities (See RTP Section 4.7). Similarly, the Safety Policies, Climate Smart Strategy Policies. |
| Policies help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete some the RTP requires local TSPs to do their parameters. Policies help manage congestion. The RTP and RTF for a well-connected network of complete some the RTFP requires local TSPs to do their parameters. The RTFP requires local TSPs to do their parameters are the RTFP requires local TSPs to do their parameters. | | RTP Section 3.2.1 – Safety and Security Policies | Emerging Technology Policies and Strategy |
| Policies meeting these policies by setting system des | | 9. | technologies as a means to improve safety and help manage congestion. The RTP and RTFP call for a well-connected network of complete streets. |
| | | 5 5 | The RTFP requires local TSPs to do their part in meeting these policies by setting system design |
| Management and Operations Policies Congestion Management Process and Orego | | RTP Section 3.11.2 – Transportation System | standards. Consistent with the region's Congestion Management Process and Oregon Transportation Plan, the RTFP gives priority to |
| • | | Challenges and Opportunities Ahead | non-SOV solutions to transportation needs over addition of motor vehicle capacity improvements (3.08.220A). |

| Policy 3A: Classification | RTP Policy: Goal 2, Shared Prosperity | The street design classifications in Table 3.8 of |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| and Spacing Standards | Objective 2.1 – Connected Region | the 2018 RTP and illustrated in Figure 3.9 |
| and Spacing Standards | Connected Region | correlate access policies to implementation of the |
| | DTD Deliese Coel 4 Deliebility and Efficiency | * * |
| | RTP Policy: Goal 4, Reliability and Efficiency | 2040 Growth Concept. Designs for Throughways |
| | Objective 4.1 – Regional Mobility | (shown on figure 3.17) correlate to the Interstate |
| | Objective 4.2 – Travel Management | and Statewide highway designations in the |
| | | Oregon Highway Plan, and are consistent with |
| | RTP Section 3.3 – Regional System Design and | OHP policies for access management and the use |
| | Placemaking Vision and Policies | of grade-separated intersections. Designs for |
| | | Arterials address access management for arterial |
| | | streets in the metropolitan area, and correlate to |
| | | the District Highway designation in the 1999 |
| | | Oregon Highway Plan. Access management |
| | | strategies for driveway and intersection design in |
| | | these classifications are consistent with the OHP |
| | | policies. The RTP and RTFP call for a well- |
| | | connected network of complete streets and |
| | | strategies to manage access and demand on the |
| | | system (See RTFP Sections 3.08.110 and |
| | | 3.08.160). The exact location of medians, |
| | | driveways and street intersections is determined at |
| | | the project development phase. |

Supplement to Exhibit D to Ordinance No. 18-1421 Findings

I. Goal 12 and OAR Division 12 (Transportation Planning Rule)

Under federal law, Metro is required to update the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) at least every five years starting with adoption of the 2018 RTP. In 2014, the primary focus of the RTP update was air quality compliance, and the 2014 amendments included only a few policy changes, with most revisions being of a technical and housekeeping nature. Changes being adopted as part of this 2018 RTP update are more extensive, and include the addition of a new goal regarding climate leadership with related objectives and policies, new policies regarding transportation equity, and four new, supporting regional strategies addressing transit, freight, transportation safety and emerging technology.

Because the 2014 RTP was acknowledged by LCDC as compliant with the statewide planning goals and the state Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), these findings focus on describing how the amendments and updates contained in the 2018 RTP ensure continued compliance with applicable state planning goals and administrative rules. The fundamental requirement of Goal 12 and the TPR is that the RTP must provide a transportation system that is adequate to serve planned land uses. The RTP, together with the local city and county transportation system plans (TSPs), are aimed to serve the land uses planned by the region's 24 cities and metro portions of Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington counties. Under Metro's regional planning authority, the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP) component of the RTP directs how local governments will implement the RTP. The RTFP includes a schedule for city and county action, if necessary, to bring their TSPs into compliance with the RTP. Upon completion of the 2018 RTP, the local compliance schedule will be updated in coordination with the local governments to reflect their own planning work programs and the availability of funds for the work.

