SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES QUARTERLY REPORT

SUBMITTED BY (COUNTY): WASHINGTON COUNTY

FISCAL YEAR: 2023- 2024

QUARTER: THIRD

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES

QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE DRAFT

The following information should be submitted **45 calendar days after the end of each quarter**, per IGA requirements. When that day falls on a weekend, reports are due the following Monday.

| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Report Due | Nov 15 | Feb 15 | May 15 | Aug 15 |
| Reporting Period | Jul 1 – Sep 30 | Oct 1 – Dec 31 | Jan 1 – Mar 31 | Apr 1 – Jun 30 |

Please do not change the formatting of margins, fonts, alignment, or section titles.

Section 1. Progress narrative

In no more than 3-5 pages, please tell us about your investments and programming during the reporting period, focusing on at least one of the following topics per quarter: racial equity, capacity building, regional coordination and behavioral health, new investments, leverage, service systems coordination or any other topic connected to your local implementation plan Please also provide updates and information (including numbers or data) to demonstrate progress towards your work plan goals. Note that each topic/work plan goal must be covered in at least one quarterly report during the year.

[Example, if you set an annual goal to increase culturally specific provider organizations by 15%, please tell us by quarter 2 how much progress you've made towards that goal (e.g. 5%)]

Please also address these areas in **each quarter's** narrative.

- Overall challenges and barriers to implementation
- Opportunities in this quarter (e.g. promising findings in a pilot)
- Success in this quarter (e.g. one story that can represent overall success in this quarter)
- Emerging challenges and opportunities with service providers

Quarter Three Summary:

In the third program year (FY 23/24) Washington County's Homeless Services Division's Supportive Housing Services programming continues to focus on system improvements and sustainability while managing continued growth and scaling new investments. Below is a summary of successes, challenges, system improvements, and highlights from quarter three.

1. Program Successes

In February, Washington County opened its third safe rest pod village in Aloha. This site added **30 brand** new pallet homes to the shelter system to support up to 30 individuals at a time. This brings the County's alternative shelter pod sites to three with the capacity to serve up to 110 individuals at any given time. The Housing Careers Pilot Program continues to see success in the third quarter as it has served **42** individuals with lived experience of homelessness or housing instability this program year. The pilot program continues to serve individuals through tailored career coaching services, career training, and internship placement. As the pilot program moves toward its third year, it will shift to more broadly serve the needs of individuals enrolled in homeless services programs who are ready and able to engage in career training and placement opportunities by offering individualized career coaching, training, and paid internship opportunities.

The Homeless Services Division released its Access Centers Capital Notice of Funding Offering (NOFO) in quarter three to make strategic investments in up to four access centers that will be geographically distributed across Washington County. The Division will partner with up to four community-based partners to allocate approximately \$20,000,000 in capital funds for the construction, acquisition, and rehabilitation of permanent access center sites. This critical investment is made possible by SHS carryover resources. Access centers will serve a key function in the homeless services continuum in providing walk-in services where individuals experiencing or at risk of homelessness can get connected to housing and social services, access basic hygiene items and support, and stay cool or warm during inclement weather events. Washington County will award two sites this fiscal year and anticipates additional awards to be announced later this summer.

2. Performance Evaluation Improvements

In quarter three, the Division also **launched the Performance Evaluation Subcommittee** as a subset of the recently formed Homeless Solutions Advisory Council (Solutions Council). The Performance Evaluation Subcommittee will play an important role in supporting system improvement across the Division's programs. They will inform rating and ranking process for the Continuum of Care and Washington County's strategy for improved evaluation of system performance for the entire homeless services system.

Other performance evaluation activities in quarter three included the Division's **Annual Performance Evaluation** of all Supportive Housing Services-funded community-based organizations. The Annual
Performance Evaluation was launched in March and evaluates system and partner performance, pay
equity, staff demographics, and financial performance. Results and findings from the evaluation will be

released in quarter four. As this is the Division's second year conducting the Annual Performance Evaluation, adjustments were made to ensure the partner's final scores from the evaluation will inform contract allocations for the 2024-2025 fiscal year. In particular, **high-performing organizations are eligible to receive multi-year contracts** in the form of three-year contract allocations beginning in the 2024-2025 fiscal year. As Washington County looks to quarter four, annual monitoring of Supportive Housing Services funded programs will be piloted with the Enhanced Rapid Rehousing Program and comprehensive monitoring is set to take place in the fall of 2024.

