Exhibit A to Resolution 24-5380 January FFY 2024 Formal/Full MTIP Amendment Summary Formal Amendment #: JA24-04-JAN

The January Federal Fiscal Year 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment adds or amends seven projects for various reasons to meet federal transportation delivery requirements. A summary of the changes includes the following:

- Beaverton School District Electric Chargers: The formal amendment cancels the project and removes from the MTIP.
- Key 23589 New Metro 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project Development: The formal amendment adds the new Metro led 82nd Ave BRT project development planning effort to assist TriMet as they move forward to secure an FTA Small Starts grant to funds the BRT project. TriMet also will be adding a similar project development project in support of the 82nd Ave BRT (expected in February) that will complete environmental and preliminary design actions. The Metro funded portion is from the new Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) allocation.
- <u>Key 23239</u>: Initially, the project grouping bucket provided \$5 million of Metro awarded Caron funds and \$1 million of federal STBG (from Key 23229) for the new 82nd Ave BRT Project Development planning project. However due to a potential eligibility conflict with another Carbon funded project, the STBG is being swapped with the Carbon funds. The final adjustment will occur later via an administrative action. The added \$1 million of Carbon funds will swapped with the Climate Smart Implementation project grouping bucket. This adjustment will occur administratively. As a result, a total of \$6 million of Metro awarded Carbon funds are now committed to the 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project.
- <u>Key 2329</u>: Initially \$1 million of federal STBG was split off from this project grouping bucket and committed to the new 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project. However, subsequent to TPAC approval for Key 23589 with the STBG funds, potential eligibility issues have arisen with another Metro Carbon funded project. As a result, the \$1 million of STBG on Key 23589 is being swapped with the Carbon funds on the other project to eliminate the potential eligibility conflict. The 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project in Key 23589 remains with a total of \$6 million of federal funds (all Carbon now) being programmed in the Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase. There is no change in project scope to Key 23589.
- Standard Formal Amendment Updates (e.g. Cost increases, scope and limit changes, name and description changes, etc.):
 - o Key 22435 ODOT OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps: Cost increase.
 - o Keys 22185 and 23188 TriMet Formula 5310 programs: Update FTA estimates and add overmatch.
 - Key 20329 West Linn OR43: Willamette Dr at Marylhurst Dr (West Linn): Adjust project name and description for scope change impacts.

The Exhibit A Tables starting on the next page to Resolution 24-5380 (or MTIP Worksheets) provide the additional details about the changes and programming actions for the included projects.

2024-2027 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program **Exhibit A to Resolution 24-5380** January FFY 2024 Formal Transition Amendment Bundle Contents Amendment Type: Formal/Full Amendment #: JA24-04-JAN Total Number of Projects: 87 Key Lead Number & **Project Name Amendment Action Project Description** Agency MTIP ID **Category: Project Cancelations: CANCEL PROJECT:** (#1)The formal MTIP amendment cancels Key ODOT Key # Beaverton **Beaverton School** Purchase and install electric wall 23462 from the 2024-27 MTIP. DEQ has 23462 School **District EV Chargers** determined the project will not proceed mount chargers. MTIP ID District forward and has requested ODOT to 71394 cancel the project. Category: 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project Development Related Complete project development activities in support of the planned **ADD NEW PROJECT:** The formal amendment adds the new (#2)new 82nd Ave BRT including 82nd Ave Bus Rapid project tot eh 2024-27 MTIP which will ODOT Key # preliminary design, addressing NEPA **Transit Project NEW - TBD** requirements, development of FTA support the completion of various project Metro **Development:** MTIP ID rating materials and other activities development activities as TriMet moves **Sunnyside-PDX TBD** needed to assist TriMet obtain a Small forward to secure a Small Starts grant for Starts grant. the project as well. (#3)**SPLIT PROJECT:** Funding to focus on transportation The formal amendment splits \$6 million ODOT Key # activities which reduce the emissions of CRP funds (plus match) and transfers 23239 Metro **Carbon Reduction** of carbon and greenhouse gases from MTIP ID them to the new Metro 82nd Ave BRT **Program Reserve** transportation sources. 71331 project.

(#4) ODOT Key # 23229 MTIP ID 71304	Metro	CANCELED ACTION Transit Corridor Development (FFY 2026)	Metro UPWP RFFA Step 1 funds to study and evaluate the development of future transit transportation corridors in the Metro region	SPLIT PROJECT Split \$1 million of STBG-U (and match) and transfer it to the new Metro BRT Project Development study. January 18,2024 Update: Due to a potential eligibility conflict with another Metro Carbon funded project, the STBG that was to be applied to the 82 nd Ave BRT Project Development project will now be swapped with Carbon funds and applied to the other project. The swap will occur administratively as a separate action. As a result, the planned commitment of STBG to the 82 nd Ave BRT Project Development project will not occur. No amendment action within the January 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment bundle is required. Key 23229 is dropped and removed from the formal amendment.
Remaining St	tandard Proje	ct Amendments (e.g. cost	increases, scope, limit changes, etc.)	
(#4) ODOT Key # 22435 MTIP ID 71257	ODOT	OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps	Construct to American Disabilities Act (ADA) standards, curbs and ramps at multiple locations along OR47, OR8, and US30 to reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disabled persons	COST INCREASE: Add a small Utility Relocation (UR) phase and increase construction to address the revised construction phase estimate
(#5) ODOT Key # 22185 MTIP ID 71214	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area	ADD FUNDS: Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2024

(#6) ODOT Key # 23188 MTIP ID 71317	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025	ADD FUNDS: Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2025
(#7) ODOT Key # 20329 MTIP ID 70882	West Linn	OR43: Marylhurst Dr - Hidden Springs Rd (West Linn) OR43: Willamette Dr at Marylhurst Dr (West Linn)	Construct a new cycle track and sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden Springs Rd. Construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users.	SCOPE CHANGE: The formal amendment revises the project scope to primarily be located at the Marylhurst Dr intersection and will be limited to intersection safety improvements plus upgrades to the traffic signal. Revise project limits and scope based on adjusted cost estimates. Change project name and description as a result.

Proposed Amendment Review and Approval Steps:

- Wednesday, January 3, 2024: Post amendment & begin 30-day notification/comment period.
- Friday, January 5, 2024: TPAC meeting (Required Metro amendment notification)
- Thursday, January 18, 2024: JPACT meeting.
- Friday, February 2, 2024: End 30-day Public Comment period.
- Thursday, February 8, 2024: Final approval from Metro Council anticipated.
- Early March 2024: Estimated final USDOT amendment approvals occur.

2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment

CANCEL PROJECT

Cancel and remove the project from the MTIP

Project #1

	Project Details Summary											
ODOT Key #	23462	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	N/A	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023					
MTIP ID:	71394	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No					
MTIP Amendment ID: JA24-04-JAN STIP Amendment ID: 24-27-0575												

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment cancels and removes the project from the 2024-27 MTIP. DEQ awarded the CMAQ funds to the Beaverton School District to support the procurement of EV chargers for their buses. However, the will not now move forward and DEQ has authorized ODOT to cancel the project.

Project Name: Beaverton School District EV Chargers									
Lead Agency:	Beaverton Scl	hool District	Applicant:	Beaverton So	chool District	Administrator:	D	EQ	
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Di	rect Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Purchase and install electric wall mount chargers.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In the Beaverton School District in Washington County, add 22 electric charging stations to the existing 8 charge stations at its Transportation Service Center (TSC) in Beaverton (DEQ CMAQ award, EMRED - VOC: 0.108, NOX: 2.585, CO: 1.114, CO2: 100,786, PM10: 0.107, PM2.5: 0.027).

STIP Description: Purchase and install electric wall mount chargers for electric vehicles (EV).