The 2014 RTP applied an outcomes-based framework for regional transportation planning that includes policies, objectives and actions that guides future planning and investment decisions to achieve specific economic, equity and environmental outcomes. That approach remains unchanged in the 2018 RTP, which continues to include a broad set of performance targets that are tied to the outcomes that the RTP aims to achieve. The targets and other performance measures included in the plan continue the region's shift away from sole reliance upon level-of-service as the primary measure for determining transportation needs and success of the plan's strategies. In addition, the RTP commits Metro and its regional partners to continue developing a regional data collection and performance monitoring system to better understand the benefits and impacts of actions called for in the RTP and RTFP.

TPR 0015: Preparation and Coordination of Transportation System Plans

Findings of consistency of the 2018 RTP with the Oregon Transportation Plan and the Oregon Highway Plan are set forth in the table that is included as part of this Exhibit D.

TPR 0020: Elements of Transportation System Plans

The RTP is the "transportation system plan" for the metropolitan region, implementing the LCDC-acknowledged 2040 Growth Concept, and serving as the federal metropolitan transportation plan for the region. The plan establishes a network of regionally significant facilities and services (Chapter 3) to meet the overall transportation needs of the region (Chapter 4 and Section 6.1.1), and contains policies (Chapter 2, Goals and Objectives and Chapter 3, System Policies), regional strategies, projects (Section 6.3 and Appendix A and B) and implementing land use regulations for cities and counties (RTFP).

In 2016, the Metro Council adopted the 2040 Household and Employment Forecast Distribution after extensive review and involvement from local governments and Metro advisory committees (Metro Ordinance No. 16-1371). The regionally-coordinated 2040 Household and Employment Forecast Distribution serves as the basis of analysis in the 2018 RTP. The model was prepared using the MetroScope "William" TAZ Forecast (described in Appendix M) and provides an estimate forecast and distribution of population and employment for the region from 2015 to 2040. The land use assumptions used in this forecast are based on the LCDC-acknowledged 2040 Growth Concept, estimating a modest expansion of the regional urban growth boundary over the RTP planning period consistent with state law.

The RTP identifies transportation needs and all feasible solutions (Figure 6.14) based on projected growth and travel patterns and level of funding assumed for planning period of 2018 to 2040.

First, the plan contains two levels of investments to the components of the overall transportation system:

- 1. The RTP Constrained Priorities set of investments (defined as the "financially constrained" list under federal requirements) for which funding over the planning period is "reasonably anticipated to be available" based upon a detailed transportation revenue forecast for the region. The region has deemed this list of investments as "reasonably likely to be funded" for the purpose of state statute and administrative rules. The RTP demonstrates that these improvements would adequately support the region's land use plans and meet or exceed most of the system performance targets established in the plan. This set of investments will also serve as the basis for complying with federal law and air quality regulations and findings of consistency with the Statewide Planning Goal 12, the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule and the Oregon Transportation Plan and its components.
- 2. The RTP Strategic Priorities (also known as the "Strategic" RTP list) includes the Constrained Priorities projects plus additional investments that the region could build if new or expanded revenue sources are secured. These projects are simply illustrative for the purpose of compliance with federal and state requirements.

Second, through adoption of new policies and implementation of them through the RTFP and other mechanisms, the RTP will contribute to changes in travel behavior by promoting

development of regional transit, bicycle and pedestrian systems and creating a well-connected arterial, collector and local street network. Third, the RTFP requires local TSPs to do their part in meeting regional and state needs implemented through system design standards for street connectivity, transit system design, pedestrian system design, bicycle system design, freight system design and transportation system management and operations in Title 1 and considering regional travel needs identified in the RTP in local planning decisions and as part of local TSP updates.

