

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES QUARTERLY REPORT

SUBMITTED BY: Clackamas County

FISCAL YEAR: FY 25-26

QUARTER: Q1

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
<i>Report Due</i>	Nov 15	Feb 15	May 15	Aug 15
<i>Reporting Period</i>	Jul 1 – Sep 30	Oct 1 – Dec 31	Jan 1 – Mar 31	Apr 1 – Jun 30

	Permanent Supportive Housing <i>(Households)</i>	Rapid Re- Housing <i>(Households)</i>	Housing with Services <i>(Households)</i>	Prevention <i>(Households)</i>	Shelter Units
YTD Progress ¹	8	39	--	493	238
Goal	20	200	40	1,500	230
SHS Year 1 to Current Date	1,119	421	--	3,828	238

Section 1. Progress narrative

Executive Summary

Entering our fifth year of SHS implementation, Clackamas County is focusing on systemwide continuous improvement, refinement of existing services and programs, and enhanced partnership engagement. With service levels largely stabilized, strategies are shifting to mitigation of contextual economic uncertainties like inflation and potential revenue decline. This year the county will launch concerted cost containment initiatives, as well as new income development programming to facilitate participants' self-sufficiency and, to the extent feasible and appropriate, decrease reliance on rent assistance. While the county has now surpassed its permanent supportive housing and housing stabilization mandates, individuals newly experiencing homelessness and housing insecurity continue to inflow into our system. Clackamas County is working with regional county partners and Metro to update key performance

¹*The data received each quarter may be slightly different than the revised and most up-to-date information received in the Annual Report. Data from the Annual Report will be used for final year-end figures.*

indicators, benchmarks, and standards, continuing the work of Supportive Housing Services programming to make homelessness rare, brief, and non-recurring in our community. In Q1, highlights included service systems coordination, as well as work to enhance capacity for service providers. Work is underway to meet all goals as prescribed in the county's annual work plan.

Partnership Collaboration at Tukwila Springs

Opened in the summer of 2022, Tukwila Springs houses 48 older adults who, upon entry, were experiencing or at risk of homelessness. Residents at this permanent supportive housing site receive long-term rental assistance combined with supportive services intended to serve vulnerable populations.

We began this fiscal year by welcoming two new service providers to the property. On July 1, The Father's Heart Street Ministry commenced resident services, fostering community through resident engagement and activities, and Key Property Services onboarded as the new property manager to ensure unit occupancy and lease compliance. NARA, Native American Rehabilitation Association, continues to provide supportive housing case management, leading efforts to bolster housing stability and retention through trauma-informed and culturally specific relationship building and connection to a wide variety of supportive services. Together with county staff and the Housing Authority of Clackamas County as the building owner and asset manager, the three service partners hosted a well-attended resident lunch to introduce themselves and answer questions about service partner changes.

Taking the opportunity to establish standards of excellence early, the Housing Authority and the county facilitated weekly transition meetings with The Father's Heart, Key Property Services, and NARA throughout Q1. The Tukwila Springs partners collaborated to develop on-site staffing patterns and lease-up processes, as well as to address groundskeeping needs, property-wide communication channels, and support for residents' housing retention. The Father's Heart and NARA now jointly attend case conferencing meetings, bolstering awareness and support for residents facing higher needs or emerging challenges. Enhanced coordination with Gladstone Police and building security has also been incorporated into safety and emergency planning and communication protocols. The weekly meetings have proven so effective that the partners have committed to continuing them for ongoing collaboration past the initial transition period. In furtherance of the shared goals to sustain a community that successfully combines high quality affordable housing with support for residents facing complex challenges, the partners entered a Memorandum of Understanding to better define lead roles and responsibilities.



Tukwila Springs in Gladstone, OR

Coordinated Outreach at 3-Creeks Protected Area



3-Creeks Protected Area Restoration Groundbreaking

Comprising 89 acres of streams, wetland habitat, trees, and vegetation, the 3-Creeks Protected Area is an important ecological site for fish and wildlife, including native salmonids and native plants. Clackamas County's WES, Water Environment Services, has secured funding through Metro's Nature in Neighborhoods program to restore the area, increasing flood storage and decreasing frequency of downstream flooding, as well as promoting environmental education for visitors.