	Project Classification Details									
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type							
Other	System Management & Operations	Other	Other							
ODOT Work Type:	CMAQ									

Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
	al Funds								
CMAQ	Y400	2023		_			\$ 70,312		\$
CMAQ	Y400	2023						\$ 98,795	\$
									\$
	Federa	al Totals:	\$ -	. \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$
									\$
	Stat	e Totals:	\$ -	· \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Loca	l Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
-Other-	-OTHO-	2024		\$ 62,791					\$
-Local	-Match	2023					\$ 8,048		\$
-Other-	-OTHO-	2023					\$ 358,212		\$
Local	-Match-	2023						\$ 11,308	\$
									\$
	Loca	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
						110	0	6:1	
Phas	e Totals		Planning	PF	ROW	UK	(:Ons	()ther	Total
Phas Existing Prog	e Totals	tals:	Planning \$ -	PE - \$ 62,791	ROW \$	UR - \$	Cons \$ 436,572	Other \$ 110,103	Total \$ 609

Programming Summary	Yes/No		Reason if short Programmed									
Is the project short programmed?	No	The	project is not	shor	t program	med						
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$	(62,791)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(436,572)	\$	(110,103)	\$ (609,466)
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%		-100.0%		0.0%		0.0%		-100.0%		-100.0%	-100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A

Phase Programming Summary Totals									
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total		
Federal	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

Phase Composition Percentages									
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total		
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

	Phase Programming Percentage										
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total				
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				

	Project Phase Obligation History										
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal				
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID				
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A				
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA				
Initial Obligation Date:							N/A				
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS				
Known Expenditures:							N/A				
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	etion Date:	Not Applicable				
Completion Date Notes:											
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	No	If yes, expe	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A					
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) infor	mation pertains pr	imarily to projects	under ODOT Local	Delivery oversig	ght.						

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? **DEQ awarded CMAQ.**
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The awarded DEQ CMAQ is being removed from the MTIP.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT review and DEQ approval to cancel the project.**
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

	Project Location References											
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP	End	Length						
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Ap	plicable	Not Applicable						
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street		Cross Street							
Cross streets		Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable							

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification										
1st Year	2023	Years Active	1	Project Status	0	No activity.					
Programmed	2023	rears Active	Τ	Project Status	U						
Total Prior	2	Last		Date of Last	August 2022	Last MTIP	AM23-24-AUG3				
Amendments	2	Amendment	Administrative	Amendment	August 2023	Amend Num	AIVI25-24-AUG5				
Last Amendment	PHASE SLIP:	PHASE SLIP:									
Action	Slip PE phase to FF	lip PE phase to FFY 2024									

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring										
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety				
Measurements	Not Applicable									

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93 126. Table 2 or 40 CFR 93 127. Table 37	Ves per Table 2 Mass Transit
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Tes, per Table 2, Iviass Transit
	Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures (e.g., rail or bus
Exemption Reference:	buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary
	structures).
	No. The project is not located on any of the Metro transportation networks. The
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	project is not capacity enhancing and removing it does not impact the
Tras an an analysis required as pare of this mistasion.	transportation demand modeling network.
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed	
as part of RTP inclusion?	The rest approaches the project is not capacity cimation.
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	Not Applicable
RTP Project Description:	Not Applicable

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network									
Yes/No	Network	Designation								
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Planning project grouping bucket (PGB)								
No	Transit									
No	Freight									
No	Bicycle									
No	Pedestrian	↓								

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations									
System	Y/N	Route	Designation							
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No.
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goal: None.
- 5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes: The decision to cancel ad remove the project was made by DEQ, fund awarding agency.

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. CMAQ funds are a federal funding source (FHWA based) that provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). The funds are normally apportioned to the eligible states and then potentially sub-allocated to MPOs or other eligible agencies based on a formula allocation.
Other	Additional local funds committed by the lead agency above and beyond the minimum match requirement to the federal funds to cover the costs of the project phase.







	Fund Co	des								
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
PE	ОТНО	OTHER THAN STATE OR	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	0.00
PE	PE Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
	ОТН0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	0.00
CN	Y400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	0.00%	0.00	89.73%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	0.00
	CN Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
от	Y400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	0.00%	0.00	89.73%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	0.00
	OT Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
	Grand Tota	ls		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00

2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment

ADD NEW PROJECT

Add the new Metro awarded CRP

project development project

Project #2

	Project Details Summary									
ODOT Key #	23589	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12029	RTP Approval Date: 11/30/				
MTIP ID:	New-TBD	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307			
M	TIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD				

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment adds the new Metro Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) award to the MTIP in support of the planned new 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. The project development activities will complete pre-NEPA and design activities and continue through the completion of NEPA and final design in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant. Metro's funding includes a \$5 million CRP award and a \$1 million UPWP Next Corridor study grant award. TriMet will submit a separate project development project similar Metro's project as part of the February 2024 Formal Amendment bundle in support of their effort to secure the Small Starts grant. The split programing approach is necessary and has been approved by FTA. The estimated total funding to complete PE/project development to then move forward into construction is \$30 million. The RTP's estimated total project cost to complete the project is \$300 million.

Project Name:	82nd Ave Bus	2nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: PDX-Sunnyside									
Lead Agency:	Metro Applicant: Metro Administrator: FTA										
Certified Agency Delivery: Yes Non-Certified Agency Delivery: No Delivery as Direct Recipient: Yes											

Short Description:

Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to assist TriMet obtain a Small Starts grant

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In Portland proposed on 82nd Ave from Sunnyside Ave in the south and then north to Airport Way/PDX, complete project development activities in support of the new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to support TriMet's effort to obtain a Small Starts grant for the project.

STIP Description: TBD

	Project Classification Details									
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type							
Transit	Transit Capital	Capital Bus Rapid Transit	Capital Improvement							
ODOT Work Type:	TBD									

	Phase Funding and Programming											
Fund Type	Fund Type Code Planning Preliminary Engineering (PE) Right of Way (ROW) Construction (Cons) Other								Total			
Federa	al Funds											
Carbon	Y601	2024		\$	6,000,000					\$	6,000,000	
										\$	-	
	Federal Totals:				6,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	6,000,000	

Note: Metro has awarded a total \$6 million of federal funds in support of the 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project. Initially \$5 million of Metro Carbon funds and \$1 million of Metro Surface Block Grant (STBG-U) funds were awarded to the project. However, subsequent to the TPAC meeting, an eligibility conflict has emerged wit another Metro awarded CRP funded project. To avoid potential conflicts, the STBG on this project is being swapped with \$1 million of Carbon funds from the Climate SMART Implementation project. The net result is the 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project remains with \$6 million of federal funds.

State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$ -
	Sta	te Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	reliminary neering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local	Match	2024		\$ 686,727					\$ 686,727
									\$ -
	Loc	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ 686,727	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 686,727

Phase Totals	Plan	ning		PE		ROW		UR			Cons		Other	Total
Existing Programming Totals:	\$	-	\$_	_	- \$	-	(\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ _
Amended Programming Totals	\$	-	\$	6,686,727	\$	-	(\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 6,686,727
										T	otal Estima	ited	Project Cost	\$ 300,000,000
									Т	otal (Cost in Year	r of I	Expenditure:	\$ 300,000,000

Programming Summary	Yes/No		Reason if short Programmed										
Is the project short programmed?	Yes	The for n		ly prog	ramming	PE re	elated proje	ect d	evelopment	(NEP	A & project	desi	gn) activities
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning		PE	R	OW		UR		Cons		Other		Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$	6,686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,686,727
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%		100.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$	686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	686,727
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A		10.27%		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		10.27%

Phase Programming Summary Totals												
Fund Category	Planr	ning		reliminary ineering (PE)	_	of Way OW)		Utility elocation	C	onstruction	Other	Total
Federal	\$	-	\$	6,000,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000
State	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$;	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$	-	\$	686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 686,727
Total	\$	-	\$	6,686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 6,686,727

	Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total	
Federal	0.0%	89.73%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.7%	
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Local	0.00%	10.27%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	
Total	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
	· ·							

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	89.73%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.7%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	10.27%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

		Project Phas	e Obligation H	istory			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							Not Applicable
EA Number:		Not Applicable					FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:		Not Applicable					FMIS or TrAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	tion Date:	12/30/2026
Completion Date Notes: The completion date is for the project development planning study effort only							
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	YES	If yes, exp	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	5307	
lotes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight. This project will be under FTA oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? Metro allocated CRP awarded to the project and prior approved UPWP Transit Corridor Development funds.
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. This is a new project being added to the MTP. The CRP awarded funds are from a ODOT allocation to Metro which Metro Council awarded to the project on 6-15-2023.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via Council agenda item awarding the funds.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No OTC or ODOT approval was required. Metro Council approval for the CRP funds was required.
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

	Project Location References							
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin M		End	Length		
	No Not		Not Applicable Not Applica			Not Applicable		
Cross Stroots	Route or Arterial Cross Street Cross Street							
Cross Streets 82nd Ave SE Powell Blvd NE Airport Way								
Note: Routes or arterials with multiple site improvement locations shown as an aggregate total.								