3. Challenges and Opportunities

Unfortunately, placements for the Rapid Rehousing Program and Housing Case Management Program continued to lag behind our goals in the third quarter. A thorough evaluation of both programs revealed the slow rate of housing placements is largely due to extended enrollment and engagement periods that delay housing search and placement. The Homeless Services Division is already working closely with our provider agencies in quarter four to clarify expected timelines, procedures, and engagement activities to successfully move households into permanent housing. The Division is hopeful the rate of housing placements will trend up quickly in the fourth quarter and Washington County will be back on track to achieve these housing placement goals this summer.

In partnership with Clackamas County, Multnomah County, and Metro, Washington County **created the Regional Landlord Recruitment Implementation Plan** in quarter three which aligns with the goals of the Tri-County Planning Body (TCPB). This represents the first of the six TCPB goals that resulted in an implementation plan to share and support the work of each of the three counties in advancing landlord recruitment efforts as part of the regional strategy to address homelessness. While unit acquisition is not the primary challenge facing providers in our housing placement rates, expanded partnerships with landlords will support the system to serve more participants with challenging housing barriers, and support long term success of the program.

4. Financial Analysis

As reflected in the financial report for the third quarter, the Homeless Services Division amended its 2023-2024 fiscal year budget to reflect an anticipated increase in expenditures that included both increased programmatic expenses and planned one-time investments in capital acquisitions. Updated financial information reflects that Washington County anticipates that it will spend 85% of its Supportive Housing Services budget rather than the 80% anticipated at the beginning of the fiscal year. This uptick in spending is also reflective of the additional \$9.9 million in funds added to the amended budget. These financial projections demonstrate that Washington County continues to pace on track with its planned spending rate while also spending down carryover funds from the first two program years.

5. Equity Analysis

In an effort to more accurately represent the race and ethnicity of those served in the homeless services continuum, the Homeless Services Division added Middle Eastern or North African as a new category in

the quarter three report. This race category was initially added in October 2023 and the data collected to date is limited due to the short collection window. Previously, individuals who identified as Middle Eastern or North African were recorded as White in HMIS. The Division anticipates this additional reporting category will increase the accurate representation of race and ethnicity in the homeless services system.

The racial equity analysis across programs for quarter three reflects strong similarities to quarter two data. However, some key highlights include that the Enhanced Rapid Rehousing Program demonstrated an increase in Asian households served at 3% of total households year to date identified as Asian compared to 0% reported in quarter two. Consistent with year-to-date trends, Eviction Prevention Services continue to be most effective at serving households that identify as Asian with those who identify as Asian representing 4% of households served. Eviction Prevention services continue to serve the most diverse group across Washington County homeless services programs with only 35% of households served identifying as Non-Hispanic White. The Division will continue to track this data closely to ensure that our programs are successful in serving diverse populations to advance equitable outcomes in Washington County and this third-quarter analysis reflects that our programs are generally on track to achieve this policy commitment.

Section 2.A Housing Stability Outcomes: Placements & Preventions

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Supportive Housing

| # housing placements – supportive housing* | This (| Quarter | uarter Year t | |
|---|--------|---------|---------------|-----|
| | # | % | # | % |
| Total people | 99 | | 431 | |
| Total households | 76 | | 296 | |
| Race & Eth | nicity | | | |
| Asian or Asian American | 1 | 1% | 12 | 3% |
| Black, African American or African | 15 | 15% | 49 | 11% |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 14 | 14% | 96 | 22% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 3 | 3% | 39 | 9% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 3 | 3% | 20 | 5% |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% |
| White | 71 | 72% | 301 | 70% |
| Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category) | 65 | 68% | 251 | 60% |
| Client Doesn't Know | 1 | 1% | 2 | 0% |
| Client Refused | 1 | 1% | 8 | 2% |
| Data Not Collected | 2 | 2% | 4 | 1% |
| Disability s | tatus | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Persons with disabilities | 72 | 73% | 303 | 70% |
| Persons without disabilities | 18 | 18% | 84 | 19% |
| Disability unreported | 9 | 9% | 44 | 10% |
| Gender ide | entity | | | |

| | # | % | # | % |
|--|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Male | 47 | 47% | 200 | 46% |
| Female | 50 | 51% | 214 | 50% |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 0 | 0% | 10 | 2% |
| Transgender | 3 | 3% | 7 | 2% |
| Questioning | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Client doesn't know | | | | |
| Client refused | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| Data not collected | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% |