Because unsheltered homeless individuals use the site to camp, outreach staff and service provider partners are coordinating with WES to align services with restoration efforts. Outreach teams are providing ongoing updates about the project, informing individuals of potential hazards such as potential flooding and the use of large construction equipment through the site, in tandem with their regular outreach efforts of connecting people to resources and providing critical supplies. The intra-county collaboration is jointly advancing the work of outreach services and natural restoration.

HACC Resident Services Supports Eviction Prevention and Housing Stability

In Q1 the Housing Authority of Clackamas County's resident services team delivered 909 total services to residents in affordable and income-based housing. Funded in part by SHS dollars, resident services staff work to provide Housing Authority assisted families with the connections they need to stabilize and thrive. These services include case management, eviction prevention, homeownership programming, transportation, employment, benefits enrollment, legal services, computer access and literacy, and medical and nutrition support, among others. Eviction prevention services provide much-needed housing stability: of the 88 eviction notices sent this quarter, 87 evictions were mitigated, prevented, or resolved. Staff make every reasonable effort to work with families, facilitate their housing stability, while also using available resources to promote self-sufficiency. Last fiscal year, resident services staff proudly supported ten households who were able to move directly from public housing into homeownership.

This year, the resident services team took on its largest-scale project, supporting the relocation of 100 public housing households across Clackamas Heights, a property undergoing significant redevelopment. Staff worked to keep residents on track through active communication with residents and property managers. They simplified complex notices into plain language and communicated deadlines with clarity and empathy, working with residents to make each step as manageable as possible. For difficult-to-reach households, the team encouraged attendance at relocation office hours and sent deadline reminders through fliers and texts. For families with school-aged children, staff assisted with navigating the school transfer process.

During relocation, staff took note of one long-time resident's urgent need for hygiene support. Since the resident had recently been approved for Medicaid long-term care, she had been expecting the assistance of a caregiver for daily tasks and activities. With the resident's approval, staff advocated on her behalf with the Oregon Department of Human Services, meeting with them multiple times to move the process forward. As of the end of Q1, the resident had successfully received the caregiver she needed and was able to organize her new home in her relocated unit. She also received a new chair through a furniture program. Resident services staff continue to be available for future resources and support.

Through consistent communication and teamwork, all 100 households relocated on time, allowing for on-schedule demolition. It is anticipated that construction will be completed in the fall of 2027, and the newly developed Park Place will welcome back the former residents of Clackamas Heights. Resident services staff continue to stay connected to residents for support, helping them navigate the voucher program, community resources, and savings and homeownership programs.

[New Support Line for Landlords](#)

To recruit and retain landlords eager to work with Regional Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA) vouchers, Clackamas County is launching a landlord support hotline pilot. This new resource for navigating RLRA and future Housing 4 Success vouchers and tenancies will be a phone call away.

In Q1 the county recruited staff, outlined training, defined outcome metrics, and commenced marketing for the landlord support hotline. It is anticipated that common phone call topics will include submitting claims through the Risk Mitigation Program so that landlords can recover extraordinary and unexpected costs, navigating basic issues in landlord-tenant law and fair housing law, and connecting to the appropriate case manager or Housing Authority staff member. In addition to reducing costs and streamlining assistance for landlords, it is also anticipated that the hotline will help reduce participants' evictions through problem-solving and increased staffing communication.

Beginning in Q2, landlords will be able to reach support line staff on business days, 8am to 6pm.

Groundbreaking of Caring Place

In September Clackamas County celebrated the groundbreaking for Caring Place, a center designed to bring multiple services to one safe and welcoming location for people experiencing homelessness. The Oregon City building will host local partners like LoveOne and The Father's Heart Street Ministry, as well as offer showers, laundry, housing navigation, and connection to a wide network of community resources.

"This is more than a building," said John Duke, Executive Director of the Homeless Solutions Coalition. "The Caring Place represents our community's commitment to collaboration. It's about giving people the dignity of a safe place to turn, while also building a more efficient, coordinated system of care."

The project is made possible by partnerships spanning nonprofits, state and local governments, healthcare providers, faith communities, and neighbors, all who share a vision of dignity and stability for every person in their community. Clackamas County has invested \$10M of SHS funds, and \$2M of state funds were also contributed to Caring Place.



Groundbreaking of Caring Place in Oregon City

Section 2. Data and data disaggregation ²

Please use the following table to provide and disaggregate data on Population A, Population B housing placement outcomes and homelessness prevention outcomes. Please use your local methodologies for tracking and reporting on Populations A and B. You can provide context for the data you provided in the context narrative below.