The RTP includes a mobility corridor framework that identifies overall regional needs and strategies for the region's 24 major transportation corridors. These corridors are subareas of the region that include the principal interurban connections in the region and supporting multimodal facilities and services. The mobility corridor strategies describe the function of each corridor in implementing the 2040 Growth Concept and in the overall movement of people and goods in the region. The mobility corridor strategies (and System Maps in Chapter 3 of the RTP: Figure 3.17, Figure 3.21, Figure 3.27, Figure 3.30 and Figure 3.32) identify the general location of existing and new regional transportation facilities and how they serve the land uses envisioned in the 2040 Growth Concept. The mobility corridor strategies also identify transportation needs, projects (by mode) and other necessary actions to address the needs in each mobility corridor.

Chapter 4 of the RTP contains an inventory and assessment of existing facilities in the road, freight, transit, bicycle, trail and pedestrian systems, system management and operations, demand management and regional bridges. As noted above, the plan includes two sets of planned facilities and improvements, the constrained set of investments and the strategic set of investments. The analysis of these facilities, existing and planned, describes how the entire system performs over the RTP planning period when measured against the region's mobility, system completion, VMT and modal targets (Chapter 7).

Bicycles and Pedestrians

The 2018 RTP continues to implement recommendations included in the Regional Active Transportation Plan, including a Regional Active Transportation Network Vision (Section 3.8). That sections strengthens and expands upon existing active transportation policies and provides additional detail regarding bicycle and pedestrian networks.

TPR 0025: Refinement Plans

As contemplated by OAR 660-012-0025, the 2018 RTP identifies specific mobility corridors in the region that are recommended for more detailed refinement planning because they do not meet performance standards of the RTP and/or do not fully answer questions of mode, function and general location of needed transportation projects. These mobility corridors are generally described in Section 8.2.4, and listed in Table 8.1. The seven groups of mobility corridors recommended for future refinement planning comprise 13 of the 24 mobility corridors identified in the Appendix and are listed in Figure 8.5. The steps associated with the proposed corridor refinement planning are described in Section 8.2.4, and detailed lists of the proposed contents of each of the seven refinement plans are included in Sections 8.2.4.1 through 8.2.4.7.

These corridor refinement plans will involve a combination of transportation and land use analysis, multiple local jurisdictions and facilities operated by multiple transportation providers. Metro, TriMet or ODOT will initiate and typically lead necessary refinement planning in coordination with other affected local, regional, state and federal agencies. In some instances, ODOT, TriMet and local partners may initiate and lead completion of more localized planning needed within an identified corridor refinement plan with an acknowledgement that the more localized planning not preclude broader questions to be addressed. The refinement plans will more thoroughly define the need, mode, function and general location of transportation improvements and programs in the corridor, and consider a range of solutions and strategies to address identified needs (mobility strategies in Appendix). Sections 8.2.4.1 through 8.2.4.7 of the 2018 RTP describe each of the seven corridor refinement plans, identifying the transportation needs that require further work on need, mode, function and general location, explain why a refinement plan is needed, and describe the specific findings that will be needed to resolve issues being deferred to the refinement plans.

A separate refinement planning effort is also described in the 2018 RTP (Section 8.2.3.1) for the regional mobility policy itself. The plan currently relies on policy set in the 2000 RTP, and a major update is needed to reflect changing conditions and expectations in the region, and to evolve the policy to become multimodal as a broad indicator of the transportation system's ability to move goods and people in our region. This work is anticipated to occur in 2019-20 in partnership with ODOT and regional partners.