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification								
1st Year	2024	Years Active	0	Draiast Status	T22	Programming act	ions in progress or programmed		
Programmed	2024	rears Active	U	Project Status	122	in current MTIP			
Total Prior	0	Last	None	Date of Last	Not	Last MTIP	Not Applicable		
Amendments	0	Amendment	None	Amendment	Applicable	Amend Num	ног Арріісавіе		
Last Amendment	Not. Not Applicable								
Action	Not. Not Applicable								

		Anticipat	ed Required Perf	ormance Meas	urements Monit	oring	
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity Focus Areas: The project limits cross over several EFAs. Most are "yes".
Measurements	X	X		X	X	X	Overall People of Color (POC), Limited English (LE), and Limited Income(LI) = Yes
ODOT (federal) Per	formance Measure Passenger Ra		Walkways/	Bikewavs	Traffic Co	ongestion	Transit Rides
Mobility		-			X		X
Preservation	Pavement	Condition	Bridge Co	ondition	Public Transit Vehicle Condition		
Safety	Fatalities/Injur	ies Reduction					
Stewardship	Construction Projects On-Time		Construction I Budg	-	Disadvantage Business Enterprise Utilization		ODOT Customer Service
·					·		X

RTP Air Quality Conformity a	and Transportation Modeling Designations
	The project development portion is considered a planning effort and is not
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing projec	t? capacity enhancing. The full BRT project is considered capacity enhancing and
	requires transportation demand modeling analysis.
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	on The project development portion (this project) is exempt for a conformity
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3	3? determination. Exemption reference is 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
Exemption Reference	e: Other - Planning and technical studies.
	Yes, the full constructed project requires transportation demand modeling
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion	n? analysis. The project development portion (this project) does not require
	modeling analysis.
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis complete	ed Yes, the full constructed project has completed transportation demand modeling
as part of RTP inclusion	n? analysis as part of the new 2023 RTP base don the LPA.
RTP Constrained Project ID and Nam	e: ID#: 12029 - HCT: 82nd Ave Transit Project
	Contingent on federal, state and local funding commitments, the 82nd Ave
	Transit Project will improve travel between Clackamas Town Center and
RTP Project Descriptio	n: important destinations in NE Portland with easier, faster and more reliable bus
	service as well as necessary safety and accessibility improvements, paving and
	signals.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network								
Yes/No	Network	Designation							
Yes	Motor Vehicle	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Major Arterial.							
Yes	Transit	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Frequent Bus area.							
Yes	Freight	Generally no designation. However, a small portion for 82nd Ave near the airport is designated as a Regional Intermodal Connector.							
Yes	Bicycle	In some portions on 82nd Ave in the projects, the arterial is designated as a Regional Bikeway							
Yes	Pedestrian	For most of the project limits, 82nd Ave is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway. Near the airport, the designation changes to be a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.							

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations											
System	Y/N	Route	Designation								
NHS Project	Yes	82nd Ave	MAP 21 NHS Principal Arterials and near the airport, the designation changes to be a Intermodal Connector								
Functional Classification	Yes	82nd Ave	Urban Other Principal Arterial								
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	82nd Ave	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Other Principal Arterial)								

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? Yes.
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? The SFY 2025 UPWP is being updated to include the project.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? **Metro Funded Stand-Alone** project.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

Goal #11 - Transparency and Accountability:

Objective 11.2 Performance-Based Planning – Make transportation investment decisions using a performance-based planning approach that is aligned with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement, multimodal data and analysis.

Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.

Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No and Yes. The planning project portion (this project) is not subject to the special performance assessment requirement. The full project once funded will be subject to a special performance assessment evaluation as it exceeds \$100 million in total project cost and is capacity enhancing.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Some comments are expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Yes. For this specific project amendment, Metro may receive a sufficient number of comments that may require a comment log.
- 7. Added notes: The amendment public comment process will be expanded a little to compensate for the holidays. The comment period will be completed prior to the proposed Metro Council approval date of February 8, 2024. If a significant number of comments (positive or negative) are received, then the project amendment may be pulled from the proposed February Council approval date and push out to a later date if required.

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas

Metro 600 NE Grand Ave. Portland, OR 97232-27

Council meeting agenda

Thursday, June 15, 2023 10:30 AM Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber, https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJifjSl?

https://zoom.us/j/615079992 Webinar ID: 615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337 Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package	
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
82 nd Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5.000.000
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1.800.000
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000

il meeting	Agenda	June 15, 2023

RES 23-5337

Resolution No. 23-5337, For the Purpose of Distributing \$18.8 Million of Carbon Reduction Program Funds for the Years 2022-2026, Pending Inclusion in the 2024-2027

Presenter(s): Ted Leybold (he/him), Metro Grace Cho, Metro


Attachments: Resolution No. 23-5337

Exhibit A
Exhibit B
Staff Report
Attachment 1

January 18, 2024 Update:

\$5 million of Metro Carbon funds have been approved to the 82nd Ave BRT Project Development project along with \$1 million of UPWP STBG Transit Corridor Development federal funds. The federal total is \$6 million awarded. Due to possible eligibility issues for the Climate SMART project, \$1 million of the \$1.8 million of Carbon funds are being swapped with the STBG on this project. This increases the total CRP funds for the 82nd Ave BRT project to \$6 million.





2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment **SPLIT PROJECT**

Split and transfer \$5 million CRP to the 82nd Ave BRT project

Project #3

			Project	Details Summa	ry		
ODOT Key #	23239	RFFA ID:	50440	RTP ID:	11103	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71331	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307
MTIP Amendment ID:		JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD	

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment splits \$5 million of Carbon funds (plus match) and transfers them to the new Metro 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit project. Metro is using awarded Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds to support the project. The project development activities will complete pre-design and pre-NEPA actions in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant. Metro's funding includes a \$5 million CRP award and a \$1 million UPWP Next Corridor study grant award. TriMet will be submitting a separate similar project for then to complete project development activities in support of their effort to secure the Small Starts grant as well. The split programing approach is necessary and has been approved by FTA.

Project Name:	Carbon Reduc	Carbon Reduction Program Reserve										
Lead Agency:	Metro Applicant: Metro Administrator: FTA											
Certified Agency Delivery:		Yes	Non-Certified Ag	Certified Agency Delivery:		Delivery as Direct Recipient:		N/A				

Short Description:

Funding to focus on transportation activities which reduce the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Created by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, a new funding program created to focus on transportation activities which reduces the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources. Federal rules and guidance outlines state and metropolitan planning organization requirements for allocating and spending dollars, in addition to defining overarching eligible activities for funds. The Metro allocation of funding for the Carbon Reduction Program is still to be determined but will be guided by the region's Climate Smart Strategy.

STIP Description: Funding to focus on transportation activities to reduce the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources.

	Project Classification Details											
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type									
Other	Other	Other	Other									
ODOT Work Type:	OP-Carbon											

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Federa	al Funds								
Carbon	¥601	2027	_	_				\$ 17,039,213	\$
Carbon	Y601	2027						\$ 11,039,213	\$ 11,039,21
									\$
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,039,213	\$ 11,039,21
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$
									\$
		te Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$

Local	Local Funds												
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total				
Local (Carbon)	-Match-	2027						\$ 1,950,214	\$ -				
Local (Carbon)	Match	2027						\$ 1,263,487	\$ 1,263,487				
									\$ -				
	Local Totals:		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,263,487	\$ 1,263,487				

Phase Totals	Plan	ining		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Total
Existing Programming Totals:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-	\$ -	\$	18,989,427	\$	18,989,427
Amended Programming Totals	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		-	\$ -	\$	12,302,700	\$	12,302,700
Total Estimated Project Cost \$ 13,41												13,416,040		
Total Cost in Year of Expenditure: \$										13,416,040				

Yes/No		Reason if short Programmed											
No	The project is a programmed.	PGB bucket sup	porting specific	carbon reduction	n projects. The pr	oject is not short							
Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals							
\$ -	. \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. \$ -	\$ (6,686,727)	\$ (6,686,727)							
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-35.2%	-35.2%							
\$ -	- \$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,263,487	\$ 1,263,487							
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.27%	10.27%							
	No Planning \$ - 0.0% \$	No The project is a programmed. Planning PE \$ - \$ - \$ 0.0% 0.0% \$ - \$ -	No The project is a PGB bucket sup programmed. Planning PE ROW \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	No The project is a PGB bucket supporting specific programmed. Planning PE ROW UR \$ - \$ - \$ - 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% \$ - \$ - \$ -	No The project is a PGB bucket supporting specific carbon reduction programmed. Planning PE ROW UR Cons \$ - \$ - \$ - 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% \$ - \$ - \$ -	No The project is a PGB bucket supporting specific carbon reduction projects. The programmed. Planning PE ROW UR Cons Other \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (6,686,727) 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% -35.2% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 1,263,487							