^{*}Supportive housing = permanent supportive housing and other service-enriched housing for Population A such as transitional recovery housing

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Rapid Re-Housing & Short-term Rent Assistance

| # housing placements – RRH** | | uarter Year to | | o Date | |
|--|-----|----------------|-----|--------|--|
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Total people | 115 | | 354 | | |
| Total households | 49 | | 180 | | |
| Race & Ethnici | ty | • | • | • | |
| Asian or Asian American | 6 | 5% | 11 | 3% | |
| Black, African American or African | 15 | 13% | 43 | 12% | |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 52 | 45% | 144 | 41% | |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 1 | 1% | 23 | 6% | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 5 | 4% | 17 | 5% | |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | |
| White | 73 | 63% | 234 | 66% | |
| Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category) | 52 | 48% | 154 | 45% | |
| Client Doesn't Know | 3 | 3% | 3 | 1% | |
| Client Refused | 2 | 2% | 3 | 1% | |
| Data Not Collected | 2 | 2% | 6 | 2% | |
| Disability statu | ıs | | | | |
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Persons with disabilities | 31 | 27% | 137 | 39% | |
| Persons without disabilities | 74 | 64% | 187 | 53% | |
| Disability unreported | 10 | 9% | 30 | 8% | |
| Gender identit | :y | | | | |
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Male | 58 | 50% | 159 | 45% | |
| Female | 57 | 50% | 190 | 54% | |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | |
| Transgender | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | |
| Questioning | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Client doesn't know | | | | | |

| Client refused | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
|--------------------|---|----|---|----|
| Data not collected | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

^{**} RRH = rapid re-housing or short-term rent assistance programs

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Other Permanent Housing Programs (if applicable)

If your county does not have Other Permanent Housing, please write N/A: _____N/A____

| # housing placements – OPH*** | This C | uarter | Year to Date | |
|--|--------|--------|--------------|---|
| <u> </u> | # | % | # | % |
| Total people | | | | |
| Total households | | | | |
| Race & Ethni | city | | | |
| Asian or Asian American | | | | |
| Black, African American or African | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | | | | |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | | | | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | | | | |
| Middle Eastern or North African | | | | |
| White | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category) | | | | |
| Client Doesn't Know | | | | |
| Client Refused | | | | |
| Data Not Collected | | | | |
| Disability sta | tus | | | • |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Persons with disabilities | | | | |
| Persons without disabilities | | | | |
| Disability unreported | | | | |
| Gender iden | tity | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Male | | | | |
| Female | | | | |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | | | | |
| Transgender | | | | |
| Questioning | | | | |
| Client doesn't know | | | | |
| Client refused | | | | |
| Data not collected | | | | |

*** OPH = other permanent housing programs (homeless preference units, rent assistance programs without services) that your system operates and SHS funds

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Housing Placements.

Eviction and Homelessness Prevention

N/A

| # of preventions | This C | Quarter | Year to Date | |
|--|--------|---------|--------------|-----|
| | # | % | # | % |
| Total people | 1301 | | 3279 | |
| Total households | 457 | | 1153 | |
| Race & Eth | nicity | • | 1 | • |
| Asian or Asian American | 22 | 2% | 123 | 4% |
| Black, African American or African | 196 | 15% | 515 | 16% |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 641 | 49% | 1435 | 44% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 19 | 1% | 82 | 3% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 55 | 4% | 174 | 5% |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 12 | 1% | 15 | 0% |
| White | 782 | 60% | 2120 | 65% |
| Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category) | 397 | 32% | 1141 | 35% |
| Client Doesn't Know | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Client Refused | 2 | 0% | 4 | 0% |
| Data Not Collected | 40 | 3% | 50 | 2% |
| Disability s | tatus | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Persons with disabilities | 52 | 4% | 177 | 5% |
| Persons without disabilities | 181 | 14% | 460 | 14% |
| Disability unreported | 1068 | 82% | 2642 | 81% |
| Gender ide | entity | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Male | 580 | 45% | 1466 | 45% |
| Female | 694 | 53% | 1780 | 54% |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 5 | 0% | 8 | 0% |
| Transgender | 3 | 0% | 4 | 0% |
| Questioning | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Client doesn't know | | | | |
| Client refused | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Data not collected | 19 | 1% | 20 | 1% |