Early in the process regarding the 2018 RTP, Metro and ODOT determined that the amount of work required to update the regional mobility policy could not be completed within the time allowed for adoption of the RTP by the end of 2018. Deferral to a refinement plan is necessary to allow more time for working with policy makers, engaging the public, and collaborating with ODOT and the Oregon Transportation Commission. Metro worked with ODOT staff to develop the scope of the proposed mobility policy refinement plan, which is included in Section 8.2.3.1 of the RTP. That section describes the transportation needs for which decisions are being deferred to a mobility policy refinement plan, and describes the expected outcomes and findings that will be needed to resolve mobility policy issues. The existing mobility policy described in Section 3.5.3 and Table 3.16 will continue to apply while this work is being completed. The updated mobility policy will be included as part of the next RTP update (due in 2023).

TPR 0030: Transportation Needs

The determination of transportation needs included in the 2018 RTP has been evaluated using the regional travel demand model, and determined to be appropriate and sufficient for the scale of the regional transportation network. The needs analysis is based on a 2040 population and employment forecast described in Appendix M and projected traffic volumes compared to capacity of road network and gaps and deficiency analysis for each mode. The forecast drives the determination of future needs, but the determination itself involves examination of the components of the overall system (roads, transit, etc.) in light of the goals and objectives of the RTP.

The RTP addresses the needs of the transportation-disadvantaged by emphasizing facilities and services for transit riders, pedestrians and bicyclists and increasing access to these facilities and services for youth, older adults and people with disabilities. Transportation needs of seniors and people with disabilities identified in the Coordinated Transportation Plan for Seniors and People with Disabilities (2016) are included in the region's needs, based on coordination with TriMet. These needs are documented in Appendix G to the 2018 RTP. State transportation needs identified in the state TSP are included in the region's needs, based upon coordination with the Oregon Department of Transportation, as are needs for the movement of goods and services to support industrial and commercial development planned by cities and counties pursuant to OAR 660-09 and Goal 9 (Economic Development). The RTP, and Regional Freight Strategy and TSMO plan, address the needs for the movement of goods and services by establishing a regional freight network, addressing freight reliability and shipping choices in RTP Goals 2, 3 and 4, and prioritizing investments that optimize the existing transportation system and provide access to centers and employments areas (including industrial areas and freight intermodal facilities).

TPR 0035: System Alternatives

The 2018 RTP continues to prioritize investment in connectivity of multimodal systems and defines a system of investments that is reasonably expected to meet identified needs in a safe manner and at a reasonable cost with available technology, strategies and actions. The 2018 RTP evaluates a full range of transportation investment and system management alternatives, including improvements to existing facilities, new facilities with a focus on safety, transportation equity, expanded travel options, a well-connected transportation network, transportation system management and operations measures and demand management measures.

Like previous RTPs, the 2018 RTP is designed to achieve adopted standards for increasing transportation choices and reducing reliance on the automobile. Chapter 7 of the 2018 RTP describes outcomes from applicable performance measures and benchmarks. Table 7.1 lists the RTP performance measures used for plan evaluation, linked to relevant RTP goals. Section 7.4 describes the specific findings for 15 different system evaluation measures. Among other findings, Tables 7.5 through 7.8 show a five percent decrease in vehicle miles traveled per capita between 2015 and 2040, as well as increases in per capita miles traveled using other modes, including transit and bicycling.

The Regional Framework Plan and its component functional plans implement the state-acknowledged 2040 Growth Concept in our region. Since adoption of the 2040 Growth Concept in 1995, the region has aggressively pursued implementation of the integrated land use and transportation vision called for in the plan through both functional plan requirements and regional investments identified in the RTP. The concept calls for compact, mixed-use, pedestrian friendly and transit supportive development patterns in centers and major travel corridors where existing infrastructure is already concentrated, and where new system investments can have the greatest impact. In the 23 years following adoption of the Growth Concept, cities and counties have amended plans and land use regulations to allow mixed-use and higher density development. The region has added four new light rail lines to the high-capacity transit system since adoption of the Growth Concept (with a fifth line currently in the planning stages) and frequent service bus lines connecting the Central City and several Regional and Town Centers.