Phase Programming Summary Totals													
Fund Category	Planning		Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Wa	ıy	Utility Relocation	1	Construction		Other		Total	
Federal	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	11,039,213	\$	11,039,213	
State	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Local	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	1,263,487	\$	1,263,487	
Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	12,302,700	\$	12,302,700	

Phase Composition Percentages							
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.7%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.7%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Project Pn	ase Obligation Hi	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							N/A
EA End Date:							FMIS or TrAM
Known Expenditures:							N/A
				Estimate	d Project Comple	tion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes: T	he completion date	e is for the projec	t development plan	nning study effor	t only		
Are federal funds being flex transfer	red to FTA?	YES	If yes, expected FTA conversion code: 5307				

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? Metro allocated Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Funds.
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The formal amendment splits off \$5 million of CRP funds and reprograms them to the new Metro 82nd Ave BRT project.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via Council agenda item awarding the funds.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No OTC or ODOT approval was required. Metro Council approval for the CRP funds was required.
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

			Project Location Referen	ces		
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	d	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable
Cross Stroots	Route or Arterial		Cross Street		Cross Street	
Cross Streets	Streets Not Applicable Not Applicable			Not Applicable		

Note: Key 23239 is a non specific project grouping bucket (PGB) supporting Carbon Reduction Program projects which once approved are split off as separate stand-alone projects in the MTIP.

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification						
1st Year	2027	Years Active	0	Draiast Status	A atia	Programming actions in progress or programmed	
Programmed	2027	rears Active	Years Active 0 Project Status Active		Active	in current MTIP	
Total Prior	1	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	December	Last MTIP	AM24-04-DEC2
Amendments	1	Amendment	Administrative	Amendment	2023	Amend Num	AIVIZ4-04-DECZ
Last Amendment	Last Amendment Split \$1.8 million off the PGB to support the Metro Climate Smart Implementation Program						
Action	Split \$1.8 million of	Title PGB to supp	ort the Metro Cili	mate Smart imp	iementation Pr	ogram	

	Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Other performance measure goals may apply depending on specific	
Measurements		X					projects the funds are committed	

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	The project development portion is considered a planning effort and is not capacity enhancing. The full BRT project is considered capacity enhancing and requires transportation demand modeling analysis.
	The project development portion (this project) is exempt for a conformity determination. Exemption reference is 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
Exemption Reference:	Other - Planning and technical studies.

Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project requires transportation demand modeling analysis. The project development portion (this project) does not require modeling analysis.
as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project has completed transportation demand modeling analysis as part of the new 2023 RTP base don the LPA. ID#: 11103 - Regional MPO Activities for 2023-2030
RTP Project Description	Transportation planning, programming, monitoring and federal reporting that Metro must conduct in order to remain certified as an metropolitan planning

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network				
Yes/No	Network	Designation			
Yes	Motor Vehicle	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Major Arterial.			
Yes	Transit	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Frequent Bus area.			
Yes	Freight	Generally no designation. However, a small portion for 82nd Ave near the airport is designated as a Regional Intermodal Connector.			
Yes	Bicycle	In some portions on 82nd Ave in the projects, the arterial is designated as a Regional Bikeway			
Yes	Pedestrian	For most of the project limits, 82nd Ave is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway. Near the airport, the designation changes to be a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.			

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations				
System	Y/N	Route	Designation	
NHS Project	Yes	82nd Ave	MAP 21 NHS Principal Arterials and near the airport, the designation changes to be a Intermodal Connector	
Functional Classification	Yes	82nd Ave	Urban Other Principal Arterial	
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	82nd Ave	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Other Principal Arterial)	

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? **Not applicable for the generic PGB.**
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

Goal #11 - Transparency and Accountability:

Objective 11.2 Performance-Based Planning – Make transportation investment decisions using a performance-based planning approach that is aligned with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement, multimodal data and analysis.

Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.

Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No and Yes. The planning project portion (this project) is not subject to the special performance assessment requirement. The full project once funded will be subject to a special performance assessment evaluation as it exceeds \$100 million in total project cost and is capacity enhancing.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Some comments are expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Yes. For this specific project amendment, Metro may receive a sufficient number of comments that may require a comment log.
- 7. Added notes: The amendment public comment process will be expanded a little to compensate for the holidays. The comment period will be completed prior to the proposed Metro Council approval date of February 8, 2024. If a significant number of comments (positive or negative) are received, then the project amendment may be pulled from the proposed February Council approval date and push out to a later date if required.

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas

Metro 600 NE Grand Ave. Portland, OR 97232-27

Council meeting agenda

Thursday, June 15, 2023 10:30 AM Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber, https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJiffS1?

https://zoom.us/j/615079992 Webinar ID: 615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337 Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package	
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
82 nd Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5.000.000
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1,800,000
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000

il meeting	Agenda	June 15, 2023

RES 23-5337

5.1 Resolution No. 23-5337, For the Purpose of Distributing \$18.8 Million of Carbon Reduction Program Funds for the Years 2022-2026, Pending Inclusion in the 2024-2027

MTIP.

Presenter(s): Ted Leybold (he/him), Metro

Grace Cho, Metro

Attachments: Resolution No. 23-5337

Exhibit A
Exhibit B
Staff Report
Attachment 1

Original Programming for Key 23239



ODOT Key: 23239 | MTIP ID: 71331

Carbon Reduction Program (Bucket) - Cycle 2024-29

Current Programming

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Other (explain)	2027		\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232		\$20,995,445	
	2027	Carbon Reduction - Urban	\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232		\$20,995,445	
Totals >>		***************************************	\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232	\$0	\$20,995,445	

Reduction maded via December 2024 #2 Admin Mod splitting \$1.8 million of STBG from the PGB.

Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds								
Carbon	¥601	2027	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00					\$ 18,839,213	\$
Carbon	Y601	2027						\$ 17,039,213	\$ 17,039,21
									\$
		al Totals:		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,039,213	\$ 17,039,21
te: HSIP in PE ar	d Constructi	on is 100%	federal						
State	e Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									Ś
							1		\$
	Stat	e Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Loca	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local	Match	2027						\$ 2,156,232	Ś
	Match	2027						\$ 1,950,214	\$ 1,950,21
Local									Ś
Local		al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Local	Loc		0114400110110110110110110110111		^				
Local	Loc								
	Loc e Totals		Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
	e Totals		\$ -	PE \$ -	ROW \$ -	UR \$ -	Cons \$ -	Other \$ 20,995,445	
Phas	e Totals ramming To	itals:		\$ -			\$ - \$ -	\$	\$ 20,995,44 \$ 18,989,42

After the December #2 Admin Mod the revised Carbon funds for 23239 is \$17,039,213. \$5 million for the new 82nd Ave BRT planning study project is split through the formal amendment resulting in a revised Carbon amount of \$12,039,213 and required local match.

2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment
COST INCREASE

Create an small UR phase and increase Construction

Project #4

	Project Details Summary												
ODOT Key #	22435	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12095	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023						
MTIP ID:	71257	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No						
MTIP Amendment ID: JA24-04-JA				STIP Amer	ndment ID:	24-27-0586							

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment adds a Utility Relocation phase and adds funds to the construction phase. . The changes are above the thresholds for administrative modifications. The net cost change is 26.6% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative cost changes to MTIP projects. The project requires the addition of a Utility phase (UR) to cover utility reimbursements and an increase in Construction phase (CN) to cover additional and increased project costs. The project will construct approximately 250 curb ramps along OR47, OR8, and US 30 in Forest Grove, Gaston, Vernonia, and Ranier. Construction cost bids are higher due to: 1) an abundance of work and firms experiencing resource and staffing limitations, 2) design and delivery are being outsourced with increases in temporary traffic features, 3) more locations than projected were found to require 3D modeling, 4) more signal and utility relocations, and 5) extensive earthwork to get slopes within allowable limits.

Project Name:	OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps											
Lead Agency:	ODC	T	Applicant:	OD	ОТ	Administrator:	Ol	DOT				
Certified Agency Delivery: N/A			Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery:	N/A	Delivery as Dir	ect Recipient:	N/A				

Short Description:

Construct to American Disabilities Act (ADA) standards, curbs and ramps at multiple locations along OR47, OR8, and US30 to reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disabled persons

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

At approximately 22 locations on OR47, OR8, and US30, construct to ADA standards curbs and ramps as part of the ODOT/AOCIL settlement to help reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disable persons (RTP ID: 12095), (PGB = Yes, Safety & Ops) (OTC approval: March 2021, Item G), (Exempt 40 CFR93.126, Table 2, Air Quality - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements)

STIP Description:

Construct curb ramps to meet compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards.

