

Transport RFP Evaluation Criteria Weighting for Public Transfer Stations



July 18, 2017




#### Agenda

- Request for Qualification Update
- Communications
- Public Benefits and Evaluation Criteria
- Discussion on Weighting of Criteria

#### Roadmap Project



#### Using garbage as a resource









# Qualified Landfills for Disposal RFP



Columbia Ridge, Gilliam County OR (Arlington)



Finley Buttes, Morrow County OR (Boardman)



Roosevelt, Klickitat County WA (Roosevelt)



Wasco County, Wasco County (The Dalles)











#### Communication

What we've done

Through the draft RFP comment period







#### **Project Milestones**

**May 2, 2017 \*** Ove

Overview of transport and disposal

procurement.

**Summer 2017 \*** 

Evaluation criteria weighting.

**Summer 2017** 

Qualify eligible landfills, and release draft

RFPs for comment.

Fall 2017\*

Present Council with draft RFPs feedback

**Fall 2017** 

Release RFPs.

**Spring 2018** 

Announce highest ranked firms.

**Summer 2018** 

Negotiate final contracts.

Fall 2018 \*

Approve contract signing.

**January 1, 2020** 

New transport and disposal contracts begin.

\* Asterisk denotes Council engagement

#### Focus of Today's Discussion

#### **Transportation RFP**

Disposal RFP

#### Public Benefits and Transport Criteria

Public Benefits of	2008 Transportation RFP	2017 Transportation RFP	
Regional Solid Waste System	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Proposed Criteria	
Protect people's health			
Protect the environment	Environmental Impacts Environmental Impacts		
Keep the commitment to the highest and best use of materials			
Get good value for the public's money	Cost	Cost	
Be adaptive and responsive in managing materials	Operational Considerations, Reduce Risk to Metro	Operational Approach, Experience, and Reduction of Risk to Metro	
Ensure services are available to all types of customers	Socioeconomic Impacts	Community and Diversity	

## Key Attributes of Transport Criteria

		Community and	
Environmental	Operations	Diversity	Cost
Particulate matter	Equipment and staffing	Workforce diversity	Formula based on
Greenhouse gases	Reliable, timely service	Wages and benefits	low cost proposal
Emissions in Corgo	Contingonavalons	COBID subcontractors	
Emissions in Gorge Conting	Contingency plans	and suppliers	
	Equipment maintenance	Noise and Traffic in	
	and replacement	Neighborhoods	
	Maximizing payloads	Community relations	
	Safety		
	Emergency procedures		
	Adaptable to future		
	change		
	Financial strength		
	Sustainable practices		

### 2008 Transportation RFP Evaluation

Criteria	
Environmental Impacts	20
Cost	45
Operational Considerations, Reduce Risk to Metro	25
Socioeconomic Impacts	10
Total	100

#### Handout Points for Transport Criteria

# Scratch Pad: Your Thoughts About Point Allocation Criteria

~	
Criteria	Points
Environmental Impacts	
Cost	
Operational Approach, Experience, and	
Reduction of Risk to Metro	
Community and Diversity	
Total	100

# 2017 Transportation RFP Evaluation Staff Recommendation

Criteria	Points
Environmental Impacts	10
Cost	45
Operational Approach, Experience, and Reduction of Risk to Metro	25
Community and Diversity	20
Total	100

#### **Questions for Council**

 Does Council support the proposed evaluation criteria and point allocation?

•Does Council have any other comments or suggestions about the procurement?

#### oregonmetro.gov