Section 2.B Regional Long-Term Rent Assistance Program

The following data represents a **subset** of the above Housing Placements data. The Regional Longterm Rent Assistance Program (RLRA) primarily provides permanent supportive housing to SHS priority Population A clients (though RLRA is not strictly limited to PSH or Population A).

RLRA data is not additive to the data above. Housing placements shown below are duplicates of the placements shown in the data above.

Please disaggregate data for the **total number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher** during the quarter and year to date.

| Regional Long-term Rent Assistance | This Quarter | | Year t | Year to Date | |
|---|--------------|-------|--------|--------------|--|
| Quarterly Program Data | # | % | # | % | |
| Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting | | | | | |
| period | 90 | | 283 | | |
| Number of people newly leased up during reporting | | | | | |
| period | 143 | | 520 | | |
| Number of households newly leased up during | | | | | |
| reporting period | 85 | | 303 | | |
| Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher | | | | | |
| during reporting period | 1922 | | 1982 | | |
| Number of households in housing using an RLRA | | | | | |
| voucher during reporting period | 1125 | | 1171 | | |
| Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher | | | | | |
| since July 1, 2021 | 2111 | | 2111 | | |
| Number of households in housing using an RLRA | | | | | |
| voucher since July 1,2021 | 1250 | | 1250 | | |
| Race & Ethnicit | :у | | | | |
| Asian or Asian American | 15 | 1.3% | 16 | 1.4% | |
| Black, African American or African | 130 | 11.6% | 133 | 11.4% | |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 241 | 21.4% | 246 | 21.0% | |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 68 | 6.0% | 71 | 6.1% | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 35 | 3.1% | 36 | 3.1% | |
| White | 925 | 82.2% | 968 | 82.7% | |
| Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category) | 675 | 60.0% | 710 | 60.6% | |
| Client Doesn't Know | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Client Refused | 15 | 1.3% | 16 | 1.4% | |
| Data Not Collected | 130 | 11.6% | 133 | 11.4% | |
| Disability statu | S | | | | |
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Persons with disabilities | 904 | 80.4% | 943 | 80.5% | |
| Persons without disabilities | 221 | 19.6% | 228 | 19.5% | |
| Disability unreported | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Gender identit | у | | | , | |
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Male | 510 | 45.3% | 535 | 45.7% | |
| Female | 596 | 53.0% | 616 | 52.6% | |

| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 17 | 1.5% | 18 | 1.5% |
|--|----|------|----|------|
| Transgender | 2 | 0.2% | 2 | 0.2% |
| Questioning | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Client doesn't know | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Client refused | 2 | 0.2% | 2 | 0.2% |
| Data not collected | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |

Definitions:

Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting period: Number of households who were issued an RLRA voucher during the reporting period. (Includes households still shopping for a unit and not yet leased up.)

Number of households/people newly leased up during reporting period: Number of households/people who completed the lease up process and moved into their housing during the reporting period.

Number of households/people in housing using an RLRA voucher during reporting period: Number of households/people who were in housing using an RLRA voucher at any point during the reporting period. (Includes (a) everyone who has been housed to date with RLRA and is still housed, and (b) households who became newly housed during the reporting period.)

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on the RLRA program.

