

METRO COUNCIL

Work Session Worksheet

PRESENTATION DATE: August 1, 2017 **LENGTH:** 45 minutes

PRESENTATION TITLE: Disposal RFP Evaluation Criteria Weighting for Public Transfer Stations

DEPARTMENT: Property and Environmental Services

PRESENTER(S): Paul Slyman, 503-797-1510, paul.slyman@oregonmetro.gov
Will Elder, 503-797-1581, will.elder@oregonmetro.gov
Dan Pitzler, CH2M, 425-233-3592, dan.pitzler@ch2m.com

WORK SESSION PURPOSE & DESIRED OUTCOMES

- Purpose: To review the evaluation criteria and weights related to disposal procurement.
- Outcome: Provide the public, stakeholders and the procurement team a clear understanding of the values and priorities Metro Council would like to see from this disposal solicitation.

TOPIC BACKGROUND & FRAMING THE WORK SESSION DISCUSSION

Under Oregon law and the Metro Charter, Metro is responsible for management of the region's garbage and recycling system. Since 1990, by contract Metro has delivered or caused to be delivered 90 percent of the landfill-bound putrescible waste that is generated within its jurisdictional boundary to landfills owned by Waste Management, Inc. That contractual arrangement is set to expire on December 31, 2019, and Metro must procure replacement services.

As owner of two solid waste transfer stations, Metro Central in Northwest Portland and Metro South in Oregon City, Metro seeks to enter into new contractual arrangements to transport and dispose of the roughly 500,000 tons per year of garbage that is consolidated for disposal at these two facilities. Staff proposes to use this procurement to identify the transport and landfill option(s) that best serve the region and maximize public benefits.

On May 2nd, staff recommended to Council maintaining separate transport and disposal contracts. The main advantage to this approach is to maximize public benefits. Each of the two services we will procure, transport and disposal, may have different impacts on public benefits. The proposed criteria weights reflect staff's understanding of both the importance and the expected variability of responses. For attributes that will not vary a lot among proposers, criteria weights are relatively lower. For important attributes that are expected to vary a lot and hence, differentiate among proposers, those evaluation criteria should be weighted relatively more. At the July 18th work session, staff updated Council on the transport and disposal procurement and reviewed criteria weights for the transportation component of this procurement. On August 1st, will we consider the disposal criteria.

Metro is seeking the next long term landfill that will provide the greatest benefit in protecting the public's health, protecting the environment and get a good value for the public's money. If time permits, we will also review the following proposed disposal request for proposal evaluation criteria. The proposed disposal criteria are:

- Environmental
- Operational Considerations/Reduction of Risk to Metro
- Community and Diversity
- Cost

Staff will show the linkage between public benefits and proposed criteria for this Disposal RFP. Staff will propose points for each evaluation criterion (that add up to 100) as a starting point, and ask Council if they would prefer a different allocation of points.

QUESTIONS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION

- Does Council support the proposed evaluation criteria and point allocation?
- Does Council have any other comments or suggestions about the procurement?

PACKET MATERIALS

- Would legislation be required for Council action ☐ Yes ☒ No
- No additional materials