	Project Classification Details												
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type										
Active Transportation Complete Streets	Active Trans - Pedestrian	Sidewalks - Reconstruction	Capital Improvement										
ODOT Work Type:	ADAP												

	Phase Funding and Programming												
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other		Total			
Federa	al Funds												
State STBG	Z24E Y240	2022		\$ 3,768,660					\$	-			
State STBG	Z24E Y240	2023			\$ 1,356,718				\$	1,356,718			
AC-STBGS	ACP0	2024				\$ 26,919			\$	26,919			
State STBG	Y240	2024					\$ 7,944,848		\$	7,944,848			
AC-STBGS	ACP0	2024					\$ 3,455,011		\$	3,455,011			
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ 3,768,660	\$ 1,356,718	\$ 26,919	\$ 11,399,859	\$ -	\$	12,783,496			

Note: The estimated AC conversion code is State STBG

State	e Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	liminary eering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	tility ocation	Co	nstruction	Other	Total
State	Match	2022		\$ 431,340						\$ 431,340
State	Match	2023			\$ 155,282					\$ 155,282
State (AC)	Match	2024				\$ 3,081				\$ 3,081
State	Match	2024					\$	909,323		\$ 909,323
State (AC)	Match	2024					\$	395,441		\$ 395,441
	Sta	te Totals:	\$ -	\$ 431,340	\$ 155,282	\$ 3,081	\$	1,304,764	\$ -	\$ 1,894,467

	Funds Fund			Pr	eliminary	Rig	ht of Way		Utility						
Fund Type	Code	Year	Planning		neering (PE)		(ROW)		location	Construction		Other			Total
														\$	-
														\$	-
	Loc	al Totals:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Phase	Totals		Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Total
Existing Progra	ımming To	tals:	\$ -	\$	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	\$	_	\$	8,854,171	\$	-	\$_	14,566,171
Amended Progr	ramming 1	otals	\$ -	\$	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	\$	30,000	\$	12,704,623	\$	-	\$	18,446,623
											Total Estima	ited	Project Cost	\$	18,446,623
									-	Γota	al Cost in Year	of E	xpenditure:	\$	18,446,623
Programmin	ng Summa	ry	Yes/No					R	eason if sho	ort	Programmed				
Is the project sho	rt prograr	nmed?	No	The	project is no	t sho	rt program	med							
Programming Adj	justments	Details	Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Totals
Phase Pro	gramming	Change:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	30,000	\$	3,850,452	\$		\$	3,880,452
Phas	se Change	Percent:	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		100.0%		43.5%		0.0%		26.6%
Amended Phas			\$ -	\$	431,340	\$	155,282	\$	3,081	\$	1,304,764	\$	-	\$	1,894,467
Amended Phase	Matching	Percent:	N/A		10.27%		10.27%		10.27%		10.27%		N/A		10.27%
			·	1										1	

Phase Programming Summary Totals													
Fund Category	Planning		Preliminary Right of Way Engineering (PE) (ROW)		Utility Relocation		Construction		Other			Total	
Federal	\$ -	\$	3,768,660	\$	1,356,718	\$	26,919	\$	11,399,859	\$	-	\$	16,552,156
State	\$ -	\$	431,340	\$	155,282	\$	3,081	\$	1,304,764	\$	-	\$	1,894,467
Local	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total	\$ -	\$	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	\$	30,000	\$	12,704,623	\$	-	\$	18,446,623

Phase Composition Percentages											
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total				
Federal	0.0%	89.7%	89.7%	89.7%	89.7%	0.0%	89.7%				
State	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	0.0%	10.27%				
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				
Total	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%				

Phase Programming Percentage												
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total					
Federal	0.0%	20.43%	7.35%	0.15%	61.80%	0.0%	89.73%					
State	0.0%	2.34%	0.8%	0.02%	7.07%	0.0%	10.27%					
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Total	0.0%	22.8%	8.20%	0.16%	68.87%	0.0%	100.0%					

		Project Pha	se Obligation His	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated		\$ 4,200,000	\$ 1,512,000				Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:		\$ 3,768,660	\$ 1,356,718				SA00(466)
EA Number:		PE003364	R9870000				FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:		12/22/2021	5/22/2023				FHWA
EA End Date:		N/A	N/A				FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:		N/A	N/A				FMIS
				Estimate	d Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transfe	Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA?			Yes/No If yes, expected FTA conversion code: N/A			
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.							

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? **ODOT managed funds.**
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. An UR is added along with an increase to the Construction phase.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, per STIP Impacts Worksheet
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT Director approval was required.**
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

			Project Location Reference	es		
	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length	
	Yes	OR47	17.88	20.29	2.41	
On Ctata Highway	Yes	OR47	19.44	25.27	5.83	
On State Highway	Yes	OR47	60.87	62.77	1.9	
	Yes	OR47	88.68	90.59	1.91	
	Yes	OR47	88.53	88.53	0	
	Yes	US30	46.66	48.4	1.74	
Cross Streets	R	oute or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street	
No	Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable	
Note: Routes or arter	rials with mu	Itiple site improvement loca	tions shown as an aggregate total.	'		

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification						
1st Year	2022	Years Active	2	Project Status	_	(RW) Right-of V	Vay activities initiated including
Programmed	2022	rears Active	3	Project Status	5	R/W acquisition	and/or utilities relocation.
Total Prior	Е	Last	Formal	Date of Last	October 2023	Last MTIP	OC23-02-OCT
Amendments	5	Amendment	Formal	Amendment	October 2023	Amend Num	0023-02-001
Last Amendment COST INCREASE:							
Action Add OTC approved funding to address a construction phase funding shortfall due to inflationary cost impacts							

		Anticipat	ed Required Perf	ormance Meas	urements Monit	oring	
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes The small portion in the Metro MPA boundary on OR47 at OR8 and north
Measurements				X		X	is in an EFA: POC = Yes, LEP = Yes. LI = Yes

RTP Air Quality Conformity and Transportation Modeling Designations				
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?				
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other			
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	res. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other			
Exemption Reference:	Other - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities			
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable			

If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis complete as part of RTP inclusion	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
as part of RTP inclusion	1? Not applicable. The project is flot capacity elifialiting
RTP Constrained Project ID and Nam	e: ID# 12095 - Safety & Operations Projects: 2023-2030
	Projects to improve safety and/or operational efficiencies such as pedestrian
	crossings, speed feedback signs, transit priority technology at signals on arterial
RTP Project Description	n: roads, railroad crossing repairs, slide and rock fall protections, illumination,
	signals and signal operations systems, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and other
	improvements that do not add motor vehicle capacity.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network			
Yes/No	Network	Designation		
Yes	Motor Vehicle	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Throughway		
Yes	Transit	A small portion on OR47 in the project limits is designated as a Regional Bus route		
Yes	Freight	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Main Roadway Route		
Yes	Bicycle	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Bicycle Parkway		
Yes	Pedestrian	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Pedestrian Parkway		

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations			
System	Y/N	Route	Designation	
NHS Project	Yes	OR47	Map-21 Principal Arterial	
Functional Classification	Yes	OR47	Urban Other Principal Arterial	
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	OR47	3 = Other Principal Arterial	
Note: The US30 location is outside of the Metro MP A boundary area.				

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes, on OR47 in the project limits.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? Not Applicable.
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.

4. Applicable RTP Goals:

Goal # 5 - Safety and Security:

Objective 5.1 Transportation Safety – Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel, data and analysis.

Goal #9: Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.


5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Advance	A funding placeholder tool. This fund management tool allows agencies to incur costs on a project and submit the full or partial amount later for
Construction	Federal reimbursement if the project is approved for funding. Advance construction can be used to fund emergency relief efforts and for any project
ADVCON	listed in the STIP, including surface transportation, interstate, bridge, and safety projects. The use of Advance Construction is normally only by the state
(AC funds)	DOT to help leverage their funding resources and keep projects on their respective delivery schedules.
AC-STBGS	This is the later projected federal conversion code for the advance constructions being programmed now. AC-STBGS means that the advance construction funds will be converted into State STBG funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.
State	General state funds committed to the project to cover the required minimum federal match to the federal funds.