Local governments in the region have been implementing arterial and local street connectivity, completing gaps in the bike and pedestrian system and adopted the parking ratios in Title 4 of the RTFP. At the regional level, programs such as the Regional Travel Options (RTO) program, the Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) program and coordination of the application of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) have also supported the 2040 Growth Concept vision. As described in Chapter 7 of the 2018 RTP, performance measurement indicates that implementation of the 2040 Growth Concept is yielding good results and reducing vehicle miles traveled: modal shares are shifting to the transit, bicycle and pedestrian systems; greater Portland was ranked 8th in commuting by transit in 2015, ahead of much larger metro area; greater Portland has the highest rate of commuters bicycling to work in the nation; and residents of the Metro region drive 25 percent fewer miles per year than other U.S. regions of a similar size.

Goal 1 of the RTP emphasizes a compact urban form, which encourages the use of transit, bicycles and pedestrian systems. Goal 2 calls for an integrated transportation system that improves access to jobs and talent, freight reliability and intermodal connectivity for people and goods, which also encourages the use of transit, bicycles and pedestrian systems. Goal 3 calls for expanded travel choices. Goal 4 emphasizes better management of existing systems and value pricing to yield efficiencies to optimize existing and new capacity, improve system reliability and reduce emissions. Goal 10 calls for maximizing return on investment. All of these goals are implemented through regional investments in the RTP, Regional Flexible Funds Allocation process and the requirements for city and county transportation planning in the RTFP. Section 3.08.220A of the RTFP requires cities and counties to consider first those transportation solutions that do not involve new road capacity for motor vehicles.

TPR 0045: Implementation

Section 0045 provides direction to cities and counties, the local governments that adopt and apply comprehensive plans, zoning and land division ordinances, building codes and other land use regulations. The RTFP implements the RTP, but it also prescribes standards and criteria for city and county TSPs and land use regulations.

TPR 0050: Project Development

The 2018 RTP provides for coordinated project development among affected local governments, including citizen involvement. Goal 11 of the 2018 RTP calls for meaningful public input opportunities for interested and affected stakeholders in plan development and review, including people who have traditionally been underrepresented in the transportation planning process. RTP Section 8.2.4 describes coordinated corridor refinement planning and project development among affected local governments. In addition, Metro's "Public Engagement Guide" provides policies and procedures for citizen involvement that Metro is expected to follow in the development of plans and projects, including Metro-administered funding, and Metro-led corridor refinement plans and project development activities.

Cities and counties are generally responsible for transportation project development to implement the regional TSP by determining the precise location, alignment, and preliminary

design of improvements included in the regional TSP. Title 3 (Transportation Project Development) of the RTFP requires cities and counties to specify the general locations and facility parameters of planned transportation facilities. ODOT is responsible for project development activities of state-owned facilities pursuant to OAR 731 Division 15. The specifications must be consistent with the RTP (3.08.310A).

TPR 0055: Timing of Adoption and Update of TSPs

Under provisions of the TPR, Metro establishes an implementation schedule for city and county TSP updates to respond to adoption of an updated RTP. The Metro website (www.oregonmetro.gov/tsp) includes a work plan and compliance schedule for local TSP updates to be consistent with the RTP.

II. Climate Smart Strategy Performance Measures – RFP 7.8.6

As described in Appendix J of the 2018 RTP, in 2014 the Metro Council and JPACT adopted a regional "Climate Smart Strategy" to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from passenger cars and light trucks to meet state-mandated targets by 2035. That strategy relies on policies and investments that have been identified as local priorities in communities across the region (see Appendix J, page 2). Specific implementation actions that Metro has taken since adoption of the Climate Smart Strategy in 2014 are listed on page 3 of Appendix J.

The Climate Smart Strategy includes a set of performance measures for tracking the region's progress, which were incorporated into the Regional Framework Plan (RFP) at Section 7.8.6. The purpose of those performance measures is to monitor and assess whether key elements and actions that make up the strategy are being implemented, and whether the strategy is achieving expected outcomes.