N/A

Section 2.C Subset of Housing Placements and Preventions: Priority Population Disaggregation

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements/preventions for SHS priority population A.

| Population A Report | This C | uarter | Year to | Date | |
|---|--------|--------|---------|------|--|
| | # | % | # | % | |
| Population A: Total people placed into | | | | | |
| permanent housing/preventions | 128 | | 516 | | |
| Population A: Total households placed into | | | | | |
| permanent housing/preventions | 94 | | 360 | | |
| Race & Ethnicity | | | | | |
| Asian or Asian American | 1 | 1% | 3 | 1% | |
| Black, African American or African | 20 | 23% | 66 | 14% | |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 19 | 22% | 120 | 26% | |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 5 | 6% | 53 | 11% | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 14 | 3% | |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | |
| White | 61 | 69% | 339 | 73% | |
| (Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White | 51 | 58% | 259 | 56% | |

| Client Doesn't Know | 1 | 1% | 2 | 0% |
|--|--------|-----|-----|-----|
| Client Refused | 1 | 1% | 3 | 1% |
| Data Not Collected | 20 | 23% | 66 | 14% |
| Disability s | tatus | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Persons with disabilities | 95 | 74% | 383 | 74% |
| Persons without disabilities | 21 | 16% | 90 | 17% |
| Disability unreported | 12 | 9% | 43 | 8% |
| Gender ide | entity | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Male | 58 | 45% | 221 | 43% |
| Female | 68 | 53% | 280 | 54% |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 0 | 0% | 8 | 2% |
| Transgender | 3 | 2% | 9 | 2% |
| Questioning | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Client doesn't know | | | | |
| Client refused | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| Data not collected | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements and preventions for SHS priority population B.

| Population B Report | This Q | uarter | uarter Year to Da | |
|---|--------|--------|-------------------|-----|
| | # | % | # | % |
| Population B: Total people placed into | | | | |
| permanent housing/preventions | 1400 | | 3560 | |
| Population B: Total households placed into | | | | |
| permanent housing/preventions | 506 | | 1319 | |
| Race & Ethnic | ity | | | • |
| Asian or Asian American | 28 | 2% | 142 | 4% |
| Black, African American or African | 204 | 15% | 535 | 15% |
| Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x) | 691 | 49% | 1551 | 44% |
| American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous | 18 | 1% | 90 | 3% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 60 | 4% | 193 | 5% |
| Middle Eastern or North African | 12 | 1% | 17 | 0% |
| White | 838 | 60% | 2289 | 64% |
| (Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White | 434 | 31% | 1261 | 35% |
| Client Doesn't Know | 4 | 0% | 6 | 0% |
| Client Refused | 3 | 0% | 6 | 0% |
| Data Not Collected | 42 | 3% | 55 | 2% |
| Disability stat | us | | | |

| | # | % | # | % |
|--|--------|-----|------|-----|
| Persons with disabilities | 60 | 4% | 234 | 7% |
| Persons without disabilities | 259 | 19% | 647 | 18% |
| Disability unreported | 1081 | 77% | 2679 | 75% |
| Gender ide | entity | | | |
| | # | % | # | % |
| Male | 632 | 45% | 1610 | 45% |
| Female | 741 | 53% | 1910 | 54% |
| A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female' | 5 | 0% | 13 | 0% |
| Transgender | 3 | 0% | 4 | 0% |
| Questioning | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| Client doesn't know | | | | |
| Client refused | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Data not collected | 19 | 1% | 22 | 1% |

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Population A/B.

N/A

Section 2.D Other Data: Non-Housing Numeric Goals

This section shows progress to quantitative goals set in county annual work plans. Housing placement and prevention progress are already included in the above tables. This section includes goals such as shelter beds and outreach contacts and other quantitative goals that should be reported on a quarterly basis. This data in this section may differ county to county, and will differ year to year, as it aligns with goals set in county annual work plans.

Instructions: Please complete the tables below, as applicable to your annual work plans:

All counties please complete the table below:

| Goal Type Yo | our FY 23-24 Goal | Progress this Quarter | Progress YTD |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| New Governance go to er | aunch new aligned overnance structure o oversee and advise ntire homeless service ystem | Launched the Performance Evaluation Technical Subcommittee of the overall body. | Launched the Homeless Services Solutions Council, a new governance structure, and one of five subcommittees. |

If applicable for quarterly reporting, other goals from your work plan, if applicable (e.g. people served in outreach, other quantitative goals)

| Goal Type | Your FY 22-23 Goal | Progress this Quarter | Progress YTD |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | | |

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided in the above tables.