	Fund Co	aes			_					
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	47.74%	2,005,228.00	89.73%	1,799,291.08	10.27%	205,936.92	0.00%	0.00
PE	Z24E	Surface transportation block grants - flex FAST ext	52.26%	2,194,772.00	89.73%	1,969,368.92	10.27%	225,403.08	0.00%	0.00
	PE Totals		100.00%	4,200,000.00		3,768,660.00		431,340.00		0.00
	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	32.66%	493,797.38	89.73%	443,084.39	10.27%	50,712.99	0.00%	0.00
RW	Z240	SURFACE TRANSP BLOCK GRTS-FLEX	67.34%	1,018,202.62	89.73%	913,633.21	10.27%	104,569.41	0.00%	0.00
	RW Totals		100.00%	1,512,000.00		1,356,717.60		155,282.40		0.00
UR	ACP0	ADVANCE CONSTRUCT PR	100.00%	30,000.00	89.73%	26,919.00	10.27%	3,081.00	0.00%	0.00
	UR Totals		100.00%	30,000.00		26,919.00		3,081.00		0.00
	ACP0	ADVANCE CONSTRUCT PR	30.31%	3,850,452.00	89.73%	3,455,010.58	10.27%	395 <mark>,441</mark> .42	0.00%	0.00
CN	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	69.69%	8,854,171.00	89.73%	7,944,847.64	10.27%	909,323.36	0.00%	0.00
	CN Totals		100.00%	12,704,623.00		11,399,858.22		1,304,764.78		0.00
	Grand Totals			18,446,623.00		16,552,154.82		1,894,468.18		0.00



2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment

ADD FUNDS

Revise 5310 UZA estimates & add overmatch

Proje	ect #5									
Project Details Summary										
ODOT Key#	22185	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	11334 12096	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023			
MTIP ID:	71214	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #: N/A		FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No			
M	TIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD				

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment modifies the estimate 5310 formula apportionments and adds local overmatch to the project. The net result is a cost increase of 49% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative changes involving cost changes.

Project Name:	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310										
Lead Agency:	Lead Agency: TriMet Applicant: TriMet Administrator: FTA										
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Di	rect Recipient:	Yes			

Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services.

STIP Description: Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area.

	Project Classification Details										
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type								
Transit	Transit Operations		Other								
ODOT Work Type:	Transit										

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other (Transit)	Total
Federa	al Funds								
5310 (50/505)	5310	2024						\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331
5310 (80/20)	5310	2024						\$ 1,782,846	\$ -
5310 (80/20)	5310	2024						\$ 1,806,718	\$ 1,806,718
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,105,049	\$ 2,105,049
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
	Sta	te Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local	Funds													
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		eliminary neering (PE)	Right of	•	Re	Utility elocation	Construction		Other		Total
Local (50/50)	Match	2024									\$	298,331	\$	298,331
Local (80/20)	- Match	2024									\$	445,712	\$	-
Local (80/20)	Match	2024									\$	451,680	\$	451,680
Other	OTH0	2024									\$	1,355,039	\$	1,355,039
	Loc	al Totals:	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,105,050	\$	2,105,050
Phase	Totals		Planning		PE	RO	w		UR	Cons		Other		Total
Existing Progr	amming To	otals:	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,825,220	<u>\$</u>	2,825,220
Amended Prog	ramming 1	Γotals	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,210,099	\$	4,210,099
										Total Estim	ated	Project Cost	\$	4,210,099
										Total Cost in Yea	ar of	Expenditure:	\$	4,210,099

Programming Summary	Yes/No		Reason if short Programmed							
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	project is not short programmed							
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals			
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,384,879	\$ 1,384,879			
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49.0%	49.0%			
Amended Matching Funds (20%):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 451,680	\$ 451,680			
Amended Matching Funds (50%):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331			
Amended Match Percent (20%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%				
Amended Match Percent (50%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%				
Net Match % w/out Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		26.3%			
Net Match % w/ Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		50.0%			

Phase Programming Summary Totals										
Fund Category	Planni	ng	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction		Other	Total	
Federal	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	2,105,049	\$ 2,105,049	
State	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$. \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
Local	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$. \$	-	2,105,050	\$ 2,105,050	
Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	4,210,099	\$ 4,210,099	

Phase Composition Percentages										
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total			
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Phase Programming Percentage										
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total			
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

		Project Pha	se Obligation H	listory					
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal		
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID		
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A		
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA		
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA		
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS		
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS		
				Estimate	d Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2025		
Completion Date Notes:				,			'		
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	No	If yes, exp	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A			
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.									

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? FTA Urbanized Zone Apportionment
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor updates to the federal formal estimates are occurring along with adding project overmatch
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via TriMet Finance Department review and approvals.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Approval to make changes from the TriMet Finance

 Department after a review of the formula apportionment estimates for FFY 2024.
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes

Project Location References											
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP Begin MP		Length					
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable Not		plicable	Not Applicable					
Cuasa Stuasta	Ro	oute or Arterial	Cross Street			Cross Street					
Cross Streets	N	Not Applicable	Not Applicable			Not Applicable					
Note: Routes or arterials with multiple site improvement locations shown as an aggregate total.											

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification										
1st Year	2024	Years Active	1	Draiast Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programme					
Programmed	2024	rears Active	1	Project Status		in current MTIP					
Total Prior	1	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	May 2022	Last MTIP	AM22-11-FEB1				
Amendments	<u> </u>	Amendment	Auministrative	Amendment	Widy 2022	Amend Num	AIVIZZ-11-I LB1				
Last Amendment Action	DESCRIPTION UPD The administrative project description	modification upd		ame and short (description to I	better align with t	he Program of Projects (POP) and				

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring										
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity qualifies under the generic definition that the 5310 program			
Measurements				X	X	Χ	serves seniors and disabled persons with barriers to transportation			

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	res. The project is exempt per 40 CFN 93.120, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed	No. Not applicable. The project is not conscitu enhancing
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network							
Yes/No Network Designation								
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. 5310 is a region-wide program across the TriMet 3-county service area						
No	Transit							
No	Freight							
No	Bicycle							
No	Pedestrian	↓						

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations									
System	Y/N	Route	Designation							
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	N/A	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
	·									

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No. Not Applicable
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.2 Barrier Free Transportation – Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, <u>older adults, people with disabilities</u> and other historically marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Added local funds committed to the project phase above and beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds. Also referred to as "overmatch" funding.
5310	Federal (FTA based) transit funds. The 5310 program (49 U.S.C. 5310) provides formula funding to states and designated recipients to meet the transportation needs of older adults and people with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs



ODOT Key: 22185 | MTIP ID: 71214

Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310 - Cycle 2024-29

Current Programming

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Transit	2024		\$2,081,177	\$744,043		\$2,825,220	
	2014	5310 (50/50)	\$298,331	\$298,331		\$596,662	
	2014	5310 (80/20)	\$1,782,846	\$445,712		\$2,228,558	
Totals >>		•	\$2,081,177	\$744,043	\$0	\$2,825,220	

	1	Project Det	ails		Programm	ing History		Prog	ramming Change	es		
ODOT Key	MTIP ID Lead Project Project Short Description in MTIP				Current Programmed Year	Federal Fund Type	Current Federal Amount (Pre UZA adjustment)	Revised Federal Amount	Local Amount	Local Overmatch	Total	SLIP Project Phase?
				FTA 5310 Funds			Current Federal	Revised Federal	Match	Overmatch	Total	Slip Phase?
			Enhanced Seniors	Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area	2024	5310	\$ 1,782,846	\$ 1,806,718	\$ 451,679.50	\$ 1,355,039	\$ 3,613,437	No
22185	71213	TriMet	Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310		2024	5310	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331	\$ -	\$ 596,662	No
						Totals:	\$ 2,081,177	\$ 2,105,049	\$ 750,011	\$ 1,355,039	\$ 4,210,099	

2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro

2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

MTIP Formal Amendment

ADD FUNDS

Revise 5310 UZA estimates & add overmatch

TBD

Proje	ect #6							
Project Details Summary								
ODOT Key #	23188	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12096	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023	
MTIP ID:	71317	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No	

STIP Amendment ID:

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

MTIP Amendment ID:

JA24-04-JAN

The formal amendment modifies the estimate 5310 formula apportionments and adds local overmatch to the project. The net result is a cost increase of 50% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative changes involving cost changes.

Project Name:	ect Name: Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)									
Lead Agency:	ency: TriMet Applicant: TriMet Administrator: FTA									
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Ag	ency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Di	rect Recipient:	Yes		

Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services (5310 FFY 2025 allocation via UZA apportionment)

<u>STIP Description:</u> Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area in federal fiscal year 2025.