RFP Section 7.8.6 directs Metro to monitor 10 specific performance measures as part of regularly scheduled updates to the RTP (now scheduled to occur every five years). Those performance monitoring measures are applied in Table 2 of Appendix J, which documents progress on implementing the Climate Smart Strategy since 2014 using observed data sources for the 2015 Base Year, and estimating the expected progress that would be achieved by 2035 and 2040 assuming that planned projects included in the 2018 RTP constrained list are fully implemented by those years.

As described in Appendix J, the 2018 RTP exceeds most of the Climate Smart Strategy performance monitoring targets from RFP Section 7.8.6 as shown in Table 2 of Appendix J. Assuming full funding, the policies and projects in the 2018 RTP can be expected to meet the state-mandated targets for reducing per capita greenhouse gas emissions from passenger cars and light trucks by 2035 and 2040.

III. Oregon Highway Plan Policy 1F: Mobility Standards

The 2018 RTP includes alternative volume-to-capacity-based "interim mobility standards" that were originally approved for the Metro region by the Oregon Transportation Commission and

incorporated into the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) in 2002. See RTP Table 3.16. Chapters 2 and 7 of the 2018 RTP establishes a system for measurement of the performance of the regional transportation system and evaluates the system using those measures (RTP Section 7.4.10).

The Chapter 7 evaluation finds that certain state highway segments in the system will not meet the mobility standards in OHP Table 7 under Policy 1F.1 of the OHP by 2040, even with the investments to the system proposed in the 2018 RTP. In this situation, OHP Policy 1F.5 establishes a different performance standard for the 2018 RTP:

"For purposes of evaluating ... transportation system plans, in situations where the volume to capacity ratio for a highway segment ... is currently above the standards in Table 6 or Table 7 ... and transportation improvements are not planned within the planning horizon to bring performance to the established target, the mobility target is to avoid further degradation."

The region has many more identified needs for improvement of highway performance than there is feasible funding available to address (RTP Chapter 5). As described previously in the findings, the RTP includes a financially feasible implementation program and feasible policies, projects and supporting strategies.

As a result, the RTP improves performance as much as feasible and implements a number of projects, strategies and actions aimed at avoiding further degradation.

As allowed under OHP Policy 1F.3, the RTP describes (and as described previously in these findings) a joint collaborative planning effort between Metro and ODOT regarding a refinement plan that will substantially update the regional mobility policy and targets after adoption of the 2018 RTP. Section 8.2.3.1 of the 2018 RTP describes the background of Metro's efforts regarding the interim regional mobility policy and creation of 24 regional mobility corridors in the 2010 RTP. As described in Section 8.2.3.1, the regional mobility policy update will build upon more recent studies and reports by ODOT regarding corridor bottleneck operations and traffic performance, and will apply new regulations adopted by the U.S. Department of Transportation requiring enhanced monitoring of mobility and new targets for system performance. As allowed under OHP Policy 1F.3, the refinement plan's resulting alternative highway mobility targets are expected to reflect the balance between relevant objectives related to land use, economic development, social equity, and mobility and safety for all modes of transportation. Metro and ODOT will jointly seek adoption of the alternative highway mobility targets by the Oregon Transportation Commission as required by the OHP.

The 2018 RTP Constrained Priorities list includes roughly \$15.4 billion in capital investments, representing the level of investment the region's policymakers' willingness and commitment to raise new revenue, and are "reasonably likely" to be available during the planning period. As a result of ODOT's limited resources, the 2018 RTP includes significant local funding contributions to projects of importance to cities and counties on both the interstate and arterial part of the state-owned system, including regional and district highways.

However, expected funding and system expansion alone cannot meet projected demand in the region, and consistent with state policy, the 2018 RTP relies on demand management and system management to manage growth in travel in both the near- and long-term. The system and demand management policies in the RTP (Section 3.11) and resulting projects and programs are intended to actively manage and optimize the use of existing and planned transportation facilities. The regional congestion management process also requires local jurisdictions to consider system and demand management solutions before adding roadway capacity to the regional system (RTP Section 3.5.4). These provisions are implemented through Goals 4 and 5 of the RTP, Title 1 Section 3.08.160 and 3.08.220 of the RTFP, the Regional Transportation System Management and Operations Plan that is a component of the 2018 RTP, and a number of other recommended projects and programs.