N/A

Section 3. Financial reporting

Please complete the quarterly financial report and include the completed financial report to this quarterly report, as an attachment.

 Metro Supportive Housing Services
 Graph of Figure 1
 Graph of Figure 2
 Graph of Figure 3
 Graph of Fig

| Einancial Report (by Program Category) | COMPLETE THE SECTION BELOW EVERY OHARTER HIRDATE AS NEEDED FOR THE ANNIHAL REDORT |
|--|---|

| Financial Report (by Program Category) | | COMPLETE THE | SECTION BELOV | V EVERY QUARTI | ER. UPDATE AS I | NEEDED FOR THE | : ANNUAL REPORT | l | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---|
| | Annual Budget | Q1 Actuals | Q2 Actuals | Q3 Actuals | Q4 Actuals | Total YTD Actuals | Variance Under / (Over) | % of Budget | Comments |
| Metro SHS Resources | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 111,634,198 | 115,473,580 | | | | 115,473,580 | (3,839,382) | 103% | |
| Metro SHS Program Funds | 109,000,000 | 5,757,975 | 24,145,380 | 32,592,707 | | 62,496,061 | 46,503,939 | 57% | |
| Other Grant Funds | - | 125,000 | - | 118 | | 125,118 | (125,118) | N/A | Kaiser Foundation and Recuperative costs to be moved out of Fund 221 in Q3. |
| Interest Earnings insert addt'l lines as necessary | 2,000,000 | 710,519 | 851,926 | 925,208 | | 2,487,654 | (487,654) | 124% N/A | |
| Total Metro SHS Resources | 222,634,198 | 122,067,074 | 24,997,306 | 33,518,033 | | 180,582,413 | 42,051,785 | 81% | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Metro SHS Requirements | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Costs Activity Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| Shelter, Outreach and Safety on/off the | | | | | | | | | |
| Street (emergency shelter, outreach services and | 9,678,523 | 1,966,255 | 5,646,390 | 954,850 | | 8,567,495 | 1,111,028 | 89% | Select shelter and outreach expenses moved from SHS to House Bill 5019 Executive Order. |
| supplies, hygiene programs) Short-term Housing Assistance (rent assistance) | | | | | | | | | |
| and services, e.g. rapid rehousing, short-term rent assistance, housing retention) | 21,182,067 | 2,551,543 | 2,554,057 | 4,550,864 | | 9,656,464 | 11,525,603 | 46% | |
| Permanent supportive housing services (wrap-around services for PSH) | 11,452,584 | 1,192,911 | 1,883,955 | 3,800,623 | | 6,877,489 | 4,575,095 | 60% | |
| Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA, the rent | 23,780,824 | 4,681,118 | 3,379,701 | 7,353,610 | | 15,414,429 | 8,366,395 | 65% | |
| assistance portion of PSH) Systems Infrastructure (service provider | .,,,- | , , | -,, | ,, | | -, , | .,,. | | |
| capacity building and organizational health, system development. etc) | 1,876,285 | 873,963 | 340,259 | 62,220 | | 1,276,442 | 599,843 | 68% | |
| Built Infrastructure (property purchases, capital improvement projects, etc) | 12,943,088 | 1,563,056 | 1,914,277 | 4,429,475 | | 7,906,807 | 5,036,281 | 61% | |
| Other supportive services (recuperative care, workforce projects and other pilot programs) | 3,363,179 | 159,140 | 1,606,676 | 1,481,389 | | 3,247,204 | 115,975 | 97% | |