Section 2.A Housing Stability Outcomes: Placements & Preventions

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Permanent Supportive Housing

² *The data received each quarter may be slightly different than the revised and most up-to-date information received in the Annual Report. Data from the Annual Report will be used for final year-end figures.*

Number of housing placements-Permanent Supportive Housing	Current Quarter	Year to Date					
	Number	Subset-Population A placed into PSH	Percentage: Population A	Subset-Population B placed in PSH	Percentage: Population B	Number	Percentage of Annual Goal
Total People	9					9	--
Total Households	8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8	40.0%

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	1	11.1%	1	11.1%
Asian or Asian American	--	--	--	--
Black, African American or African	--	--	--	--
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	--	--	--	--
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	8	88.9%	8	88.9%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	8	88.9%	8	88.9%
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	--	--	--	--
Data Not Collected	--	--	--	--
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	8	88.9%	8	88.9%
Persons without disabilities	1	11.1%	1	11.1%
Disability unreported	--	--	--	--
Gender identity				
	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	4	44.4%	4	44.4%
Man (Boy, if child)	4	44.4%	4	44.4%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	1	11.1%	1	11.1%
Transgender	--	--	--	--
Questioning	--	--	--	--
Different Identity	--	--	--	--
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	--	--	--	--

Data not collected	--	--	--	--
--------------------	----	----	----	----

(Only if Applicable) Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Housing with Services

N/A

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Housing Only

N/A

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Rapid Re-Housing (all Rapid Re-Housing subtypes)

Number of housing placements- Rapid Re-housing	Current Quarter	Year to Date					
	Number	Subset-Population A placed into PSH	Percentage: Population A	Subset-Population B placed in PSH	Percentage: Population B	Number	Percentage of Annual Goal
Total People	72					72	--
Total Households	39	32	82.1%	7	17.9%	39	19.5%

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
Asian or Asian American	--	--	--	--
Black, African American or African	16	22.2%	16	22.2%
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	17	23.6%	17	23.6%
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	47	65.3%	47	65.3%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	32	44.4%	32	44.4%
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	--	--	--	--
Data Not Collected	5	6.9%	5	6.9%
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	33	45.8%	33	45.8%
Persons without disabilities	35	48.6%	35	48.6%
Disability unreported	4	5.6%	4	5.6%
Gender identity				

	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	38	52.8%	38	52.8%
Man (Boy, if child)	27	37.5%	27	37.5%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
Transgender	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
Questioning	--	--	--	--
Different Identity	--	--	--	--
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	1	1.4%	1	1.4%
Data not collected	5	6.9%	5	6.9%

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Eviction and Homelessness Prevention

Number of Preventions	Current Quarter	Year to Date					
	Number	Subset- Population A placed into PSH	Percentage: Population A	Subset- Population B placed in PSH	Percentage: Population B	Number	Percentage of Annual Goal
Total People	1,071					1,071	--
Total Households	493	23	4.7%	470	95.3%	493	32.9%

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	39	3.6%	39	3.6%
Asian or Asian American	14	1.3%	14	1.3%
Black, African American or African	164	15.3%	164	15.3%
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	246	23.0%	246	23.0%
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	24	2.2%	24	2.2%
White	715	66.8%	715	66.8%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	331	30.9%	331	30.9%
Client doesn't know	4	0.4%	4	0.4%
Client prefers not to answer	31	2.9%	31	2.9%
Data Not Collected	22	2.1%	22	2.1%
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	261	24.4%	261	24.4%
Persons without disabilities	671	62.7%	671	62.7%
Disability unreported	139	13.0%	139	13.0%

Gender identity				
	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	600	56.0%	600	56.0%
Man (Boy, if child)	418	39.0%	418	39.0%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	9	0.8%	9	0.8%
Transgender	8	0.7%	8	0.7%
Questioning	--	--	--	--
Different Identity	--	--	--	--
Client doesn't know	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
Client prefers not to answer	19	1.8%	19	1.8%
Data not collected	15	1.4%	15	1.4%

Section 2.B Regional Long-Term Rent Assistance Program

The following data represents a **subset** of the above Housing Placements data. The Regional Long-term Rent Assistance Program (RLRA) primarily provides permanent supportive housing to SHS priority Population A clients (though RLRA is not strictly limited to PSH or Population A).

RLRA data is not additive to the data above. Housing placements shown below are duplicates of the placements shown in the data above.

