

# Metro JPACT Briefing

Department of Community Services Transportation Division

February 18, 2021

## **Project Overview**





### Seismic Resiliency and Emergency Response



### **Regional Recovery and Rebuilding**





## **Project Overview**

#### EARTHQUAKE READY READY BURNSIDE BRIDGE

#### Why Burnside?

- Regional lifeline route
- Runs almost 19 miles, from Washington County to Mount Hood Highway (US 26)
- Located in the heart of downtown, it is a key link across the Willamette River
- Fewest risks of having overpasses collapse on it during an earthquake











# **Project Funding Plan**



#### Cost Range: \$775-\$875M



### Locally Funded Up to \$270M

\$270M - Multnomah County Vehicle Registration Fee

### Seeking Funds Up to \$630M Federal

- Surface Transportation Reauthorization
- Infrastructure/Stimulus Package
- Earmarks
- BUILD Grant

#### State

• Legislative Ask

#### Local

• Future Metro Funding Package







# Draft Environmental Impact Statement



## **Draft Environmental Impact Statement**



#### **Technical Reports**

- Acquisitions and Relocations
- Air Quality
- Climate Change\*
- Economics
- Environmental Justice
- Equity\*
- Floodplain and River Hydraulics
- Geology
- Hazardous Materials
- Health Impact Assessment\*
- Historic and Archaeological Resources
- Land Use
- Noise and Vibration

- Parks and Recreation
- Public Services
- Right of Way
- River Navigation
- Social and Neighborhood Resources
- Transportation
- Utilities
- Vegetation, Wildlife, and Aquatic Resources
- Visual and Aesthetic Resources
- Water Quality
- Wetlands and Waters
- Section 4(f) Evaluation



\*Additional technical reports developed, not part of FHWA requirement

## **Recommended Preferred Alternative**



### **Replacement Long Span - come in different types...**







MOVABLE SPAN TYPES (EXAMPLE)



## **Recommended Preferred Alternative**



### **Replacement Long Span**



### **BENEFITS**

- Best for seismic resiliency
- Least cost alternative
- Enhances/preserves community resources
- Improves safety for bike/ped/ADA
- Least impacts to natural resources

### **IMPACTS**

 Removes historic Burnside Bridge

### **CONSIDERATIONS**

• Views



## **Recommended Preferred Alternative**



### Traffic During Construction: Full Bridge Closure



- Least cost (building a temporary bridge would add \$90 million to the project cost)
- Shortest construction duration (temporary bridge would add 1.5 2 years to construction duration, extending duration of impacts to surrounding area including parks, residents, recreational activities and transportation)
- Least impact to natural resources (temporary bridge adds in-water construction)



## **Draft Environmental Impact Statement**



#### **Closures and Travel Delay**

- Burnside Bridge up to 4.5 years
- Eastbank Esplanade 18 months to 4.5 years
- Portion of Waterfront Park up to 4.5 years
- Travel delays, detours and reroutes for the traveling public
  - Drivers: ~2-4 minute delay
  - **Bicyclists:** ~5-12 minute delay
  - Pedestrians: ~10-18 minute delay
  - Buses: ~5 min travel delay

(\*Times reflect delay in comparison to building a temporary bridge)





## Outreach: Draft Environmental Impact Statement

#### February 5 – March 22



**Objective:** Share findings of the environmental analysis and allow for public review and comment on the DEIS. 45-day comment period.

### **Key Activities:**

- Online open house
- Briefings
- In-person hearing by appointment
- Voicemail, emails, comment form, snail mail
- E-newsletters, news releases and social media







# **Bridge Type Selection**



# **Range of Bridge Types**



115' Wide

(Fixed)

(3) East Approach Span

650' Lon:



425' Long

(2) Main River Span

(Movable)

TATAT

----

(Fixed)

(1) West Approach Span

450' Long

14

84

.84

5

# **Range of Bridge Types**



#### Long Span

#### **Tied Arch**



#### Truss



#### **Cable Supported**



Girder (applicable to west approach only)





#### 16

# **Range of Bridge Types**

#### Movable Span

Lift









## **Evaluation Criteria Topics**



Human Experience & Bridge Surroundings	On-bridge Experience
	Below-bridge Experience
	Relation to Surroundings
	Pedestrian and Cyclist Connectivity
Overall Look & Feel of the Bridge	Bridge Overall Look
	Bridge Form and Style
	Flexible Design
Cost & Construction - Impacts to Users	Total Project Cost
	Long Term Costs
	Construction Impacts



## **Outreach:** Bridge Type Selection



#### January 22 – February 21





**Objective:** Gather input on range of bridge types and evaluation topics

### **Key Activities:**

- Virtual Briefings
- Online Open House and Survey
- Videos
- Webinar
- E-newsletters, news releases and social media
- Diverse outreach through the Community Engagement Liaisons program







# **Next Steps**



# **Next Steps**



#### **Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update**



- Feasibility Study and NEPA phases were included in the 2018 RTP update as part of the financially constrained list of projects
- After DEIS comment period, project will seek adoption of the Preferred Alternative Replacement Long Span into the RTP
- Early coordination with Metro staff ongoing



## **Next Steps**



#### **Key Milestones**

- February/March 2021: Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) Publication and Comment Period
- July 2021: Policy Group Approval of Bridge Type
- Fall 2021: Metro Council and JPACT Adoption of Project Preferred Alternative into RTP
- Fall 2021: Final EIS and Record of Decision