| Operations (technical, employment, benefits, training and consulting) | 3,753,741 | 645,294 | 932,504 | 710,696 | | 2,288,495 | 1,465,247 | 61% | |
| insert addt'l lines for other activity | | | | | | - | - | N/A | |
| categories Subtotal Activity Costs | 88,030,291 | 13,633,278 | 18,257,818 | 23,343,728 | - | 55,234,824 | 32,795,467 | 63% | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Administrative Costs [1] | | | | | | | | | Service Provider Administrative Costs are reported as part of Program Costs above. Counties will provide details an context for Service Provider Administrative Costs within the narrative of their Annual Program Report. |
| County Admin: Long-term Rent Assistance | 487,351 | 88,751 | 68,024 | 130,724 | | 287,499 | 199,852 | 59% | Administrative Costs for long-term rent assistance equals 2% of Partner's YTD expenses on long-term ren assistance. |
| County Admin: Other | 2,204,081 | 542,220 | 145,720 | 1,078,452 | | 1,766,392 | 437,689 | 80% | Administrative Costs for Other Program Costs equals 4% of total YTD Other Program Costs. |
| Subtotal Administrative Costs | 2,691,432 | 630,971 | 213,744 | 1,209,176 | | 2,053,891 | 637,541 | 76% | |
| Other Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| Regional Strategy Implementation Fund [2] | 5,450,000 | | | 692,372 | | 692,372 | 4,757,628 | 13% | |
| | 5,100,000 | | | 032,372 | | 032,372 | 4,737,020 | i | |
| insert addt'l lines as necessary Subtotal Other Costs | 5,450,000 | - | - | 692,372 | - | 692,372 | 4,757,628 | N/A 13% | |
| Subtotal Program Costs | 96,171,723 | 14,264,249 | 18,471,562 | 25,245,276 | | 57,981,087 | 38,190,636 | 60% | |
| Substituti - Ografii Costs | ,,-20 | .,,_ | ,, | ,, | | .,, | ,,-30 | 5070 | |
| . (2) | | | | | | | | | |
| Contingency (3) | 5,450,000 16,350,000 | | | | | - | 5,450,000 | 0% 0% | |
| Stabilization Reserve ^[4] Regional Strategy Impl Fund Reserve ^[2] | 16,350,000 8,228,639 | | | | | - | 16,350,000 8,228,639 | 0% 0% | |
| Regional Strategy Impl Fund Reserve (**) RLRA Reserves | 0,220,039 | | | | | - | 0,228,039 | 0% N/A | |
| Other Programmatic Reserves | 96,433,836 | | | | | - | 96,433,836 | 0% | |
| insert addt'l lines as necessary | 126 462 475 | | | | | - | 126 462 475 | N/A | |
| Subtotal Contingency and Reserves | | | | | | - | 126,462,475 | 0% | |
| Total Metro SHS Requirements | 222,634,198 | 14,264,249 | 18,471,562 | 25,245,276 | - | 57,981,087 | 164,653,111 | 26% | |
| Ending Fund Balance | | 107,802,825 | 6,525,744 | 8,272,757 | | 122,601,326 | (122,601,326) | N/A | |
| Fund Balance Carryover re Fund Balance Carryover reduction: SI- | IS Metro Distributio | n Received in Jul 2 | 023 (posted to FY | 23-24 per audit re | ecommendation) | (3,839,382) (8,882,813) | | | |
| Fund Balance Carryover reduction: SHS | Metro Distribution | Received in Aug 2 | | | | (4,509,529) 105,369,603 | | | |
| | | | criuing Fund | Balance per Fina | icidi Statements | 100,369,603 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