*Please disaggregate data for the **total number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher** during the quarter and year to date.*

Regional Long-term Rent Assistance Quarterly Program Data	Current Quarter	Year to Date				
	Number	Subset - Population A in RLRA	Percentage: Population A	Subset- Population B in RLRA	Percentage: Population B	Number
Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting period	--	--	--	--	--	--
Number of people newly leased up during reporting period	--	--	--	--	--	--
Number of households newly leased up during reporting period	--	--	--	--	--	--
Number of people in housing using an RLRA	1,694	1,187	70.1%	507	29.9%	1,694

voucher during reporting period						
Number of households in housing using an RLRA voucher during reporting period	889	691	77.7%	198	22.3%	889

Program to Date – Since July 1, 2021						
	Subset - Population A in RLRA	Percentage: Population A	Subset- Population B in RLRA	Percentage: Population B	Number	
Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher	1,345	70.4%	565	29.6%	1,910	
Number of households in housing using an RLRA voucher	800	78.2%	223	21.8%	1,023	

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	118	7.0%	118	7.0%
Asian or Asian American	30	1.8%	30	1.8%
Black, African American or African	273	16.1%	273	16.1%
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	378	22.3%	378	22.3%
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	57	3.4%	57	3.4%
White	1,331	78.5%	1,331	78.5%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	872	51.4%	872	51.4%
Client doesn't know	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Client prefers not to answer	--	--	--	--
Data Not Collected	35	2.1%	35	2.1%
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	807	47.6%	807	47.6%
Persons without disabilities	889	52.4%	889	52.4%
Disability unreported	--	--	--	--

Gender identity				
	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	1,077	63.5%	1,077	63.5%
Man (Boy, if child)	610	36.0%	610	36.0%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	5	0.3%	5	0.3%
Transgender	--	--	--	--
Questioning	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Different Identity	--	--	--	--
Client doesn't know	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Client prefers not to answer	2	0.1%	2	0.1%
Data not collected	1	0.1%	1	0.1%

Section 2.C Other Data: Non-Housing Numeric Goals

This section shows progress to quantitative goals set in county annual work plans. Housing placement and prevention progress are already included in the above tables. This section includes goals such as shelter units and outreach contacts and other quantitative goals that should be reported on a quarterly basis. This data in this section may differ county to county, and will differ year to year, as it aligns with goals set in county annual work plans.

Instructions: Please complete the tables below, as applicable to your annual work plans in Quarter 2 and Quarter 4 Reports.

Number of people in Shelter*	Current Quarter	Year to Date				
	Number	Subset-Population A placed into PSH	Percentage: Population A	Subset-Population B placed in PSH	Percentage: Population B	Number
Total People	304					304
Total Households	244	146	59.8%	98	40.2%	244

*(Includes Transitional Housing (TH), e.g., Recovery-Oriented Transitional Housing)

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	29	9.5%	29	9.5%
Asian or Asian American	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Black, African American or African	24	7.9%	24	7.9%
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	86	28.3%	86	28.3%
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	10	3.3%	10	3.3%
White	193	63.5%	193	63.5%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	145	47.7%	145	47.7%

Client doesn't know	3	1.0%	3	1.0%
Client prefers not to answer	12	3.9%	12	3.9%
Data Not Collected	3	1.0%	3	1.0%
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	135	44.4%	135	44.4%
Persons without disabilities	111	36.5%	111	36.5%
Disability unreported	58	19.1%	58	19.1%
Gender identity				
	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	136	44.7%	136	44.7%
Man (Boy, if child)	156	51.3%	156	51.3%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	4	1.3%	4	1.3%
Transgender	2	0.7%	2	0.7%
Questioning	--	--	--	--
Different Identity	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	3	1.0%	3	1.0%
Data not collected	2	0.7%	2	0.7%

Number of people in Outreach**,†	Current Quarter	Year to Date				
	Number	Subset - Population A Engaged	Percentage: Population A	Subset - Population B Engaged	Percentage: Population B	Number
Total People	239					239
Total households	185					185
Sub-Set – Total people “Engaged” during reporting period	122	85	69.7%	37	30.3%	122
Sub-Set – Total households “Engaged” during reporting period	116	80	69.0%	36	31.0%	116

