Capacity building budget note response

*February 27*th, 2020

Raahi Reddy, DEI



Budget note summary

- Directive: Propose a program that...
 - Addresses increased demand on CBO partners
 - Develops long-term partnerships
 - Builds community capacity to advance Metro goals and investment measures
- Key questions
 - What's the need? Where are the gaps?
 - What could a program look like?
 - What are possible outcomes?

Process

CBO Staff

- Marcus Mundy, Coalition of Communities of Color
- Glenn Montgomery, Vision Action Network
- Andrea Chunga-Celis, Adelante Mujeres
- David Martinez, Latino Network
- Huy Ong, OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon
- Jenny Lee, APANO
- Joy Alise Davis, Portland African American Leadership Forum
- Kayse Jama, Unite Oregon
- Maria Caballero Rubio, Centro Cultural de Washington County
- Nancy Haque, Basic Rights Oregon
- Vivian Satterfield, Verde

Metro Staff

- Craig Beebe (GAPD)
- Sebrina Owens-Wilson (DEI)
- Ernest Hayes (Council)
- Jon Blasher (Parks)
- Raahi Reddy (DEI)
- Molly Chidsey (WPES)
- Rob Nathan (WPES)
- Eryn Kehe (Planning)
- Jim Middaugh (Comms)
- Nathan Sykes (OMA)
- Juan Carlos Ocana-Chiu (Parks)



Finding #1 – increasing demand

Metro's efforts are expanding – asking more of CBO partners

Ongoing plans and investment measures

- 1. Strategic Plan to Advance Racial Equity
- 2. Regional Transportation Plan
- 3. Affordable housing bond
- 4. Regional Waste Plan
- 5. Parks and natural areas bond
- 6. Supportive Housing Services
- 7. Get Moving 2020
- 8. Transfer station projects
- 9. And more!

Roles

- 1. Planning
- 2. Engagement
- 3. Implementation
- 4. Oversight

Finding #2 - new model needed

Metro's models of partnership don't match our long-term vision and investments

Metro plans and investments					
Get Moving 2020	20 20 years				
Regional Waste Plan	e 10 years				
Housing bond	7 years				
Parks bond	5 years				
Partnerships)S				
Institutional partnerships					
Grants					
Community priorities					
Engagement		- 1 year			

Finding #2 - new model needed

Metro's models of partnership don't match our long-term vision and investments

Metro plans and investments						
Get Moving 2020	20 years 20					
Regional Waste Plan	10 years					
Housing bond	7 years					
Parks bond	5 years					
Partnerships						
Institutional partnerships						
Grants						
Community priorities						
Engagement	- 1 year					

Finding #3 - it's about relationships

CBOs need capacity to build and sustain relationships

- CBOs find that current partnership models alone are not translating into long-term capacity in their communities
- Trust and relationship building takes time and sustained engagement
- We have to invest in people

Case studies

Case Study #1

Clackamas County Transfer Station

- RFP for engagement went unanswered
- CBOs didn't have established relationships
- WPES had to invest in capacity building
- Likely outcome: new relationships in Clackamas county

Case Study #2

SWEDS

- Metro recognized the need for community capacity
- Deployed \$275,000 to support 6 partners for one year
- Meyer Memorial stepped in to then provide gap funding year 2
- Planned multi-year funding would have supported more robust and sustained engagement leading up to planned investments

Program concept

Capacity building grants

- Three year cycles
- Flexible use
- Co-created outcomes and metrics

Program resources

- Support shared learning
- Meet collective needs

Department support

- Leverage Metro's expertise
- Advance knowledge and skills

Options for consideration

	Program elements	Details	Ongoing annual cost
Option 1: Grants only	Grants	5 partnerships	\$500,000
Option 2: Grants plus program resources	Grants + program resources	5 partnerships and \$100,000 in program resources	\$600,000
Option 3: High- investment	Grants + program resources	7 partnerships and \$100,000 in program resources	\$800,000

Potential outcomes

- More people of color in Clackamas, East Multnomah and Washington counties **engage with Metro**
- CBO staff and community members **expand knowledge and expertise** around Metro issues
- CBOs are able to **better meet Metro's needs** for community engagement, consultation, etc.
- More CBOs and people of color **see Metro as a trusted partner** in advancing a shared vision
- New community leaders sit on Metro advisory and oversight committees

Regional collaboration

Metro is not in this alone.













FOUNDATION

Questions for Council

- Should Metro implement a pilot capacity building program?
- Does the proposed program meet Council's expectations and desired outcomes?
- What level of investment would Council direct the COO to pursue?

oregonmetro.gov



Finding #3 - it's about relationships

CBOs need capacity to build and sustain relationships