^[11] Per IGA Section 3.4.2 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, Metro recommends, but does not require, that in a given Fiscal Year Administrative Costs for SHS should not exceed 5% of annual Program Funds allocated to Partner; and that Administrative Costs for administrative Costs for administrative Costs for SHS should not exceed 10% of annual Program Funds allocated by Partner for long-term rent assistance.

^[3] Per IGA Section 8.3.3 REGIONAL STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION FUND, each County must contribute not less than 5% of its share of Program Funds each Fiscal Year to a Regional Strategy Implementation Fund to achieve regional investment strategies.

^[3] Per IGA Section S.S.4 CONTINGENCY, partner may establish a contingency account in addition to a Stabilization Reserve. The contingency account will not exceed 5% of Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year.

¹⁴¹ Per IGA Section 5.5.3 PARTNER STABILIZATION RESERVE, partner will establish and hold a Stabilization Reserve to protect against financial instability within the SHS program with a target minimum reserve level will be equal to 10% of Partner's Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year. The Stabilization Reserve for each County will be fully funded within the first three years.

Metro Supportive Housing Services

Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1)

Washington County FY 2023-2024 Q3

Spend-Down Report for Program Costs

| This section compares the spending plan of Program Costs in the Annual Program Budget to actual Program Costs in the Financial Report. | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| _ | % of Spending per Quarter | | | Comments | | | |
| Program Costs (excluding Built Infrastructure) | Budget | Actual | Variance | Explain any material deviations from the Spend-Down Plan, or any changes that were made to the initial Spend-Down Plan. [1] | | | |
| Quarter 1 | 15% | 15% | 0% | Per guidance from Metro, Program Cost spend-down budget adjusted to match actuals for first three quarters after budget amendment. | | | |
| Quarter 2 | 20% | 20% | 0% | | | | |
| Quarter 3 | 25% | 25% | 0% | | | | |
| Quarter 4 | 25% | 0% | 25% | | | | |
| Total | 85% | 60% | 25% | | | | |
| | | ć casadina VID | | Comments | | | |
| <u>-</u> | | \$ Spending YTD | | | | | |
| Built Infrastructure | Budget | Actual | Variance | Provide a status update for below. (required each quarter) | | | |
| Annual total | 12,943,088 | 7,906,807 | 5,036,281 | Center for Addiction Triage & Treatment, part of Elm Street Acquisition and Shelter Capital Grants expended out of Built Infrastructure program. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| [13] A "material deviation" arises when the Program Funds spent in a given Fiscal Year cannot be reconciled against the spend-down plan to the degree that no reasonable person would conclude that Partner's spending was guided by or in conformance with the applicable spend-down plan. | | | | | | | |

Spend-Down Report for Carryover
This section compares the spending plan of investment areas funded by carryover to actual costs.
These costs are also part of the Spend-Down Report for Program Costs above. This section provides additional detail and a progress update on these investment areas.

| | \$ Spending by investment area | | | Comments | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Carryover Spend-down Plan | Budget | Actual ^[2] | Variance | Provide a status update for each line below. (required each quarter) | | |
| Beginning Fund Balance (carryover balance) | 111,634,198 | 115,473,580 | (3,839,382) | | | |
| Describe investment area | | | | | | |
| Shelter Capital Funding | 7,000,000 | | 7,000,000 | | | |
| Shelter Capital Funding | 15,000,000 | 5,965,256 | 9,034,744 | Shelter Capital Grants (POs 190269, 190805, 191001, 191781, 191953, 191984, 192020, 192408) | | |
| Rent Assistance Expansion | 10,000,000 | 7,905,068 | 2,094,932 | Eviction Prevention Contracts with Community Action Organization and Centro Cultural. | | |
| Capacity Building | 2,500,000 | 306,851 | 2,193,149 | Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Grants (POs 190869, 190880, 190881, 190958, 190961, 190962, 190972, 190992, 191032, 191235, 191662, 191670, | | |
| Transitional Supportive Housing Acquisition | 17,000,000 | 1,650,707 | 15,349,293 | Elm Street Acquisition and Heartwood Commons Stabilization Contribution. | | |
| Transitional Supportive Housing Acquisition | - | | - | | | |
| Access Center Capital Construction | 5,000,000 | | 5,000,000 | | | |
| Center for Addiction Triage & Treatment | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | - | Center for Addiction Triage and Treatment. | | |
| insert addt'l lines as necessary | | | - | | | |
| | 58,000,000 | 17,327,883 | 40,672,117 | | | |
| Remaining prior year carryover | 53,634,198 | 98,145,697 | (44,511,499) | | | |
| FY 23 carryover adjustment | - 1 | (3,839,382) | 3,839,382 | GASB 31 adjustment to Fund Balance (budget amended in Q3). | | |
| Estimated FY 24 carryover | 12,939,399 | | 12,939,399 | 15% unspent projected program expenses. | | |
| Estimated FY 24 carryover | 27,201,667 | | 27,201,667 | New Metro SHS Revenue Projection Δ. | | |
| Ending Fund Balance (carryover balance) | 93,775,264 | 94,306,315 | (531,051) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

[7] If the actual costs for any carryover investment areas are not tracked separately from existing program categories, use the Comments section to describe the methodology for determining the proportion of actual costs covered by carryover. For example: if service providers received a 25% increase in annual contracts for capacity building, and the costs are not tracked separately, the capacity building portion could be estimated as 20% of total actual costs (the % of the new contract amount that is related to the increase).