**The Following Section is only for participants that have a “Date of Engagement”

† Includes Access Centers and Navigation Centers

Race & Ethnicity	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	8	6.6%	8	6.6%
Asian or Asian American	--	--	--	--
Black, African American or African	8	6.6%	8	6.6%
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	5	4.1%	5	4.1%
Middle Eastern or North African	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	1.6%	2	1.6%
White	101	82.8%	101	82.8%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	59	48.4%	59	48.4%
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	1	0.8%	1	0.8%
Data Not Collected	2	1.6%	2	1.6%
Disability status				
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	89	73.0%	89	73.0%
Persons without disabilities	25	20.5%	25	20.5%
Disability unreported	8	6.6%	8	6.6%
Gender identity				
	#	%	#	%
Woman (Girl, if child)	60	49.2%	60	49.2%
Man (Boy, if child)	60	49.2%	60	49.2%
Culturally Specific Identity	--	--	--	--
Non-Binary	1	0.8%	1	0.8%
Transgender	--	--	--	--
Questioning	--	--	--	--
Different Identity	--	--	--	--
Client doesn't know	--	--	--	--
Client prefers not to answer	--	--	--	--
Data not collected	1	0.8%	1	0.8%

Section 3. Financial Reporting

Please complete the quarterly financial report and include the completed financial report to this quarterly report, as an attachment.

Glossary:

Supportive Housing Services: All SHS funded housing interventions that include PSH, RRH, Housing Only, Housing with Services, Preventions, and RLRA Vouchers. This also includes shelter, outreach, navigation services, employment services or any other SHS funding to help households exit homelessness and transition into safe, stable housing.

Supportive Housing: SHS housing interventions that include PSH, Housing Only and Housing with Services.

Regional Long Term Rent Assistance (RLRA): provides a flexible and continued rent subsidy that will significantly expand access to housing for households with extremely and very low incomes across the region. RLRA subsidies will be available for as long as the household needs and remains eligible for the subsidy, with no pre-determined end date. Tenant-based RLRA subsidies will leverage existing private market and regulated housing, maximizing tenant choice, while project-based RLRA subsidies will increase the availability of units in new housing developments. RLRA program service partners will cover payments of move-in costs and provide supportive services as needed to ensure housing stability. A Regional Landlord Guarantee will cover potential damages to increase participation and mitigate risks for participating landlords.

Shelter: Overnight shelter, congregate shelter, alternative shelter, motel shelter, tiny houses, pod villages, recuperative centers, shelter, that consists of congregate shelter beds PLUS non/semi-congregate units. Shelter definition also includes Local Alternative Shelters that have flexibility around limited amenities compared to HUD defined overnight shelters. Includes in-reach services.

Recovery Oriented Transitional Housing, Stabilization Transitional Housing, Transitional Housing: Provides temporary lodging and is designed to facilitate the movement of individuals and families experiencing homelessness into permanent housing within a specified period, but normally no longer than 24 months. Requirements and limitations vary.

Navigation Center, Access Center, Day Center, Access Services: Provides indoor shelter during daytime hours, generally between 5am and 8pm. Primarily serve households experiencing homelessness. The facilities help connect people to a wide range of resources and services daily. Including on-site support services such as restrooms, showers, laundry, mail service, haircuts, clothing, nutrition resources, lockers, ID support, etc.

Outreach: Activities are designed to meet the immediate needs of people experiencing homelessness in unsheltered locations by connecting them with emergency shelter, housing, or critical services, and providing them with urgent, non-facility-based care. *Metro is using the HUD ESG Street Outreach model. The initial contact should not be focused on data. Outreach workers collect and enter data as the client relationship evolves. Thus, data quality expectations for street outreach projects are limited to clients with a date of engagement.*

Outreach Date of Engagement “Engaged”: the date an individual becomes engaged in the development of a plan to address their situation.

Population A: Extremely low-income; AND have one or more disabling conditions; AND Are experiencing or at imminent risk* of experiencing long-term or frequent episodes of literal homelessness.

Imminent Risk: Head of household who is at imminent risk of long-term homelessness within 14 days of the date of application for homeless assistance and/or has received an eviction. The head of household will still need to have a prior history of experiencing long-term homelessness or frequent episodes of literal homelessness.

Population B: Experiencing homelessness; OR have a substantial risk* of experiencing homelessness.

Substantial risk: A circumstance that exists if a household is very low income and extremely rent burdened, or any other circumstance that would make it more likely than not that without supportive housing services the household will become literally homeless or involuntarily doubled-up.