	Project Classification Details								
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type						
Transit	Transit Operations		Other						
ODOT Work Type:	Transit								

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other (Transit)	Total
Federa	al Funds								
5310 (50/505)	5310	2025						\$ 307,281	\$ 307,281
5310 (80/20)	5310	2025						\$ 1,836,331	\$ -
5310 (80/20)	5310	2025						\$ 1,881,970	\$ 1,881,970
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,189,251	\$ 2,189,251
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
	Sta	te Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Local	Funds												
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		reliminary ineering (PE)	Right of	•	Re	Utility elocation	Construction		Other	Total
Local (50/50)	Match	2025									\$	307,281	\$ 307,281
Local (80/20)	-Match-	2025									\$_	459,083	\$ -
Local (80/20)	Match	2025									\$	470,493	\$ 470,493
Other	OTH0	2025									\$	1,411,478	\$ 1,411,478
	Loc	al Totals:	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,189,252	\$ 2,189,252
Phase	Totals		Planning		PE	RO	w		UR	Cons		Other	Total
Existing Progr	amming To	otals:	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,909,976	\$ 2,909,976
Amended Prog	gramming 7	Γotals	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	4,378,503	\$ 4,378,503
										Total Estim	ated	Project Cost	\$ 4,378,503
										Total Cost in Yea	r of	Expenditure:	\$ 4,378,503

Programming Summary	Yes/No		Reason if short Programmed						
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	med					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals		
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,468,527	\$ 1,468,527		
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.5%	50.5%		
Amended Matching Funds (20%):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 470,493	\$ 470,493		
Amended Matching Funds (50%):	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 307,281	\$ 307,281		
Amended Match Percent (20%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%			
Amended Match Percent (50%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%			
Net Match % w/out Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		26.2%		
Net Match % w/ Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		50.0%		

	Phase Programming Summary Totals										
Fund Category	Planning	Er	Preliminary ngineering (PE)	Right of W (ROW)	/ay		lity	Construction		Other	Total
Federal	\$	- !	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ 2,189,251	\$ 2,189,251
State	\$	- (\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$	- !	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ 2,189,252	\$ 2,189,252
Total	\$	- (\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		\$ 4,378,503	\$ 4,378,503

	Phase Composition Percentages								
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total		
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Phase Programming Percentage									
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total		
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%		
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

		Project Pha	se Obligation H	listory			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimate	d Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2025
Completion Date Notes:				,			'
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	No	If yes, exp	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) info	rmation pertains p	rimarily to projects	under ODOT Loc	al Delivery oversi	ight.		'

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding? FTA Urbanized Zone Apportionment
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor updates to the federal formal estimates are occurring along with adding project overmatch
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via TriMet Finance Department review and approvals.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Approval to make changes from the TriMet Finance Department after a review of the formula apportionment estimates for FFY 2025.
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes

	Project Location References									
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route MP Begin		MP End Not Applicable		Length				
	No Not Applicable		Not Applicable			Not Applicable				
Cuasa Stuasta	Route or Arterial Cross Street Cross Street									
Cross Streets	Cross Streets Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable									
Note: Routes or arterials with multiple site improvement locations shown as an aggregate total.										

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification									
1st Year	2025	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed				
Programmed	2023	rears Active			122	in current MTIP				
Total Prior	0	Last	Not Applicable	Date of Last	None	Last MTIP	Not Applicable			
Amendments	U	Amendment	Not Applicable	Amendment	None	Amend Num	Not Applicable			
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable									

	Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring								
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity qualifies under the generic definition that the 5310 program		
Measurements				X	X	X	serves seniors and disabled persons with barriers to transportation		

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	res. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.120, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed	No. Not applicable. The preject is not conseity enhancing
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network								
Yes/No	Yes/No Network Designation								
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. 5310 is a region-wide program across the TriMet 3-county service area							
No	Transit								
No	Freight								
No	Bicycle								
No	Pedestrian	↓							

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations										
System	Y/N	Route	Designation								
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable								
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable								
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	N/A	Not Applicable	Not Applicable								
	·										

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No. Not Applicable
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.2 Barrier Free Transportation – Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, <u>older adults, people with disabilities</u> and other historically marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Added local funds committed to the project phase above and beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds. Also referred to as "overmatch" funding.
5310	Federal (FTA based) transit funds. The 5310 program (49 U.S.C. 5310) provides formula funding to states and designated recipients to meet the transportation needs of older adults and people with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs



ODOT Key: 23188 | MTIP ID: 71317

Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025) - Cycle 2024-29

Current Programming

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Transit	2025		\$2,143,612	\$766,364		\$2,909,976	
	2014	5310 (50/50)	\$307,281	\$307,281		\$614,562	
	2014	5310 (80/20)	\$1,836,331	\$459,083		\$2,295,414	
Totals >>	6	***************************************	\$2,143,612	\$766,364	\$0	\$2,909,976	

	1	Project Det	ails		Programmi	ng History	Programming Changes							
ODOT Key	MTIP ID Number	Lead Agency	Project Name	Project Short Description in MTIP	Current Programmed Year	Federal Fund Type	Current Federal Amount (Pre UZA adjustment)		Revised Federal Amount	Local Amount	Local Overmatch		Total	SLIP Project Phase?
				Supports mobility management activities purchase of services	2025	5310 (80/20)	\$ 1,836,331	\$	1,881,970	470,493	\$ 1,411,478	\$	3,763,941	No
23188	71317	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)	operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025	2025	5310 (50/50)	\$ 307,281	\$	307,281	\$ 307,281	\$ -	\$	614,562	No
				Portiand Orbanized Area in FFT 2023		Totals:	\$ 2,143,612	\$	2,189,251	\$ 777,774	\$ 1,411,478	\$	4,378,503	

2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A

PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP)

MTIP Formal Amendment SCOPE CHANGE

Revise project name and description for scope update

Project #7 Project Details Summary 10127 (2018) **ODOT Key #** 20329 RFFA ID: 50285 RTP ID: RTP Approval Date: 11/30/2023 11746 70882 FTA Flex & Conversion Code N/A MTIP ID: CDS ID: N/A Bridge #: No MTIP Amendment ID: JA24-04-JAN STIP Amendment ID: 24-27-0592

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring: The formal amendment revises the project scope to primarily be located at the Marylhurst Dr intersection and be limited to intersection safety improvements and an upgrades traffic signal. The planned scope between Hidden Springs and Cedar Oak is no longer feasible due to adjacent projects not being completed as planned. Additionally, construction estimates for this section exceeded the available budget. A reduction in scope is necessary in order to deliver the remaining scope of the project, which will consist of upgrades to the Marylhurst/OR43 intersection. The intersection will be rebuilt as a protected intersection with a new traffic signal, new ADA ramps, and new bike facilities. As a result, PE requires additional funds to complete the scope adjustment. The ROW phase decreases allowing funds to be shifted from ROW to PE.

Project Name:	OR43: Marylhu OR43: Willame			•				
Lead Agency:	West	Linn	Applicant:	West	t Linn	Administrator:	OD	ОТ
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	No	Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery:	Yes	Delivery as Dir	ect Recipient:	No

Short Description:

Construct a new cycle track and sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden Springs Rd. Construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users.

MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Construct a new cycle track and sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden Springs Rd connecting to the new extension of Old River Rd to be constructed by the City of West Linn at OR43 and Marylhurst Drive. (RFFA 2019-21 award cycle) In West Linn on OR43 (Willamette Dr) at Marylhurst Dr (MP 8.35 to MP 8.5), construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users. (RFFA 2019-21 award cycle)

STIP Description:

Construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users.

		Project Classification Details	
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
	Highway - Motor Vehicle	System Management and Operations	System Management and
Highway	Highway - Bike	Protected Parallel Facility	System Management and
	Highway - Pedestrian	Protected Parallel Facility	Operations
ODOT Work Type:	BikePed		

				Pl	nase Fundi	ng and Pro	gramı	ming				
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		liminary eering (PE)	Right of Wa	3 y	Utility Relocation (UR)	Co	onstruction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds											
CMAQ	Z400 Z40E	2018		\$	290,414							\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2018		\$	805,304							\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2018		\$	865,465							\$ 865,465
STBG-U	Y230	2018		\$	116,649							\$ 116,649
State TA	Z300	2021				\$ 294,6	96 -					\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2021				\$ 234,5	35					\$ 234,535
CMAQ	Z400	2021				\$ 116,6	49					\$ -
CMAQ	Y400	2024					\$	22,145				\$ 22,145
CMAQ	Y400	2024							\$	2,570,792		\$ 2,570,792
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$	1,272,528	\$ 234,5	35 \$	22,145	\$	2,570,792	\$ -	\$ 3,809,586

Notes:

- 1. PE CMAQ was obligated under two different fund codes: Z400 (\$245,549) and Z40E (\$44,865)
- 2. ROW CMAQ is shifted to PE and changed to be STBG as a follow-on obligation and need to increase the PE phase.