The RTFP requires each city and county to take the actions prescribed in 3.08.230.E to help demonstrate that the RTP is consistent with Action 1F.5 of the OHP and to be eligible for a 30 percent trip reduction credit for plan amendments:

- 1. Parking minimum and maximum ratios in Centers and Station Communities (3.08.410.A)
- 2. Designs for street, transit, bicycle, freight and pedestrian systems consistent with Title 1; and
- 3. TSMO projects and strategies, including localized TDM, safety, operational and access management improvements (3.08.160); and
- 4. Land use actions pursuant to OAR 660-012-0035(2).

More specific examples of all feasible actions included in the RTP and RTFP pursuant to OHP Policy 1F.5 include:

- Providing a network of local streets, collectors and arterials to relieve traffic demand on state highways and to provide convenient pedestrian and bicycle ways (RTP Chapter 3; RTFP Sections 3.08.110, 3.08.130, 3.08.140 and 3.08.220);
- Managing access and traffic operations to minimize traffic accidents, avoid traffic backups on freeway ramps, and make the most efficient use of existing and planned highway capacity (RTP Chapter 3, Regional TSMO plan and RTFP Sections 3.08.110.G, 3.08.160 and 3.08220A(1));
- Managing traffic demand, where feasible, to manage peak hour traffic loads on state highways (RTP Chapter 3, Regional TSMO plan and RTFP Sections 3.08.110.G, 3.08.160 and 3.08220.A(1));
- Providing alternative modes of transportation (RTP Chapter 3 and RTFP Sections 3.08.120, 3.08.130, 3.08.140, and 3.08.160, 3.08.220.A(2)); and
- Managing land use to limit vehicular demand on state highways consistent with the Land Use and Transportation Policy (1B) (RTFP Section 3.08.220.A(4) and 2040 Growth Concept implementation through the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan).

More specific examples of TSMO actions that can be taken by local governments in the region pursuant to 3.08.160 include the following:

- Reconfigure highway and side-street accesses to minimize traffic conflicts at intersections;
- Limit parking near signalized intersections to increase intersection capacity;
- Coordinate and operate traffic signals to improve traffic progression;
- Relocate driveways and improve local road connections to direct traffic away from overburdened intersections and intersections where side-street capacity is limited in order to optimize traffic progression on the state highway.

The evaluation in Chapter 7 of the RTP also demonstrates that the proposed investments will bring the region much closer to the modal targets in the RTP than a "no build" system (Table 7.11). Finally, the evaluation finds that the proposed investments would significantly reduce traffic delay on the regional freight network (Table 7.28) and the overall number of congested network miles (Section 7.4.10). In light of this evaluation, RTFP Section 3.08.230 sets mobility and modal share standards and targets for city and county TSPs. More important than these proposed investments toward meeting the Policy 1F.1 performance targets, however, is the region's past and continued effort to compact, mixed-use, pedestrian and transit-supportive communities linked by a multi-modal transportation system. This growth strategy is proving more successful in reducing vehicle miles traveled and shifting drive alone trips to walking, biking, transit and shared ride modes than efforts in other parts of the U.S.

Building upon the RTP mobility corridor framework, mobility corridor strategies and the performance measures in the RTP (Chapter 7), the region's congestion management process will provide a framework for future data collection and plan monitoring for system performance. The data will be used to help assess various strategies for managing congestion in each of the region's mobility corridors. The region's partner agencies and local governments then look for ways to implement appropriate strategies through ongoing or new projects in those corridors. As strategies are implemented, a follow-up assessment will be conducted to determine the effectiveness of the improvements.