The following list are HUD HMIS approved Project Types. Metro recognizes SHS programs do not align with these project types exactly, and value that flexibility. However, to ensure the interpretations and findings are based upon correct interpretations of the data in quarterly reports and HMIS reports, we will reference these Project Types by the exact HUD name.

Here are the HUD Standards if needed, <https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/HMIS-Data-Standards-Manual-2024.pdf>

Permanent Supportive Housing, “PH - Permanent Supportive Housing (disability required for entry)”: A long-term intervention intended to serve the most vulnerable populations in need of housing and supportive services to attribute to their housing success, which can include PBV and TBV programs or properties. Provides housing to assist people experiencing homelessness with a disability (individuals with disabilities or families in which one adult or child has a disability) to live independently.

Housing with Services, “PH - Housing with Services (no disability required for entry)”:

A project that offers permanent housing and supportive services to assist people experiencing homelessness to live independently but does not limit eligibility to individuals with disabilities or families in which one adult or child has a disability. May include any other type of housing, not associated with PSH/RRH, that does include supportive services.

Housing Only, “PH - Housing Only”:

A project that offers permanent housing for people experiencing homelessness but does not make supportive services available as part of the project. May include RLRA Only programs.

Rapid Re-Housing, “PH - Rapid Re-Housing” (Services Only and Housing with or without services):

A permanent housing project that provides housing relocation and stabilization services and/or short and/or medium-term rental assistance as necessary to help an individual or family experiencing homelessness move as quickly as possible into permanent housing and achieve stability in that housing. May include Move-In Only programs.

Prevention, “Homelessness prevention”:

A project that offers services and/or financial assistance necessary to prevent an individual or family from moving into an emergency shelter or living in a public or private place not meant for human habitation. Component services and assistance generally consist of short-term and medium-term tenant-based or project-based rental assistance and rental arrears. Additional circumstances include rental application fees, security deposits, advance payment of last month's rent, utility deposits and payments, moving costs, housing search and placement, housing stability case management, mediation, legal

services, and credit repair. This term differs from retention in that it designed to assist nonsubsidized market rate landlord run units.

Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1)
Clackamas County
FY 2025-2026

Financial Report (by Program Category)									
	Annual Budget	Q1 Actuals	Q2 Actuals	Q3 Actuals	Q4 Actuals	Total YTD Actuals	Variance Under / (Over)	% of Budget	Comments
Metro SHS Resources									
Beginning Fund Balance	101,616,275	102,018,644				102,018,644	(402,369)	100%	Counties will provide details and context on any unbudgeted amounts in Beginning Fund Balance in the narrative of their report, including the current plan and timeline for budgeting and spending it.
Metro SHS Program Funds	64,320,000	1,725,993				1,725,993	62,594,007	3%	
Interest Earnings ^[5]	2,000,000					-	2,000,000	0%	
insert add'l lines as necessary						-	-	N/A	
Subtotal Program Revenue	66,320,000	1,725,993	-	-	-	1,725,993	64,594,007	3%	
Total Metro SHS Resources	167,936,275	103,744,637	-	-	-	103,744,637	64,191,638	62%	
Metro SHS Requirements									
Program Costs									
Individual Support Costs									
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)									
Support to individuals who have extremely low incomes and one or more disabling conditions, who are experiencing long-term or frequent episodes of literal homelessness or imminent risk of experiencing homelessness									
Permanent Supportive Housing Services	13,270,170	1,748,316				1,748,316	11,521,854	13%	Invoices reimbursing the Housing Authority of Clackamas County for RLRA were not posted in our system in time for this report. RLRA expenses will be reflected in the Q2 report. Percent of long-term rent assistance funds spent on Administrative Costs will be calculated once YTD Actuals are entered.
Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA)	20,978,387	-				-	20,978,387	0%	
Long-term Rent Assistance Admin	2,097,839	-				-	2,097,839	0%	
Subtotal PSH	36,346,395	1,748,316	-	-	-	1,748,316	34,598,080	5%	
Rapid Re-housing (RRH)									
Support to individuals experiencing a loss of housing									
Rapid Re-housing (RRH)	20,314,483	836,636				836,636	19,477,847	4%	Rapid rehousing services for the general population and some specific populations such as families, DV survivors, and youth. Budget includes \$11,039,688 of SHS carryover funds in out-year investments to sustain limited duration programming in future fiscal years and will not be spent in FY 25-26.
Subtotal RRH	20,314,483	836,636	-	-	-	836,636	19,477,847	4%	
Other Housing and Services Programs (not otherwise listed)									
Support to individuals who are experiencing homelessness or have substantial risk of homelessness									
Housing Only	-	-				-	-	N/A	
Housing Only - Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA)	-	-				-	-	N/A	
Housing Only - Long-term Rent Assistance Admin	-	-				-	-	N/A	
Housing with Services	9,618,522	48,137				48,137	9,570,385	1%	
Subtotal Other Housing and Services Programs	9,618,522	48,137	-	-	-	48,137	9,570,385	1%	
Eviction & Homelessness Prevention									
Support to individuals experiencing a potential loss of housing									
Eviction & Homelessness Prevention	11,889,546	1,770,107				1,770,107	10,119,439	15%	
Subtotal Eviction & Homelessness Prevention	11,889,546	1,770,107	-	-	-	1,770,107	10,119,439	15%	
Safety On/Off the Street									
Support to individuals unhoused or in temporary housing									
Shelter and Transitional Housing	13,769,914	1,952,684				1,952,684	11,817,230	14%	
Outreach and Access Services	5,386,273	745,140				745,140	4,641,133	14%	
Subtotal Safety On/Off the Street	19,156,187	2,697,824	-	-	-	2,697,824	16,458,363	14%	
Other Supportive Services (not otherwise listed)									
Other supports to individuals not included in any of the above categories									
Other Supportive Services	1,988,362	116,133				116,133	1,872,228	6%	
Subtotal Other Supportive Services	1,988,362	116,133	-	-	-	116,133	1,872,228	6%	
System Support Costs									