State	Funds														
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		eliminary neering (PE)	_	t of Way ROW)	R	Utility elocation	Co	onstruction		Other		Total
														\$	-
	Stat	te Totals:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$ \$	<u>-</u>
			Ψ	Υ		Υ		~		Υ		-		Ÿ	
Local	Funds														
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		eliminary neering (PE)	_	t of Way ROW)	Re	Utility elocation	Co	onstruction		Other		Total
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2018		\$	33,239									\$	33,239
Local (TA)	-Match	2018		\$	92,171									\$	-
Local (TA)	Match	2018		\$	99,056									\$	99,05
-Other-	-OTHO-	2018		\$	987,075									\$	-
Other	ОТН0	2018		\$	1,284,808									\$	1,284,808
Local (STBG)	Match	2018		\$	13,351									\$	13,35
Local (StTA)	-Match	2021				\$	33,729							\$	-
Local (TA-U)	Match	2021				\$	26,844							\$	26,84
Local (CMAQ)	-Match-	2021				\$	13,351							\$	-
-Other-	-OTHO-	2021				\$	386,354							\$	-
Other	OTH0	2021				\$	88,621							\$	88,62
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2024						\$	2,535					\$	2,535
Other	OTH0	2024						\$	25,320					\$	25,320
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2024								\$	294,239			\$	294,239
Other	Oth0	2024								\$	1,070,190			\$	1,070,190
	Loc	al Totals:	\$ -	\$	1,430,454	\$	115,465	\$	27,855	\$	1,364,429	\$	-	\$	2,938,203
Phase	Totals		Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Total
Existing Progr	amming To	otals:	\$ -	\$	2,208,203	\$	844,779	\$	50,000	\$	3,935,221	\$	_	\$	7,038,20
Amended Prog			\$ -	\$	2,702,982	\$	350,000	\$	50,000		3,935,221	\$	_	\$	7,038,20
		I		1 2		-	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	-	,	-			Project Cost		7,038,20
										Tota			Expenditure:	-	7,038,20

Programming Summary	Yes/No					R	eason if sho	ort Pr	ogrammed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The	project is no	t sho	ort program	med					
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ -	\$	494,779	\$	(494,779)	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ (0)
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%		22.4%		-58.6%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ -	\$	145,646	\$	26,844	\$	2,535	\$	294,239	\$ -	\$ 469,264
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A		10.27%		10.27%		10.27%		10.27%	N/A	10.27%

		Phase Prograi	nmi	ng Summar	у То	tals				
Fund Category	Planning	reliminary ineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)		Utility Relocation		Construction		Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$ 1,272,528	\$	234,535	\$	22,145	\$	2,570,792	\$ -	\$ 4,100,000
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ 1,430,454	\$	115,465	\$	27,855	\$	1,364,429	\$ -	\$ 2,938,203
Total	\$ -	\$ 2,702,982	\$	350,000	\$	50,000	\$	3,935,221	\$ -	\$ 7,038,203

Phase Composition Percentages												
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total					
Federal	0.0%	47.08%	67.01%	44.3%	65.3%	0.0%	58.3%					
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Local	0.0%	52.9%	32.99%	55.7%	34.7%	0.0%	41.7%					
Total	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%					

Phase Programming Percentage													
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total						
Federal	0.0%	18.1%	3.3%	0.3%	36.5%	0.0%	58.3%						
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
Local	0.0%	20.3%	1.6%	0.4%	19.4%	0.0%	41.7%						
Total	0.0%	38.4%	5.0%	0.7%	55.9%	0.0%	100.0%						

		Project Pha	se Obligation Hi	istory							
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal				
Total Funds Obligated		\$ 2,702,982	\$ 350,000				Aid ID				
Federal Funds Obligated:		\$ 1,272,528	\$ 234,535				S003(016)				
EA Number:		PE002950	R9699000				FHWA or FTA				
Initial Obligation Date:		4/9/2018	8/31/2021				FHWA				
EA End Date:		7/31/2024	1/31/2025				FMIS or TRAMS				
Known Expenditures:		\$ 1,942,948	\$ 180,865				FMIS				
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2027				
Completion Date Notes:											
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	No	If yes, exp	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A					
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) information pertains primarily to projects under ODOT Local Delivery oversight.											

Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

- 1. What is the source of funding?. The project was awarded both Metro RFFA funds and ODOT STIP funds.
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? No. The overall project funding remains unchanged.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, per discussions with ODOT authorizing the fund shifts.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT & Metro manager level to complete the fund** shifts.
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

Project Location References						
	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length	
On State Highway	Yes OR43		8.35	9.00 8.50	0.65 0.15	
Cross Streets Route or Arterial Cross Street Cross Street Willamette Dr Marylhurst Dr intersection (before and after intersection)						
Note: Routes or arterials with multiple site improvement locations shown as an aggregate total.						

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification							
1st Year	2018	Years Active	7	Project Status	5	(RW) Right-of W	ay activities initiated including
Programmed						R/W acquisition a	and/or utilities relocation.
Total Prior	10	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	July 2023	Last MTIP	AM23-21-JUL1
Amendments		Amendment		Amendment		Amend Num	
Last Amendment	Slip Other/UR phase with Metro CMAQ of \$22,145 and match from FFY 2023 to FFY 2024						
Action							

		Anticipat	ed Required Perf	ormance Measi	urements Monit	oring	
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes The project is located outside of the identified Equity Focus Areas
Measurements					X	X	
		RTP Air Quali	ty Conformity an	d Transportatio	n Modeling Des	ignations	
Is this a	capacity enhancing	or non-capacity er	nhancing project?	Non-capacity e	enhancing projec	t	
	Is the project exemp	ot from a conform Table 2 or 40 CFR	ity determination 93.127, Table 3?	Yes, the projec	t is exempt und	er two areas.	
		nption Reference:	The project is exempt under two areas: - 40 CFR 93.126 Table 2 - Air Quality: Bicycle and Pedestrian facilities. - 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3, Intersection Signalization Projects at Individual Intersections				
	Was an air analysi	s required as part	of RTP inclusion?	No.			
If capacity enhanci	ing, was transportat		ysis completed as of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applic	able.		
	RTF	ect ID and Name:	2018 RTP: ID# 10127 - OR 43 Multimodal Improvements - Holly St. to Mary S. Young State Park 2023 TRTP: ID 11746 - OR 43 Multimodal Improvements - Arbor Dr. to Mary S. Young Park				
		oject Description:	(11746): Construction of multimodal transportation improvements on OR 43 (N. West Linn city limits to Mary S. Young Park) in accordance with 2016 TSP and 2016 Highway 43 Concept Plan, optimizing traffic flow at major intersections and improving ped/bike safety.				
			Note: RTP reference is updated based on the new approved 2023 RTP				

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network					
Yes/No	Network	Designation			
Yes	Motor Vehicle	OR43 at Marylhurst Dr is designated as a Major Arterial			
Yes	Transit	OR43 at Marylhurst Dr is designated as a Frequent Bus			
No	Freight	OR43 at Marylhurst Dr has no designation in the Freight network			
Yes	Bicycle	OR43 at Marylhurst Dr is designated as a Bicycle Parkway			
Yes	Pedestrian	OR43 at Marylhurst Dr is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway.			

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations				
System	Y/N	Route	Designation	
NHS Project	Yes	OR43	Other NHS Routes	
Functional Classification	Yes	OR43	Other Principal Arterial	
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	OR43	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Urban Other Principal Arterial)	

Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No. Not Applicable
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? Not Applicable.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)?
- 4. Applicable RTP Goal:

Goal #5: Transportation Safety:

Objective 5.1 - Transportation Safety – Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Generally added local funds beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds that the lead agency commits to cover the phase costs. Also referred to as "Overmatch" funds.
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. CMAQ funds are a federal funding source (FHWA based) that provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). The funds are normally apportioned to the eligible states and then potentially sub-allocated to MPOs or other eligible agencies based on a formula allocation.
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas
TA	Transportation Alternatives (TA) are a federal funding source (FHWA based). TA funds are set-aside from the Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) program. Eligible uses of the set-aside funds include all projects and activities that were previously eligible under the Transportation Alternatives Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). This encompasses a variety of smaller-scale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to stormwater and habitat connectivity. TA funds are apportioned to the State DOT. A portion of the TA funds are then suballocated to the MPOs.
TA-S	TA funds that remain under ODOT's allocation and management.
TA-U	TA funds that are allocated to Metro and are generally used for eligible projects awarded federal funds through the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) funding call.