Metro Supportive Housing Services
Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1)
Clackamas County
FY 2025-2026

Financial Report (by Program Category)

COMPLETE THE SECTION BELOW EVERY QUARTER. UPDATE AS NEEDED FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT.

	Annual Budget	Q1 Actuals	Q2 Actuals	Q3 Actuals	Q4 Actuals	Total YTD Actuals	Variance Under / (Over)	% of Budget	Comments
Systems Infrastructure	4,775,581	509,084				509,084	4,266,498	11%	
Built Infrastructure	27,494,472	256,036				256,036	27,238,436	1%	
Overall System Services	-	-				-	-	N/A	
Subtotal System Support Costs	32,270,053	765,119	-	-	-	765,119	31,504,934	2%	
Regional Strategy Implementation									
Regional Strategy Implementation									
Investments to support SHS program alignment, coordination and outcomes at a regional level									
Coordinated Entry	9,543,130					-	9,543,130	0%	
Regional Landlord Recruitment	1,394,113	23,644				23,644	1,370,469	2%	
Healthcare System Alignment	595,515	73,190				73,190	522,325	12%	
Training	184,276					-	184,276	0%	
Technical Assistance	1,599,690	33,070				33,070	1,566,620	2%	
Employee Recruitment and Retention	22,179	-				-	22,179	0%	
Subtotal Regional Strategy Implementation	13,338,903	129,904	-	-	-	129,904	13,208,999	1%	
County Administrative Costs									
County Administrative Costs									
County Administrative Costs	6,521,302	451,340				451,340	6,069,962	7%	
Subtotal County Administrative Costs	6,521,302	451,340	-	-	-	451,340	6,069,962	7%	
Subtotal Program Costs	151,443,753	8,563,516	-	-	-	8,563,516	142,880,237	6%	
Ending Fund Balance (incl. Contingency and Reserves)	16,492,522					95,181,121			
Budgeted Contingency and Reserves									
Contingency ^[3]	3,216,000					3,216,000			
Regional Strategy Implementation Contingency	412,522					412,522			
Stabilization Reserve ^[4]	12,864,000					12,864,000			
RLRA Reserves	-					-			
Other Programmatic Reserves	-					-			
insert add'l lines as necessary						-			
Subtotal Contingency and Reserves	16,492,522					16,492,522			
Program Category Descriptions									
Permanant Supportive Housing Services	case management, behavioral health, mental health and addiction services, peer support, other connections to healthcare programs								
Rapid Re-housing (RRH)	RRH services, short-term rent assistance, housing retention, case management								
Housing Only	rent assistance								
Housing Only - Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA)	RLRA rent assistance w/o services								
Housing Only - Long-term Rent Assistance Admin	RLRA Administrative costs								
Housing with Services	support services and rent assistance								
Eviction & Homelessness Prevention	short-term rent assistance geared toward preventing evictions, diversion assistance, one-time stabilization assistance, other relevant services								
Shelter and Transitional Housing	congregate shelter, alternative shelter, motel shelter, transitional housing, tiny house, pod villages, recuperative centers, recovery-oriented transitional housing, stabilization transitional housing								
Outreach and Access Services	support and services other than overnight shelter, including access services, case management, hygiene programs, survival gear, day centers, access centers, service centers, resource centers, and navigation to other services								
Other Supportive Services	individualized services that are not captured in any category above which provide auxilaury support to participants for overall stability, including: behavioral/mental health and wellness, recovery, benefits assessment and navigation, employment & financial								
Systems Infrastructure	service provider capacity building and organizational health, system development/management, Coordinated Access, technical assistance, community engagement, advisory body support, etc.								
Built Infrastructure	property purchases, capital improvement projects, etc								

Service Provider Administrative Costs (including RLRA) are reported as part of Program Costs above. Counties will provide details and context for Service Provider Administrative Costs in their Annual Program Report.

County SHS Administrative Costs equals 26% of County's annual Program Funds.

This section reflects budgeted contingency and reserve figures.

Contingency equals 5% of Partner's budgeted annual Program Funds.

Stabilization Reserve equals 20% of Partner's budgeted annual Program Funds.

Metro Supportive Housing Services

Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1)

Clackamas County

FY 2025-2026

Spend-Down Report for Program Costs

This section compares the spending plan of Program Costs in the Annual Program Budget to actual Program Costs in the Financial Report.

Program Costs (excluding Built Infrastructure)	% of Spending per Quarter			Comments
	Budget	Actual	Variance	
Quarter 1	10%	7%	-3%	Invoices reimbursing the Housing Authority of Clackamas County for RLRA were not posted in our system in time for this report which has decreased total Q1 spending. Clackamas County uses a soft period close and Q1 spending will be updated in the Q2 report.
Quarter 2	15%	0%	-15%	
Quarter 3	20%	0%	-20%	
Quarter 4	23%	0%	-23%	
Total	68%	7%	-61%	

Built Infrastructure	\$ Spending YTD			Comments
	Budget	Actual	Forecast	
Annual total	27,494,472	256,036	6,000,000	Clackamas County used SHS funds to do some final minor upgrades to Clackamas Village and continued progress on the Caring Place in Oregon City.

^[1] A "material deviation" arises when the Program Funds spent in a given Fiscal Year cannot be reconciled against the spend-down plan to the degree that no reasonable person would conclude that Partner's spending was guided by or in conformance with the applicable spend-down plan.

Note: It is possible for actual spending against the Spend-Down Plan to exceed 100% without exceeding budget authority due to the use of savings in categories excluded from the Spend-Down Report calculation.

Spend-Down Report for Carryover

This section compares the spending plan of investment areas funded by carryover to actual costs.

These costs are also part of the Spend-Down Report for Program Costs above. This section provides additional detail and a progress update on these investment areas.

Carryover Spend-down Plan	\$ Spending by investment area			Comments
	Budget	Actual ^[2]	Variance	
Beginning Fund Balance (carryover balance)	101,616,275	102,018,644	(402,369)	Clackamas County budgets interest earnings accrued over the course of the fiscal year as carryover funds.
Interest Earnings	2,000,000			
Describe Investment Area				
Regional Strategies Implementation Fund	822,903	64,442	758,461	
Expanding Capacity	3,438,044	8,967	3,429,077	
Upstream Investments	6,263,933	665,981	5,597,952	
Short-term Rent Assistance	5,000,000	1,337,353	3,662,647	
Move Forward Program	3,856,134	23,229	3,832,905	
Sustain Existing Programming	7,157,718	568,754	6,588,964	
Capital Needs	6,000,000	256,036	5,743,964	
	32,538,731	2,924,762	29,613,969	
Remaining prior year carryover	71,077,544	99,093,882	(30,016,338)	
Estimated current year carryover	6,557,945	6,557,945		
Ending Fund Balance (carryover balance)	77,635,489	105,651,827	(30,016,338)	

^[2] If the actual costs for any carryover investment areas are not tracked separately from existing program categories, use the Comments section to describe the methodology for determining the proportion of actual costs covered by carryover. For example: if service providers received a 25% increase in annual contracts for capacity building, and the costs are not tracked separately, the capacity building portion could be estimated as 20% of total actual costs (the % of the new contract amount that is related to the increase).